

**CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE**  
**Joint City County Planning Commission Meeting**  
**TUESDAY, September 18 2012 -- 4:30 P.M.**  
**County Office Building**

**City Planning Commissioners present**

Ms. Genevieve Keller, Chair  
Mr. Dan Rosensweig, Vice Chair  
Ms. Lisa Green  
Mr. Michael Osteen  
Mr. Kurt Keesecker  
Ms. Natasha Sienitsky  
Mr. David Neuman

**County Planning Commissioners present**

Mr. Cal Morris, Chair.  
Mr. Tom Loach  
Mr. Don Franco,  
Mr. Ed Smith,  
Mr. Mac Lafferty, Vice-Chair,  
Mr. Bruce Dotson  
Mr. Keith Randolph.  
Ms. Monteith

**City Staff Present:**

Ms. Missy Creasy, Planning Manager  
Mr. Richard Harris, Deputy City Attorney

**County Staff Present:**

Mr. Wayne Cilimberg, Chief Planner  
Ms. Elaine Echols, Principal Planner

The Planning Commissions held a work session to set general direction and obtain feedback on the following issues: Livability Questionnaire and Joint Goals.

**Questionnaire Discussion**

Matt Weaver made a presentation on the findings of the Livable Communities Questionnaire Report. Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Is there was a link between the questionnaire and the joint goals to be discussed later in the meeting? Staff replied that the comments from the workshop series and the questionnaire were used to help shape the joint goals.
- Is it possible that the data is skewed? The small sample size may not be a representation of the population.
- Focus on the City and County data only, rather than include data from the surrounding localities.
- Don't eliminate the outlying counties – their residents have an impact on transportation in the Albemarle/Charlottesville area.

The joint commissions thanked Mr. Weaver and TJPDC staff for the information.

**Joint Goals Discussion**

Summer Fredrick gave a presentation about work leading to the creation of the joint goals and said that she would be asking the following questions for each of the eight areas:

*Is goal language appropriate?*  
*Does proposed language cover all areas we discussed?*

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- The goals need more detail and should reflect more specifically the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County.
- The “high level” view is appropriate.

Staff commented that all of these goals are in the Comprehensive Plans now. They were provided for context with the strategies.

- Have a section in the comp plans to address “One Community” and the statements about what this joint effort means for the City and the County.
- Perhaps formation of subcommittees of the Commission should work through specific wording in each goal area. There was general agreement with this suggestion.

The Joint Commissioners thanked staff for providing the information.

### **Economic Development**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Provide a better definition of economic “connections” as the relationship between the City and County. The following example was given: Agribusiness in the County is about growing the crops and maintaining the open space where as in the city agribusiness refers to the marketplace or where the goods are sold.
- Provide a goal pertaining to the upward mobility of the workforce, allowing people a path out of low wage jobs.

Change the term, “environment” as it can be construed as “natural environment” or “context for everything we do”. For clarity, commissioners offered a suggestion of “foster a culture of growth.” replace “foster an environment that supports a range of businesses in targeted employment sectors.”

### **Entrance Corridors**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Change to the term “visual integrity” to something different in order to be clear on the principles of the Entrance Corridors, emphasizing functionality.
- Find ways to articulate more shared guiding principles in the Entrance Corridors rather than just providing similar language.

### **Environment**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Change the term “Urban Areas” to Development Areas to better associate the developed portions of the County with the City.
- Include the Watershed Protection areas of the County into the joint goals given the importance of drinking water supply to both City and County residents.
- Add a goal for energy efficiency.
- Consider expanding the list of shared waterways to include all of Albemarle County and the City rather than just the Urban Areas.

Several Commissioners noted that UVA, the City, and the County were working well together on the TMDL issues.

### **Land Use**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Provide a better definition for the term “destination” in relation to the Rivanna River. This phrase can mean different things to different people.
- Add “Limit Rural Area Development” to the Land Use goals as it was stated as a priority in the Questionnaire findings.
- Efforts to coordinate land use and infrastructure should be applied to all City-County boundary neighborhoods not just in Woolen Mills.

## **Parks and Recreation**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Include a statement about where parks should be located and how people will access them.
- Add a statement that acknowledges federal, state, and private facilities and coordination efforts with those entities.
- Why do the joint goals only address Parks and Recreation and not Community Facilities as a whole?
- Look into possible coordination with UVA to use university facilities.

## **Transportation**

Commissioners made the following comments or asked the following questions:

- Community education on transportation options are needed such as an upgraded CHART slideshow.
- End the vision statement after the word “option” as there are many reasons to promote regional multimodal transportation options.
- Add a bullet noting the need for improved rail and air travel options.
- Mention UVA in promoting multimodal opportunities as the university has a large impact on traffic and transportation.

## Public Comment

The following comments came from the public:

Tom Olivier, representing the Sierra Club, said that Albemarle County and City of Charlottesville are one community. The joint goals should have sustainability as a high level goal. Mr. Olivier said that population growth is not sustainable and there should be support for local assessments of biological capacity. Mr. Olivier said that economic development should focus on better career paths for the under privileged.

Diane Weber – stated that no conclusion can be drawn from the questionnaire report. The report cannot be used because it’s not scientific. There was a narrow list of priorities and there was no way to reject the priorities on the questionnaire. If the participant was allowed to reject or give a negative score to the priority it would have allowed a comparison against the favorable priorities. None of the goals should be taken seriously. She said that she believed the survey should not be used.

Charles Battig – said that the American Planning Association’s article on the Benefits of Growing Sustainably/Smart Growth demonstrates that this pattern of development is not appropriate. He said that the questionnaire was based on HUD’s and TJPDC choices of topics. He said it was shallow and statistically lacking, the sample size was ludicrously small, and the questionnaire was seriously flawed.

Charles Winkler – said that he has concerns over lack of scientific validity. He said he attended a meeting of the Sierra Club where an individual supplied people with pre-filled out questionnaires.

Carol Thorpe, former chair of the area Tea Party said that the sample size is miniscule. She said that she was a champion for the protection of property rights. She asked how the commissions would integrate protect private property rights into the Comprehensive Plan.

Jim Moore – said that the questionnaire was defective and should not to be used for establishing priorities. He said that the collection of data was flawed.

Bill Emory – said that he embraced the idea of the waterfront. Richmond is undergoing a revitalization effort focused around the James River. He said there needs to be more specific language for the Rivanna River. Objectives and policies protect resources, goals do not. The City staff has an example of work flow.

Audrey Wellborn – said that she has been concerned all along about the Sustainability Accords. She wondered how the proposed goals and strategies tie into sustainability plan. She said that the questionnaire could have been easier to fill out. She was concerned about the sampling size; small size and where the questionnaire was distributed. She

said that she has spoken with many County residents who have been alarmed about the Sustainability Accords. She said that she is a strong proponent of private property rights.

**Conclusion**

The Commissioners asked for stronger language for the goals and for the goals to represent the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County. Interdependence of the goals of two localities is to be emphasized in order to create goal language that complements one another rather than providing verbatim language for both localities.