Planning Commission Work session June 28, 2011 Minutes **Commissioners Present:** Mr. Kurt Keesecker Ms. Genevieve Keller (Vice Chair) Ms. Lisa Green Mr. Dan Rosensweig **Not Present:** Mr. Michael Osteen Mr. John Santoski Mr. Jason Pearson **Staff Present:** Missy Creasy Ryan Davidson Brian Haluska Richard Harris Michael Smith Willy Thompson

Ms. Keller convened the meeting at 5:00 p.m. and turned the time to Ms. Creasy. She led the Commission through the three agenda items.

Planning Commission CIP Priorities

The current Planning Commission priorities were reviewed and revised. The following reflects the language which will be reflected in this year's Capital Improvement Program submission materials:

- Improve, expand and enhance the park system, create and maintain pockets of open space in higher density zoning districts, create alternative active use opportunities in new and existing facilities or maintain and enhance natural areas for the variety of citizens within our community.
- Reduce single occupancy vehicle trips by expanding alternative modes of mobility.
- Increase and diversify affordable housing options
- Improve natural quality and ecology of streams, rivers, and riparian zones
- Promote vitality in Entrance Corridors through infrastructure improvements and enhancements.

The Commission then provided confirmation and guidance on the Comprehensive Plan process and materials. The following highlights resulted from that discussion:

- Consensus on linking Council Vision to Comprehensive Plan Goals
- Would like data to be relegated to a support document
- Document should have a regional and citywide approach rather than a narrow approach.
- The document should be user friendly
- Linkages need to be made between content areas

The final topic the Commission discussed focused on what commissioners felt should be the "hard discussions" with the community during the Plan review process. This includes topics where hard choices would need to be made concerning a direction to proceed. The Commissioners reviewed their responses to this question as well as a diagram of issues provided by Mr. Pearson. No consensus was determined on how to approach.

Meeting adjourned at 7:05 pm