Community Engagement: PLACE Subcommittee

February 4, 2014 9-10 am Neighborhood Development Services Conference Room Attendees: Mark Watson, Andrea Douglas, Claudette Grant, Ebony Walden, Margot Elton-Ratliff

Meeting Notes

Subcommittee Member Feedback on Public Engagement

Mark Watson

- Attendance
 - People who attend meetings are those who are already engaged
 - Underrepresented Groups
 - Large subset of the population that cannot attend meetings physical ability and time constraints
 - Trust is a key issue
 - Engagement shouldn't have to be meeting specific
- On the Ground
 - \circ $\;$ Engagement should be done by foot soldiers citizen outreach volunteer group
 - Model after a planning spin on "Guardian Angels"
 - Facilitators
 - o Should be in place continuously to get a sense of real neighborhood concerns
 - Conversations with these people could jumpstart any development or planning process get a sense of the real issues

Andrea Douglas

- Intermediaries used too often to try to figure out what communities want → current lack of actually going into the community itself
- Critical to build trust there is an underlying distrust that stems from Vinegar Hill
- Market analysis might suggest something entirely separate from what residents want to see for their community – problem when development happens to people without their control or their desire
- Continuous engagement
 - Keep things fresh and ongoing should not be a "one and done" process
 - Make small announcements along the way rather than large announcements when something big happens
 - Keep people constantly aware of what is going on
- Planner Primer to help educate the non-planner public
 - Language of development planner jargon explained
 - How development works what government has control over and what it does not have control over

Claudette Grant

- Important to bring meetings to people rather than try it the other way around
- Charlottesville is good at developing ideas but struggles with the implementation process

Suggestions for Citizen Planner Group

 Neighborhood Leadership Institute – creates new leaders for our community – could this be tied to helping community engagement?

- Youth as citizen leaders
 - o Kids have opinions of their own, but also represent their parents opinions
 - Use middle and high school students as a group of ears on the ground
 - Potentially work with schools either to add to curriculum or to allow students to get community service hours for this work?

Products

- Desire for a toolkit product similar to the one developed by Seattle
- Group to review product examples distributed and give feedback on desired end product for our process
- Intermediate product interviews
 - Ebony/Margot to conduct interviews of various people involved with public engagement in the City to get their opinions
 - City Staff, community members, engaged participants, non-engaged residents, etc.
- Intermediary product online survey
 - Question about how to keep people from "filling the ballot box" and answering multiple times
 - o Question about whether Charlottesville tourism has done a similar survey recently
 - Comment about wanting to be sure that language and delivery are well crafted so people will actually fill out survey
 - Discussion about distributing hard copy survey at other public meetings rather than trying to have a specific meeting – allows for data collection without asking people to attend another public meeting

Action Items/Next Steps

- PLACE Subcommittee members to review precedent documents and give feedback to Ebony and Margot on desired end product
- PLACE Subcommittee members to review online survey questions and giver feedback to Ebony and Margot
- PLACE Subcommittee members to think about 1-3 names of opinion leaders and community members who
 ought to be interviewed
- Ebony to work with City Communications staff to begin developing online survey

Please provide feedback on these items by Monday, February 17th