Watkins, Robert

From:	Watkins, Robert
Sent:	Wednesday, February 16, 2022 4:01 PM
То:	robert@formworkusa.com
Cc:	Werner, Jeffrey B
Subject:	February BAR Action - 310 East Main Street

Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 21-10-04 310 East Main Street, TMP 28004100 Downtown ADC District Owner: Armory 310 East Main, LLC Applicant: Robert Nichols/Formworks Project: Facade renovations/alterations

Dear Robert,

The above referenced project was discussed before a meeting of the City of Charlottesville Board of Architectural Review (BAR) on February 15, 2022. The following action was taken:

The applicant requests a deferral. James Zehmer moves to accept the applicant's request for a deferral. Breck Gastinger seconds motion. Motion passes unanimously (9-0).

If you would like to hear the specifics of the discussion, the meeting video is on-line at: https://boxcast.tv/channel/vabajtzezuyv3iclkx1a?b=tycoam74nerhajuktwgz .

If you have any questions, please contact me at <u>watkinsro@charlottesville.gov</u>.

Sincerely, Robert Watkins

Robert Watkins Assistant Historic Preservation and Design Planner Neighborhood Development Services PO Box 911 Charlottesville, VA 22902

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW STAFF REPORT February 15, 2022



Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 21-10-04 310 East Main Street, TMP 28004100 Downtown ADC District Owner: Armory 310 East Main, LLC Applicant: Robert Nichols/Formworks Project: Facade renovations/alterations



Background

Year Built:	1916. In 1956 the north façade was reconstructed. The existing north façade was
	constructed in 1982. (South façade may have been built at this same time.)
District:	Downtown ADC District
Status:	Contributing (Note: When the district was established, all existing structures were
	designated <i>contributing</i> .)

Prior BAR Review

October 19, 2021: BAR review this project and accepted applicant's request for a deferral (8-0).

Application

• Submittal: Formwork Design drawings *310 East Main Street*, dated January 21, 2022: Cover; Sheet 2, Context - East Main Street; Sheet 3, Context - Water Street; Sheet 4, East Main Street Views; Sheet 5, Elevator Shaft Decorative Scheme; Sheet 6, Water Street Views; Sheet 7, Mall Level Plan

CoA request for alterations to the Main Street (north) and Water Street (south) facades. The proposed work will alter the 20th century facades. *See Appendix for comparison of October 2021 submittal and present submittal*.

Discussion and Recommendations

The original, 1916 facades no longer exist. The proposed alterations will replace the contemporary facades constructed in the 1980s. The November 1980 National Register nomination of the Charlottesville and Albemarle County Courthouse Historic District does not include this address, nor do any of the building descriptions for this block match the current design. Unless the building [the facades] are of *exceptional importance*, it does not meet the 50-year threshold necessary for consideration for the National Register.

https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/historic-register/

A Property that can be Nominated for Listing in the Registers should:

- Have achieved historical significance at least 50 years prior to today and/or is of exceptional importance; and
 - Is associated with at least one of the following:
 - o An important event or historic trend;
 - A significant person whose specific contributions to history can be identified and documented;
 - An important architectural or engineering design; or it represents the work of a master; or it is a distinguishable entity although its components may lack individual distinction;
 - Has the potential to answer important research questions about human history (most commonly these properties are archaeological sites); and
- Retain physical integrity through retention of historic materials, appearance, design, and other physical features.

There are two questions for the BAR to discuss:

- 1. Do the existing facades—together or singularly; as part of the mall or as a single structure; and due to age, design, architect. and/or other factors—contribute to historic character of the Downtown ADC and should they be protected? (Emphasizing that an ADC District is a City designation, and not dependent on state or national designation.)
- 2. If the facades are to be altered--together or singularly—are the proposed changes consistent with the ADC District Design Guidelines?

Additionally, due to the unique nature of the existing facades, the BAR might consider applying components of the design standards for both *New Construction* and for *Rehabilitation*.

The applicant has not specified the glass to be used. The BAR may request that information or address it as a condition of approval. In the Appendix is a summary of BAR's July 17, 2018 discussion re: glass.

Suggested Motions

Approval: Having considered the standards set forth within the City Code, including City Design Guidelines, I move to find that the proposed façade alterations at 310 East Main Street satisfy the BAR's criteria and are compatible with this property and other properties in the Downtown ADC district, and that the BAR approves the application [as submitted].

or [as submitted with the following conditions/modifications: ...].

Denial: Having considered the standards set forth within the City Code, including City's ADC District Design Guidelines, I move to find that the proposed façade alterations at 310 East Main Street do not satisfy the BAR's criteria and are not compatible with this property and other properties in the Downtown ADC district, and <u>for the following reasons</u> the BAR denies the application ...

Criteria, Standards and Guidelines

Review Criteria Generally

Sec. 34-284(b) of the City Code states that, in considering a particular application the BAR shall approve the application unless it finds:

(1) That the proposal does not meet specific standards set forth within this division or applicable provisions of the Design Guidelines established by the board pursuant to Sec.34-288(6); and

(2) The proposal is incompatible with the historic, cultural or architectural character of the district in which the property is located or the protected property that is the subject of the application.

Pertinent Standards for Review of Construction and Alterations include:

- (1) Whether the material, texture, color, height, scale, mass and placement of the proposed addition, modification or construction are visually and architecturally compatible with the site and the applicable design control district;
- (2) The harmony of the proposed change in terms of overall proportion and the size and placement of entrances, windows, awnings, exterior stairs and signs;
- (3) The Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation set forth within the Code of Federal Regulations (36 C.F.R. §67.7(b)), as may be relevant;
- (4) The effect of the proposed change on the historic district neighborhood;
- (5) The impact of the proposed change on other protected features on the property, such as gardens, landscaping, fences, walls and walks;
- (6) Whether the proposed method of construction, renovation or restoration could have an adverse impact on the structure or site, or adjacent buildings or structures;
- (7) Any applicable provisions of the City's Design Guidelines.

Pertinent Guidelines for New Construction and Additions include:

I. Windows and Doors

- 1) The rhythm, patterns, and ratio of solids (walls) and voids (windows and doors) of new buildings should relate to and be compatible with adjacent historic facades.
 - a. The majority of existing buildings in Charlottesville's historic districts have a higher proportion of wall area than void area except at the storefront level.
 - b. In the West Main Street corridor in particular, new buildings should reinforce this traditional proportion.
- 2) The size and proportion, or the ratio of width to height, of window and door openings on new buildings' primary facades should be similar and compatible with those on surrounding historic facades.
 - a. The proportions of the upper floor windows of most of Charlottesville's historic buildings are more vertical than horizontal.
 - b. Glass storefronts would generally have more horizontal proportions than upper floor openings.
- 3) Traditionally designed openings generally are recessed on masonry buildings and have a raised surround on frame buildings. New construction should follow these methods in the historic districts as opposed to designing openings that are flush with the rest of the wall.
- 4) Many entrances of Charlottesville's historic buildings have special features such as transoms, sidelights, and decorative elements framing the openings. Consideration should be given to incorporating such elements in new construction.
- 5) Darkly tinted mirrored glass is not an appropriate material for windows in new buildings within the historic districts.
- 6) If small-paned windows are used, they should have true divided lights or simulated divided lights with permanently affixed interior and exterior muntin bars and integral spacer bars between the panes of glass.
- 7) Avoid designing false windows in new construction.
- 8) Appropriate material for new windows depends upon the context of the building within a historic district, and the design of the proposed building. Sustainable materials such as wood, aluminum-clad wood, solid fiberglass, and metal windows are preferred for new construction. Vinyl windows are discouraged.
- 9) Glass shall be clear. Opaque spandrel glass or translucent glass may be approved by the BAR for specific applications.

K. Street-Level Design

- 1) Street level facades of all building types, whether commercial, office, or institutional, should not have blank walls; they should provide visual interest to the passing pedestrian.
- 2) When designing new storefronts or elements for storefronts, conform to the general configuration of traditional storefronts depending on the context of the sub-area. New structures do offer the opportunity for more contemporary storefront designs.
- 3) Keep the ground level facades(s) of new retail commercial buildings at least eighty percent transparent up to a level of ten feet.
- 4) Include doors in all storefronts to reinforce street level vitality.
- 5) Articulate the bays of institutional or office buildings to provide visual interest.
- 6) Institutional buildings, such as city halls, libraries, and post offices, generally do not have storefronts, but their street levels should provide visual interest and display space or first floor windows should be integrated into the design.
- 7) Office buildings should provide windows or other visual interest at street level.
- 8) Neighborhood transitional buildings in general should not have transparent first floors, and the design and size of their façade openings should relate more to neighboring residential structures.
- 9) Along West Main Street, secondary (rear) facades should also include features to relate appropriately to any adjacent residential areas.
- 10) Any parking structures facing on important streets or on pedestrian routes must have storefronts, display windows, or other forms of visual relief on the first floors of these elevations.
- 11) A parking garage vehicular entrance/exit opening should be diminished in scale, and located off to the side to the degree possible.

Pertinent Guidelines for Rehabilitation include:

B. Facades and Storefronts

Over time, commercial buildings are altered or remodeled to reflect current fashions or to eliminate maintenance problems. Often these improvements are misguided and result in a disjointed and unappealing appearance. Other improvements that use good materials and sensitive design may be as attractive as the original building and these changes should be saved. The following guidelines will help to determine what is worth saving and what should be rebuilt.

- 1) Conduct pictorial research to determine the design of the original building or early changes.
- 2) Conduct exploratory demolition to determine what original fabric remains and its condition.
- 3) Remove any inappropriate materials, signs, or canopies covering the façade.
- 4) Retain all elements, materials, and features that are original to the building or are contextual remodelings, and repair as necessary.
- 5) Restore as many original elements as possible, particularly the materials, windows, decorative details, and cornice.
- 6) When designing new building elements, base the design on the "Typical elements of a commercial façade and storefront" (see drawing next page).
- 7) Reconstruct missing or original elements, such as cornices, windows, and storefronts, if documentation is available.
- 8) Design new elements that respect the character, materials, and design of the building, yet are distinguished from the original building.
- 9) Depending on the existing building's age, originality of the design and architectural significance, in some cases there may be an opportunity to create a more contemporary façade design when undertaking a renovation project.
- 10) Avoid using materials that are incompatible with the building or within the specific districts, including textured wood siding, vinyl or aluminum siding, and pressure-treated wood,

11) Avoid introducing inappropriate architectural elements where they never previously existed.

Appendix:

Summary of BAR Discussion July 17, 2018 re: Clear Glass: BAR concluded that VLT 70 should remain the preference relative to clear glass. However, they acknowledged the case-by-case flexibility offered in the Design Guidelines; specifically, though not exclusively, that this allows for the consideration of alternatives—e.g. VLTs below 70--and that subsequent BAR decisions regarding glass should be guided by the project's location (e.g. on the Downtown Mall versus a side street), the type of windows and location on the building (e.g. a street level storefront versus the upper floors of an office building), the fenestration design (e.g. continuous glass walls versus punched windows), energy conservation goals, the intent of the architectural design, matching historical glass, and so on.

Comparisons of proposed elevations October 2021 Submittal:





February 2022 Submittal:



WATER STREET FACADE

PEDESTRIAN MALL FACADE



PEDESTRIAN MALL FACADE

Architectural

-

Survey

And Historic

259

Identification

MAP & PARCEL: 28-41 DATE / PERIOD: CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK: 1-124 STYLE: PRESENT ZONING: B-4 HEIGHT (to cornice) ORIGINAL OWNER: J. Dean Tilman, Sr. DIMENSIONS AND I ORIGINAL USE: Dry Goods Store CONDITION: PRESENT USE: Department Store SURVEYOR: PRESENT OWNER: J. Dean Tilman, Jr., G. McNeir Tilman, DATE OF SURVEY ADDRESS: 310 E. Main Street William T. TilmarSOURCES: Charlottesville, VA VA	Victorian)OR STORIES: 2 1/2, 3 storeys LAND AREA: 27' x 232' (6,140 sq. ft.) Good Bibb
---	---

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This 2-storey, 3-bay building with pointed-arched windows evokes the Gothic Revival style of a half century before. Construction is of pressed brick laid in stretcher bond on the facade. A 1956 remodelling gave the building an incongruous Colonial Revival storefront: Corner pilasters support an entablature and pediment above a recessed entrance loggia. The original storefront had a narrower loggia and simple entablature. Windows at the second level are double-sash, 8-over-8 light, with 4-light rectangular transoms. The center muntins are wider to give the appearance of narrow paired windows. Their pointed arches continue as window surrounds. The area above each window, under the arch, is faced with concrete and has a raised brick circle in its center. There is a low attic storey at the front of the building with tiny Gothic double-sash windows with pointed arches. These windows rest directly on a narrow concrete stringcourse. The parapet is topped by a simple concrete cornice. Behind it, a tar-&-gravel shed roof slopes to the rear. The flat-roofed, windowless, 3-storey rear addition is built of brick laid in 5-course American bond. It has a storefront entrance at the basement level framed by a band of stretchers.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

J. D. & J. S. Tilman's was founded in 1905 and for several years occupied one of the Main Street store rooms in the magnificent old bank building on the northwest corner of Main and Fourth Streets. J. Dean Tilman, Sr., purchased the lot in 1915 (City DB 27-455) and completed the present building the next year (DB 28-82). A 2-storey brick house had once stood on the site, but it was destroyed in the 1909 fire. The building was completely remodeled and given a new storefront, and a large 3-storey rear wing with a basement entrance on Water Street was added in 1956. The Tilman family still owns the building and conducts their business there. Additional References: City DB 28-17, 375-149; WB 9-66.

HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT



IDENTIFICATION



SURVEY 259

Bibb/Spring 1979

BASE DATA

Street Address:	310 E. Main Street	Historic Name:	Tilman Building (J.D. & J.S. Tilman's)
Map and Parcel:	28-41-	Date/Period:	1916 and 1956
Census Track & Block:	1-124	Style:	Victorian
Present Owner: Address: Present Use:	man, William 1. Tilman 310 E. Main Street Department Store	Height to Cornice: Height in Stories: Present Zoning:	2½, 3 B-4
Original Owner:	J. Dean Tilman, Sr.	Land Area (sq.ft.)	:27' x 232' (6140 sq. ft.)
Original Use:	Dry Goods Store	Assessed Value (la	nd + imp.):

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This 2-storey, 3-bay building with pointed-arched windows evokes the Gothic Revival style of a half century before. Construction is of pressed brick laid in stretcher bond on the facade. A 1956 remodelling gave the building an incongruous Colonial Revival storefront: Corner pilasters support an entablature and pediment above a recessed entrance loggia. The orginial storefront had a narrower loggia and simple entablature. Windows at the second level are double-sash, 8-over-8 light, with 4-light rectangular transoms. The center muntins are wider to give the appearance of narrow paired windows. Their pointed arches continue as window surrounds. The area above each window, under the arch, is faced with concrete and has a raised brick circle in its center. There is a low attic storey at the front of the building with tiny Gothic double-sash windows with pointed arches. These windows rest directly on a narrow concrete stringcourse. The parapet is topped by a simple concrete cornice. Behind it, a tar-&-gravel shed roof slopes to the rear. The flat-roofed, windowless, 3-storey rear addition is built of brick laid in 5-course American bond. It has a storefront entrance at the basement level framed by a band of stretchers.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

J. D. & J. S. Tilman's was founded in 1905 and for several years occupied one of the Main Street store rooms in the magnificent old bank building at the Northwest corner of Main and Fourth Streets. J. Dean Tilman, Sr., purchased this lot in 1915 (City DB 27-455) and completed the present building the next year (DB 28-82). A 2-storey brick house had once stood on the site, but it was destroyed in the 1909 fire. The building was completely remodeled and given a new storefront, and a large 3-storey rear wing with a basement entrance on Water Street was added in 1956. The Tilman family still owns the building and conducts their business there. Additional Deed References: City DB 28-17, 375

GRAPHICS

Good



LANDMARK COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, AUGUST, 1974



PEDESTRIAN MALL FACADE

310 EAST MAIN STREET

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS SUBMITTED SEPTEMBER 28, 2021 **RESUBMITTED JANUARY 21, 2022**



WATER STREET FACADE

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

© 2021 FORMWORK DESIGN OFFICE, LLC

...the Milgraum Center was immediately labeled as a "Futuristic" building because of its angled entrance to the mall and its entirely glass facade. The building was meant to be a focal point on Main Street. Many thought its construction set a dangerous precedent on the Mall. In 1985, the Board of Architectural Review was set up in Charlottesville to address growing concerns about architectural changes downtown. However controversial, this building is a statement of 20thcentury architectural style on Main Street.

Excerpt from "More than a Mall: A Guide to Historic Charlottesville. Albemarle Charlottesville Historical Society, 2010



EAST MAIN FACADE, C. 1974





320 E. MAIN

316 E. MAIN HARDWARE STORE



SUBJECT BUILDING 310 E. MAIN ST, A.K.A. MILGRAUM CENTER



308 E. MAIN **BANK ANNEX**

PRESENT DAY



EAST MAIN FACADE, C. 1916

PEOPLE'S BANK

310 EAST MAIN CONTEXT - EAST MAIN STREET 2 CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21



SUBJECT BUILDING 310 E. MAIN ST WATER ST FACADE

316 E. MAIN HARDWARE STORE WATER ST FACADE



SUBJECT BUILDING 310 E. MAIN ST WATER ST FACADE

320 E. MAIN WATER ST FACADE

316 E. MAIN HARDWARE STORE WATER ST FACADE

310 EAST MAIN CONTEXT - WATER STREET 3

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21





The state	
and the second se	BRICK
07	MODULAR BRICK - GREY
06	FIXED GLAZED PANELS
05	BREAK-METAL FRAME & PANELS W/ CNC OVERLAY 'SHADOW' SCREEN IN CONTRASTING MATERIAL
04	1" DEEP DIMENSIONAL LETTERS; LETTER HT: 18"
03	ALUMINUM ENTRY SYSTEM
02	BRAKE-METAL CLAD MULLIONS, SPANDRELS, ETC.
01	ALUMINUM STOREFRONT SYSTEM

310 EAST MAIN EAST MAIN STREET VIEWS 4 CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21

	5	1			E		E		
10	100	18							
1		2			H	F			
	100	21			11			-	
10	1.0			100		1			
1	2			1				100	
-	- 55	-	-		H				
	-10			-	Hill			-	
			-	-	1				
1.00								1	
				1.10					
		-							
- 25		-	8-1	+					
- 1		Sec.		5			12		
	100	100							
1									
	100	21					1.1	-	12
	100						- 1	1	
	-					1		100	
	100								
	Part of								12
	10-1					1	1	Re-	
						1		E	
	10-					12		15-	-
	100					1	1.7	1000	靋



AN OPTICAL EFFECT SIMILAR TO "MOIRÉ", USING REPEATION OF MANY SMALL METAL "TILES, EACH WITH SLIGHT ADJUSTMENT IN GEOMETRY.

THE FABRICATION PROCESS USES COMPUTATIONAL METHODS TO PRODUCE MANY UNIQUE TILES IN A QUICK AND EFFICIENT MANNER.





DIGITAL MOCKUP OF EFFECT

PRECEDENT IMAGES

310 EAST MAIN ELEVATOR SHAFT DECORATIVE SCHEME 5 CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21





- 01 ALUMINUM STOREFRONT SYSTEM
- 02 BRAKE-METAL CLAD MULLIONS, SPANDRELS, ETC.
- 03 RECESSED ALUMINUM ENTRY SYSTEM
- 04 1.5" DEEP REVERSE-CHANNEL LETTERS WITH INTEGRAL LIGHTING - COLOR TEMP: 3000K; LETTER HT: 18"
- GRADUATED TRANSPARENT/OPAQUE GLASS INTERLAYER 05
- 06 FIXED GLAZED PANELS
- 07 STAINLESS STEEL MESH SCREEN
- 08 INTERIOR SUN CONTROL / ROLL-DOWN SHADE







310 EAST MAIN WATER STREET VIEWS 6 CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21





- EXISTING BRICK FLOORING TO REMAIN
- DASH INDICATETS EXST BRICK REMAINS ON WALL OR NEW BRICK TO MATCH

310 EAST MAIN MALL LEVEL PLAN

7

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SUBMISSION 9/28/21