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## Background and Project Overview

Gallagher Benefits Services, Inc. (Gallagher) was engaged by the City of Charlottesville (City) to conduct a confidential, thirdparty review of the compensation program including a compensation analysis for 82 benchmark jobs.

This report summarizes our findings with respect to the following project deliverables:

1. Develop and refine Compensation Comparator Groups.
2. Create a draft compensation philosophy that will articulate the approach to compensation and the comparators for job groups.
3. Conduct a custom market survey of 82 benchmark jobs with similar organizations.
4. Use published surveys to make the market data robust for all 82 jobs.
5. Conduct data analytics and reporting to include competitive analysis of both jobs and job incumbents.
6. Provide draft and final report.
7. Deliver final report to Human Resources in person.

## Key Findings

## Base Salary Compensation - Staff Positions

- Generally, average salaries are slightly low as compared to the market median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) with an average of $8 \%$ below market median:
- Twenty (20) jobs have employees with an average salary more than $10 \%$ below market median.
- Two (2) jobs have employees with an average salary more than $20 \%$ below market median.
- Two (2) jobs have employees with an average salary $10 \%$ or more above market median.

Salary Ranges - Staff Positions

- Overall, salary ranges are low as compared to the market median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) with an average of $12 \%$ below market median.
- Twenty-two (22) jobs have midpoints which are more than $10 \%$ below market median.
- Eleven (11) jobs have midpoints which are more than $20 \%$ below market median.
- One (1) job has a midpoint which is more than $10 \%$ above market median.


## Methodology

## The following approach was used in this study:

- We met with Human Resources and from that discussion crafted a compensation philosophy and survey comparator group around which the market pricing work was done.
- We reviewed job descriptions to confirm our understanding of the City organizational structure and positions.
- Gallagher conducted a custom survey targeted at the specific organizations of relevance to the City. Gallagher:
- Developed a survey data collection package, with completion instructions, benchmark job descriptions, and data collection tools for each target participant
- Crafted a draft cover letter requesting assistance
- Provided these to the appropriate City staff to finalize and send to contact people in each of the target localities
- Served as the third-party responsible for data confidentiality, data analysis and the preparation of a summary report.
- Provided copies of the summary report to the participants.
- Gallagher applied the data from the custom survey to the published survey data.
- We used data from eight (8) published compensation surveys which included data specific to the general and government industries.
- We compared our understanding of City positions with survey job descriptions. When necessary, data was adjusted to reflect significant job differences between City job responsibilities and survey job responsibilities.
- All data was aged to $7 / 1 / 18$ using a $2.7 \%$ annualized aging factor.


## Custom Survey Methodology

A custom survey of compensation for 82 benchmark jobs was conducted. The goal was to ensure data on pay competitiveness was gathered from highly relevant, similar industry employers. This is how this was done.

- The City provided job descriptions for the eighty-two (82) jobs to be market priced using published surveys and the custom survey. A custom survey was designed to collect market data for all jobs. City incumbent data as well as pay structure details were collected.
- Twenty-four (24) organizations were identified by City for inclusion in the custom survey. Sixteen (16) organizations participated in the survey, shown in Table 1 on the next page. Participants were promised a copy of the summary data as an appreciation for completing the survey. Gallagher:
- Developed a survey data collection package, with completion instructions, benchmark job descriptions, and data collection tools for each target participant;
- Crafted a draft cover letter requesting assistance;
- Provided these to the appropriate City staff to finalize and send to contact people in each of the target localities; and
- Served as the third-party responsible for data confidentiality, data analysis and the preparation of a summary report.
- The City data was excluded from all summary market analysis for this review, but it is included in the summary data provided to the participating organizations.

Table 1
2018 Custom Survey Participant Demographics

| Organization Name | Location | \# FTEs | \# Part Time EEs | \# Temp EEs | Cost of Labor Diff. from City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Alexandria | Alexandria, VA | 2,307 | 174 | 1,196 | 120\% |
| City of Chesapeake | Chesapeake, VA | 3,325 | 225 | 858 | 100\% |
| City of Falls Church | Falls Church, VA | 199 | 72 | 35 | 119\% |
| City of Fredericksburg | Fredericksburg, VA | 460 | 190 | - | 119\% |
| City of Hampton | Hampton, VA | 1,716 | 54 | 820 | 101\% |
| City of Harrisonburg | Harrisonburg, VA | 610 | 87 | 41 | 97\% |
| City of Lynchburg | Lynchburg, VA | 1,195 | 64 | 186 | 96\% |
| City of Newport News | Newport News, VA | 3,099 | 535 | 471 | 101\% |
| City of Richmond | Richmond, VA | 3,500 | 293 | - | 103\% |
| City of Virginia Beach | Virginia Beach, VA | 6,078 | 1,328 | 500 | 101\% |
| County of Albemarle | Charlottesville, VA | 2,745 | 582 | 1,382 | 100\% |
| Culpeper County | Culpeper, VA | 413 | 186 | - | 101\% |
| Fairfax County Government | Fairfax, VA | 11,747 | 1,961 | 3,394 | 119\% |
| James City County | Williamsburg, VA | 900 | 57 | 379 | 100\% |
| Prince William County Government | Woodbridge, VA | 4,100 | 1,667 | - | 119\% |
| University of Virginia | Charlottesville, VA | 9,633 | 14,377 | 859 | 100\% |
| City of Charlottesville | Charlottesville, VA | 885 | 63 | 643 | 100\% |


| 25th $\boldsymbol{P}$ | 828 | 83 | 186 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50th $\boldsymbol{P}$ | 2,526 | 208 | 500 |
| 75th $\boldsymbol{P}$ | 3,650 | 769 | 859 |
| Average | 3,252 | 1,366 | 779 |

## Compensation Market Strategy

The City wished to have good data on jobs from markets broader than the custom survey participants. This was prudent because the City recruits and loses talent to this broader market. And, to ensure reliable data, a larger data set for all jobs is a good path to pursue. This is how the broader market was identified and the published survey resources were used to build this comparison.

A compensation market strategy is an articulation of the markets which are the most likely to be talent competitors. Based on conversations with City Human Resources, the following grid was developed and approved as the definition of market for purposes of comparing compensation with defined comparator groups.

The Market Strategy Grid (Table 2, on the next page) defines the competitive talent market. This pay philosophy statement is an articulation of the markets which are the most likely to be talent competitors for the City. The following grid reflects the definition of market for purposes of comparing compensation with defined comparator groups as approved by City Management. Gallagher followed this philosophy and provided analysis based on the market median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile).

The grid below outlines the market for talent and was used to compare Charlottesville with competitive pay from survey sources.
Table 2
2018 - Charlottesville Market Strategy Grid

| Job Group | Industries or Business <br> Sectors | Geographies | Size of Budget/Scope/Staff <br> Size | Salary and <br> Bonus <br> Positioning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive and Directors | Public Sector | VA | $976 \mathrm{FTEs} / \$ 379 \mathrm{M}$ Operating <br> Budget | Median |
| Managers | Public Sector | Central VA | $976 \mathrm{FTEs} / \$ 379 \mathrm{M}$ Operating <br> Budget | Median |
| Professional - Finance, HR, <br> etc. | Public Sector | Central VA | 976 FTEs/\$379 M Operating <br> Budget | Median |
| IT | All Industries | Central VA | All Sizes | Median |
| Public Safety and <br> Security/Attorneys/Court <br> Clerk | Public Sector | Central VA | All Sizes | Median |
| Social Services | Public Sector | Central VA | All Sizes | Median |
| Public Works and Utilities | Public Sector/All Industries | Central VA | All Sizes | Median |
| Administrative and Support <br> Staff | All Industries | Charlottesville, VA | All Sizes | Median |

Central VA includes: Charlottesville, Albemarle County, Greene, Fluvanna, Louisa, Nelson, Augusta, Orange, and Richmond Metro Data aged to 7/1/2018

## Published Surveys

The market for talent often is more than the custom survey participants. The City recruits from and loses people to a variety of employers. For example, the demand for IT, Finance, and HR talent is broad based. See the Compensation Market Strategy Grid, above, for this in more detail. To ensure that the City has full information on what the competitive pay market is like, Gallagher "market priced" City jobs to the other comparators identified in the Compensation Market statement. Gallagher supplied the relevant survey data from well-known surveys.

Published survey sources included:
A. Mercer Benchmark Survey Combining data from Mercer's top general industry modules into a single data source, the Mercer Benchmark Survey covers positions in several functional areas. The Metropolitan Benchmark survey includes 407 positions. March 1, 2017.
B. Salary Assessor (ERI) 2018 A national compensation database that contains compensation data on more than 5,000 positions and can be segmented by city, region, industry and financial measures.
C. CompAnalyst, Job Analyzer (CA) 2018 A national compensation database of compiled salary and total compensation data. Data is updated quarterly in an interactive database of update market data. The database has compensation data on more than 20 million employees and 1,500 unique job titles.
D. 2017 Towers Watson Salary Survey Towers Watson offers an expansive array of published compensation surveys including specific surveys for Sales, Staff, Management, IT, Finance, Engineering and Administration. April 2016. Data is broken out by geography, size, industry, sector and profit status.
E. CompData Benchmark Surveys - 2017 CompData Surveys is a national compensation survey data and consulting firm. Over the last 25 years, they have amassed the largest and most comprehensive database of current compensation and benefits information. Each year, they gather compensation information from more than 50,000 organizations covering 30.5 million employees across the country.
F. 2017 Management Compensation Report for Not-For-Profit Organizations (PRM Consulting) Annual report of management positions commonly found at nonprofit organizations throughout the Mid-Atlantic region. The report includes compensation data for over 100 jobs. Data reported as of July 1, 2017
G. 2015 American Public Gas Association (APGA) Salary Survey. The survey includes data from 146 public natural gas systems nationwide. The APGA is a non-for-profit nationwide association for municipal and community owned natural gas utilities. Data effective November 2015.
H. 2015 American Water Works (AWWA) Salary Survey. The survey includes data from 556 water and wastewater facilities nationwide and covers 63 jobs. Data effective March 2015.

Each job was compared with jobs in published compensation survey sources based on the descriptions provided by the City and the descriptions in the survey. Once each job was matched to surveys, we made adjustments to the data based on our understanding of the scope and relevance of the survey job description compared to the job at the City.

## Compensation Analysis Findings - Custom Survey

Table 3, on the next page, shows the information that was gleaned from the input from the custom survey participants.

- Each of the fifty-nine (59) staff jobs is listed by title. Five (5) jobs had inadequate data to provide analysis.
- All data is adjusted from the market of origin for the data to the Charlottesville market using ERI cost of labor information (see Table 1).
- Not every organization provided data for every job so the number of organizations providing data is listed. Where the participating organization number is four (4), we advise the City to use the data, but be cautious. City data is not included in Comparator Data in this table.
- The number of employees in the data set is shown.
- For small data sets like this one we find the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, or median, more reliable as an indicator of the market than the average because it is less susceptible to the impact of outlier data.
- Also provided are the practices regarding FLSA Exempt and Non Exempt (NE) classification of the jobs.

Tables 3 and 4 below, show custom survey data compared to City average salaries and midpoint. Those highlighted in yellow indicate a salary that is greater than $+/-10 \%$ of market, those highlighted in blue indicate average salaries that are greater than +/$20 \%$ of market. The same color scheme is used for comparison of midpoint to market. The jobs which have the number of organizations highlighted in green indicate that there was insufficient data to provide results.

Table 3 - Staff Positions
Compensation Results for the Custom Survey - Salary (Alpha Order)

| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | FLSA Exemption Status | Mkt. <br> Avg. Salary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 25th P } \end{aligned}$ | Mkt. 50th P (Median) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 75th P } \end{aligned}$ | City Avg. Salary | City Midpoint | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. Avg. Salary | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 25th | City Avg. Sal. Vs. Mkt. 50th | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Clerk III | 10 | 180 | 100\% NE | \$39,957 | \$36,597 | \$39,296 | \$43,101 | \$37,939 | \$38,480 | 95\% | 104\% | 97\% | 88\% |
| Accountant II | 12 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$57,212 | \$48,222 | \$57,845 | \$61,558 | \$49,525 | \$51,874 | 87\% | 103\% | 86\% | 80\% |
| Animal Control Officer | 11 | 30 | 100\% NE | \$41,023 | \$34,896 | \$38,541 | \$45,021 | \$36,150 | \$35,984 | 88\% | 104\% | 94\% | 80\% |
| Applications Manager | 7 | 8 | 86\% <br> Exempt | \$103,017 | \$100,559 | \$106,700 | \$109,738 | \$97,573 | \$81,584 | 95\% | 97\% | 91\% | 89\% |
| Appraiser II | 12 | 51 | $58 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$59,942 | \$48,933 | \$59,101 | \$62,970 | \$51,813 | \$47,108 | 86\% | 106\% | 88\% | 82\% |
| Assistant City Engineer | 7 | 62 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$93,703 | \$88,618 | \$99,990 | \$102,898 | \$81,162 | \$69,805 | 87\% | 92\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| Assistant Transit Manager | 5 | 9 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$79,246 | \$69,098 | \$77,453 | \$97,252 | \$65,146 | \$63,294 | 82\% | 94\% | 84\% | 67\% |
| Asst City Atty | 11 | 40 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$98,387 | \$78,063 | \$96,455 | \$114,800 | \$80,018 | \$69,805 | 81\% | 103\% | 83\% | 70\% |
| Auto Mechanic III | 11 | 82 | 100\% NE | \$52,033 | \$47,303 | \$50,403 | \$54,257 | \$46,842 | \$47,486 | 90\% | 99\% | 93\% | 86\% |
| Benefits Administrator | 10 | 15 | 90\% <br> Exempt | \$75,481 | \$64,166 | \$70,135 | \$86,827 | \$87,048 | \$63,294 | 115\% | 136\% | 124\% | 100\% |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator | 3 | 12 | $66 \%$ <br> Exempt | - | Insufficient Data |  |  | \$52,478 | \$51,522 | - |  | - |  |
| Building Combination Inspector | 11 | 98 | 91\% NE | \$56,080 | \$49,368 | \$52,376 | \$57,081 | \$44,158 | \$42,120 | 79\% | 89\% | 84\% | 77\% |
| Building Maintenance Mechanic III | 12 | 143 | 100\% NE | \$48,694 | \$44,360 | \$46,858 | \$53,169 | \$43,763 | \$38,480 | 90\% | 99\% | 93\% | 82\% |
| Building Trades III | 10 | 117 | 100\% NE | \$42,585 | \$37,786 | \$44,394 | \$46,903 | \$38,376 | \$38,480 | 90\% | 102\% | 86\% | 82\% |
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| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | FLSA Exemption Status | Mkt. <br> Avg. <br> Salary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 25th P } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mkt. } \\ \text { 50th P } \\ \text { (Median) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 75th P } \end{aligned}$ | City Avg. Salary | City Midpoint | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. Avg. Salary | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 25th | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 50th | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Application Specialist | 10 | 67 | 80\% <br> Exempt | \$60,652 | \$55,588 | \$61,735 | \$66,501 | \$55,349 | \$53,352 | 91\% | 100\% | 90\% | 83\% |
| Business Tax Auditor | 12 | 24 | $58 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$60,282 | \$51,763 | \$60,925 | \$66,201 | \$45,926 | \$47,108 | 76\% | 89\% | 75\% | 69\% |
| Cash Management Tech | 4 | 18 | 75\% NE | \$48,730 | \$41,015 | \$48,596 | \$56,312 | \$38,750 | \$38,480 | 80\% | 94\% | 80\% | 69\% |
| Civil Engineer | 10 | 102 | $90 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$73,272 | \$62,693 | \$74,956 | \$84,305 | \$67,101 | \$56,722 | 92\% | 107\% | 90\% | 80\% |
| Collections Agent | 7 | 24 | 100\% NE | \$44,787 | \$41,395 | \$45,084 | \$47,727 | \$38,938 | \$38,480 | 87\% | 94\% | 86\% | 82\% |
| Communications Coordinator | 9 | 84 | 89\% <br> Exempt | \$65,441 | \$53,226 | \$72,280 | \$81,226 | \$60,362 | \$56,722 | 92\% | 113\% | 84\% | 74\% |
| Communications Specialist | 7 | 12 | 71\% <br> Exempt | \$57,718 | \$51,613 | \$54,685 | \$60,548 | \$46,301 | \$39,666 | 80\% | 90\% | 85\% | 76\% |
| Community Service Officer | 6 | 85 | 100\% NE | \$41,754 | \$38,706 | \$39,978 | \$42,013 | \$31,845 | \$31,552 | 76\% | 82\% | 80\% | 76\% |
| Customer Service Representative | 9 | 155 | 100\% NE | \$35,476 | \$32,065 | \$34,400 | \$39,888 | \$32,365 | \$33,488 | 91\% | 101\% | 94\% | 81\% |
| Deputy City Attorney | 10 | 35 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$129,890 | \$112,020 | \$121,640 | \$150,979 | \$113,838 | \$83,207 | 88\% | 102\% | 94\% | 75\% |
| Deputy Fire Chief | 10 | 19 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$112,841 | \$105,314 | \$114,611 | \$123,414 | \$97,136 | \$91,301 | 86\% | 92\% | 85\% | 79\% |
| Deputy Sheriff | 10 | 193 | 67\% NE | \$66,699 | \$46,488 | \$54,078 | \$70,649 | \$37,710 | \$37,232 | 57\% | 81\% | 70\% | 53\% |
| E\&S/VSMP Specialist | 7 | 34 | 71\% NE | \$58,684 | \$50,364 | \$54,286 | \$68,072 | \$50,190 | \$53,352 | 86\% | 100\% | 92\% | 74\% |
| Employee Development \& Relations Coord. | 5 | 15 | 100\% <br> Exempt | \$83,468 | \$79,131 | \$88,701 | \$90,258 | \$75,962 | \$63,294 | 91\% | 96\% | 86\% | 84\% |
| Forensic Support Specialist | 8 | 49 | 100\% NE | \$38,923 | \$34,312 | \$37,055 | \$40,729 | \$42,619 | \$38,480 | 109\% | 124\% | 115\% | 105\% |
| Gas Dispatcher | 0 | NE | - | - |  | ufficient D |  | \$32,157 | \$33,488 | - | - | - | - |
| Gas Maintenance Worker | 1 | 48 | 100\% NE | - |  | ufficient D |  | \$32,614 | \$33,488 | - | - | - | - |
| Gas Motor Equipment Operator | 0 | NE | - | . |  | ufficient D |  | - | - | . | - | - | - |
| Gas Service Technician | 1 | 48 | 100\% NE | - |  | ufficient D |  | \$37,149 | \$42,120 | - | - | - | - |
| GIS Analyst | 14 | 34 | 64\% <br> Exempt | \$62,674 | \$58,332 | \$64,786 | \$71,564 | \$47,927 | \$53,352 | 76\% | 82\% | 74\% | 67\% |
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Gallagher HR and Compensation Consulting Services

| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | FLSA Exemption Status | Mkt. <br> Avg. <br> Salary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 25th P } \end{aligned}$ | Mkt. 50th P (Median) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 75th P } \end{aligned}$ |  | City Midpoint | City Avg. Sal. VS. Mkt. Avg. Salary | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. 25th | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. 50th | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Program Coordinator | 10 | 19 | 90\% Exempt | \$65,301 | \$59,637 | \$64,413 | \$73,010 | \$63,045 | \$69,805 | 97\% | 106\% | 98\% | 86\% |
| Human Resources Specialist | 11 | 63 | 82\% NE | \$53,115 | \$47,750 | \$52,280 | \$56,789 | \$48,859 | \$47,108 | 92\% | 102\% | 93\% | 86\% |
| IT Operations Manager | 12 | 71 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$105,743 | \$94,488 | \$105,593 | \$115,269 | \$109,304 | \$81,584 | 103\% | 116\% | 104\% | 95\% |
| Network Engineer | 12 | 79 | 92\% Exempt | \$76,725 | \$69,713 | \$82,279 | \$85,366 | \$68,806 | \$62,379 | 90\% | 99\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Paralegal | 11 | 62 | 100\% NE | \$48,523 | \$43,057 | \$47,059 | \$51,746 | \$53,747 | \$51,522 | 111\% | 125\% | 114\% | 104\% |
| Payroll Technician | 12 | 63 | 92\% NE | \$43,221 | \$38,005 | \$43,178 | \$45,757 | \$44,470 | \$42,120 | 103\% | 117\% | 103\% | 97\% |
| Permit Technician | 12 | 50 | 100\% NE | \$41,442 | \$34,713 | \$38,259 | \$48,150 | \$34,403 | \$33,488 | 83\% | 99\% | 90\% | 71\% |
| Police Records Specialist | 12 | 202 | 100\% NE | \$36,492 | \$32,537 | \$34,423 | \$38,297 | \$32,261 | \$33,488 | 88\% | 99\% | 94\% | 84\% |
| Program Coordinator | 5 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \end{gathered}$ | \$62,664 | \$58,465 | \$66,286 | \$67,815 | \$56,243 | \$51,522 | 90\% | 96\% | 85\% | 83\% |
| Project Manager | 11 | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \end{gathered}$ | \$74,402 | \$63,899 | \$76,758 | \$82,777 | \$68,349 | \$63,294 | 92\% | 107\% | 89\% | 83\% |
| Property Maintenance Inspector | 10 | 83 | 100\% NE | \$50,380 | \$45,188 | \$49,934 | \$52,150 | \$46,675 | \$53,352 | 93\% | 103\% | 93\% | 90\% |
| SAP Software Engineer | 5 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \end{gathered}$ | \$81,017 | \$84,459 | \$87,082 | \$88,577 | - | \$56,722 | - | - | - | - |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | 11 | 34 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$71,434 | \$64,927 | \$70,468 | \$72,358 | \$77,251 | \$69,805 | 108\% | 119\% | 110\% | 107\% |
| Senior Planner | 13 | 75 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \end{gathered}$ | \$71,590 | \$64,800 | \$70,009 | \$72,189 | \$57,782 | \$56,722 | 81\% | 89\% | 83\% | 80\% |
| Software Engineer | 8 | 32 | $100 \%$ Exempt | \$80,046 | \$75,018 | \$80,658 | \$87,350 | \$63,003 | \$62,379 | 79\% | 84\% | 78\% | 72\% |
| Software Systems Architect | 6 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { Exempt } \end{gathered}$ | \$82,226 | \$78,612 | \$83,087 | \$87,676 | \$89,294 | \$76,898 | 109\% | 114\% | 107\% | 102\% |
| Sr. Budget \& Management Analyst | 11 | 33 | $100 \%$ <br> Exempt | \$74,056 | \$65,206 | \$74,380 | \$78,279 | \$68,266 | \$69,805 | 92\% | 105\% | 92\% | 87\% |
| Survey Technician | 8 | 15 | 100\% NE | \$47,795 | \$40,624 | \$46,341 | \$51,051 | \$43,451 | \$38,480 | 91\% | 107\% | 94\% | 85\% |
| Systems Administrator | 6 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { Exempt } \end{aligned}$ | \$76,475 | \$59,193 | \$68,694 | \$90,262 | \$64,958 | \$42,765 | 85\% | 110\% | 95\% | 72\% |
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| Confidential and Proprietary - Not for Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | FLSA Exemption Status | Mkt. Avg. Salary | Mkt. 25th P | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mkt. } \\ \text { 50th P } \\ \text { (Median) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt. } \\ & \text { 75th P } \end{aligned}$ | City Avg. Salary | City Midpoint | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. Avg. Salary | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 25th | City Avg. Sal. vs. Mkt. 50th | City <br> Avg. <br> Sal. <br> vs. <br> Mkt. <br> 75th |
| Systems Engineer | 6 | 37 | 100\% <br> Exempt | \$75,285 | \$69,811 | \$75,371 | \$82,848 | \$72,842 | \$62,379 | 97\% | 104\% | 97\% | 88\% |
| Tax Specialist II | 10 | 47 | 80\% NE | \$43,398 | \$36,702 | \$42,251 | \$48,491 | \$40,061 | \$42,120 | 92\% | 109\% | 95\% | 83\% |
| Technical Support Specialist | 11 | 21 | 100\% NE | \$45,892 | \$42,059 | \$48,488 | \$50,451 | \$43,347 | \$46,051 | 94\% | 103\% | 89\% | 86\% |
| Transit Operations Supervisor | 6 | 7 | 67\% Exempt | \$54,528 | \$49,404 | \$54,606 | \$58,138 | \$43,846 | \$47,486 | 80\% | 89\% | 80\% | 75\% |
| Transit Operator | 7 | 198 | 100\% NE | \$36,671 | \$32,004 | \$34,111 | \$39,387 | \$35,568 | \$38,480 | 97\% | 111\% | 104\% | 90\% |
| Utility Account Supervisor | 7 | 25 | 57\% NE | \$58,133 | \$46,418 | \$49,125 | \$69,371 | \$66,248 | \$63,294 | 114\% | 143\% | 135\% | 95\% |

Averages
90\% 102\% 92\%

83\%

Table 4 - Staff Positions
Compensation Results for the Custom Survey - Salary Range

| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | Mkt. 50th P (Median) | Mkt. Range Min. | Mkt. Range Mid. | Mkt. Range Max. | City Avg. Salary | City Midpoint | City <br> Range Mid. vs. Mkt Range Mid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Clerk III | 10 | 180 | \$39,296 | \$30,874 | \$41,757 | \$52,639 | \$37,939 | \$38,480 | 92\% |
| Accountant II | 12 | 58 | \$57,845 | \$45,873 | \$61,642 | \$77,409 | \$49,525 | \$51,874 | 84\% |
| Animal Control Officer | 11 | 30 | \$38,541 | \$32,462 | \$42,969 | \$53,680 | \$36,150 | \$35,984 | 84\% |
| Applications Manager | 7 | 8 | \$106,700 | \$69,229 | \$92,024 | \$114,815 | \$97,573 | \$81,584 | 89\% |
| Appraiser II | 12 | 51 | \$59,101 | \$47,490 | \$62,861 | \$78,470 | \$51,813 | \$47,108 | 75\% |
| Assistant City Engineer | 7 | 62 | \$99,990 | \$55,002 | \$86,310 | \$93,462 | \$81,162 | \$69,805 | 81\% |
| Assistant Transit Manager | 5 | 9 | \$77,453 | \$58,802 | \$82,522 | \$98,877 | \$65,146 | \$63,294 | 77\% |
| Asst City Atty | 11 | 40 | \$96,455 | \$75,252 | \$101,085 | \$126,913 | \$80,018 | \$69,805 | 69\% |
| Auto Mechanic III | 11 | 82 | \$50,403 | \$34,492 | \$49,226 | \$64,145 | \$46,842 | \$47,486 | 96\% |
| Benefits Administrator | 10 | 15 | \$70,135 | \$56,366 | \$77,230 | \$98,344 | \$87,048 | \$63,294 | 82\% |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator | 3 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Insufficient } \\ \text { Data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | \$52,478 | \$51,522 | - |
| Building Combination Inspector | 11 | 98 | \$52,376 | \$42,761 | \$56,298 | \$70,163 | \$44,158 | \$42,120 | 75\% |
| Building Maintenance Mechanic III | 12 | 143 | \$46,858 | \$37,334 | \$50,121 | \$63,066 | \$43,763 | \$38,480 | 77\% |
| Building Trades III | 10 | 117 | \$44,394 | \$32,068 | \$43,157 | \$54,244 | \$38,376 | \$38,480 | 89\% |
| Business Application Specialist | 10 | 67 | \$61,735 | \$45,521 | \$61,361 | \$77,200 | \$55,349 | \$53,352 | 87\% |
| Business Tax Auditor | 12 | 24 | \$60,925 | \$47,141 | \$62,272 | \$77,675 | \$45,926 | \$47,108 | 76\% |
| Cash Management Tech | 4 | 18 | \$48,596 | \$37,674 | \$47,846 | \$62,460 | \$38,750 | \$38,480 | 80\% |
| Civil Engineer | 10 | 102 | \$74,956 | \$56,550 | \$76,634 | \$96,623 | \$67,101 | \$56,722 | 74\% |
| Collections Agent | 7 | 24 | \$45,084 | \$34,788 | \$46,021 | \$57,251 | \$38,938 | \$38,480 | 84\% |
| Communications Coordinator | 9 | 84 | \$72,280 | \$54,787 | \$73,917 | \$93,047 | \$60,362 | \$56,722 | 77\% |
| Communications Specialist | 7 | 12 | \$54,685 | \$44,811 | \$60,007 | \$75,539 | \$46,301 | \$39,666 | 66\% |
| Community Service Officer | 6 | 85 | \$39,978 | \$27,086 | \$41,472 | \$47,336 | \$31,845 | \$31,552 | 76\% |
| Customer Service Representative | 9 | 155 | \$34,400 | \$27,177 | \$36,517 | \$45,853 | \$32,365 | \$33,488 | 92\% |
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| Benchmark Title | No. Orgs. | No. EEs. | Mkt. 50th P (Median) | Mkt. Range Min. | Mkt. Range Mid. | Mkt. Range Max. | City Avg. Salary | City Midpoint | City Range Mid. vs. Mkt Range Mid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deputy City Attorney | 10 | 35 | \$121,640 | \$83,847 | \$112,414 | \$140,982 | \$113,838 | \$83,207 | 74\% |
| Deputy Fire Chief | 10 | 19 | \$114,611 | \$78,851 | \$105,306 | \$131,759 | \$97,136 | \$91,301 | 87\% |
| Deputy Sheriff | 10 | 193 | \$54,078 | \$42,773 | \$55,912 | \$69,392 | \$37,710 | \$37,232 | 67\% |
| E\&S/VSMP Specialist | 7 | 34 | \$54,286 | \$44,693 | \$59,065 | \$73,437 | \$50,190 | \$53,352 | 90\% |
| Employee Development \& Relations Coord. | 5 | 15 | \$88,701 | \$61,240 | \$81,652 | \$102,061 | \$75,962 | \$63,294 | 78\% |
| Forensic Support Specialist | 8 | 49 | \$37,055 | \$31,576 | \$41,943 | \$52,309 | \$42,619 | \$38,480 | 92\% |
| Gas Dispatcher | 0 | NE | Insufficient Data | - | - | - | \$32,157 | \$33,488 | - |
| Gas Maintenance Worker | 1 | 48 | Insufficient Data | - | - | - | \$32,614 | \$33,488 | - |
| Gas Motor Equipment Operator | 0 | NE | Insufficient Data | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gas Service Technician | 1 | 48 | Insufficient Data | - | - | - | \$37,149 | \$42,120 | - |
| GIS Analyst | 14 | 34 | \$64,786 | \$47,913 | \$64,119 | \$80,514 | \$47,927 | \$53,352 | 83\% |
| Housing Program Coordinator | 10 | 19 | \$64,413 | \$48,342 | \$63,918 | \$79,835 | \$63,045 | \$69,805 | 109\% |
| Human Resources Specialist | 11 | 63 | \$52,280 | \$39,172 | \$52,652 | \$66,131 | \$48,859 | \$47,108 | 89\% |
| IT Operations Manager | 12 | 71 | \$105,593 | \$72,136 | \$96,785 | \$121,991 | \$109,304 | \$81,584 | 84\% |
| Network Engineer | 12 | 79 | \$82,279 | \$55,411 | \$72,960 | \$186,896 | \$68,806 | \$62,379 | 85\% |
| Paralegal | 11 | 62 | \$47,059 | \$38,306 | \$50,310 | \$62,539 | \$53,747 | \$51,522 | 102\% |
| Payroll Technician | 12 | 63 | \$43,178 | \$37,179 | \$49,671 | \$62,162 | \$44,470 | \$42,120 | 85\% |
| Permit Technician | 12 | 50 | \$38,259 | \$32,440 | \$42,835 | \$53,384 | \$34,403 | \$33,488 | 78\% |
| Police Records Specialist | 12 | 202 | \$34,423 | \$29,177 | \$38,539 | \$48,039 | \$32,261 | \$33,488 | 87\% |
| Program Coordinator | 5 | 20 | \$66,286 | \$45,695 | \$60,086 | \$74,469 | \$56,243 | \$51,522 | 86\% |
| Project Manager | 11 | 46 | \$76,758 | \$56,295 | \$75,600 | \$94,901 | \$68,349 | \$63,294 | 84\% |
| Property Maintenance Inspector | 10 | 83 | \$49,934 | \$40,022 | \$53,204 | \$66,610 | \$46,675 | \$53,352 | 100\% |
| SAP Software Engineer | 5 | 18 | \$87,082 | \$59,786 | \$79,408 | \$99,025 | - | \$56,722 | 71\% |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | 11 | 34 | \$70,468 | \$53,161 | \$71,752 | \$90,643 | \$77,251 | \$69,805 | 97\% |
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| Benchmark Title | No. <br> Orgs. | No. <br> EEs. | Mkt. 50th P <br> (Median) | Mkt. <br> Range <br> Min. | Mkt. Range <br> Mid. | Mkt. Range <br> Max. | City <br> Avg. <br> Salary | Range <br> Midity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid. vs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mkt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |,

## Competitive Market Details - Base Pay - Published and Custom Surveys

Two data collection/market comparison resource approaches were part of this study. One was the custom survey, the other was market pricing using the published surveys. This competitive market survey work covered all 59 staff jobs in the study, looking at the market using the guidance in Table 2, the Compensation Market Strategy Grid. This section contains market data from published surveys. The advantage of the published survey data set is that Gallagher was able to market price all City jobs. The average salaries highlighted in blue are more than $20 \%$ from market median and those highlighted in gold are more than $10 \%$ from market median.

Table 5 - Staff Positions
Market Review Published and Custom Surveys -Salary - Alphabetically

| Job Title | Market 25th Salary | Market Median Salary | Market 75th Salary | City Average Salary vs. 25th | City Average Salary vs. 50th | City Average Salary vs. 75th | City Average Salary | City Salary Midpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Clerk III | \$36,968 | \$40,269 | \$44,364 | 3\% | -6\% | -14\% | \$37,939 | \$38,480 |
| Accountant II | \$51,252 | \$57,180 | \$62,373 | -3\% | -13\% | -21\% | \$49,525 | \$51,874 |
| Animal Control Officer | \$34,556 | \$37,520 | \$42,276 | 5\% | -4\% | -14\% | \$36,150 | \$35,984 |
| Applications Manager | \$93,958 | \$102,453 | \$110,751 | 4\% | -5\% | -12\% | \$97,573 | \$81,584 |
| Appraiser II | \$51,864 | \$58,770 | \$64,701 | 0\% | -12\% | -20\% | \$51,813 | \$47,108 |
| Assistant City Engineer | \$81,747 | \$89,435 | \$94,563 | -1\% | -9\% | -14\% | \$81,162 | \$69,805 |
| Assistant Transit Manager | \$65,111 | \$70,816 | \$80,977 | 0\% | -8\% | -20\% | \$65,146 | \$63,294 |
| Asst City Atty | \$79,342 | \$90,309 | \$101,789 | 1\% | -11\% | -21\% | \$80,018 | \$69,805 |
| Auto Mechanic III | \$46,646 | \$50,486 | \$55,510 | 0\% | -7\% | -16\% | \$46,842 | \$47,486 |
| Benefits Administrator | \$67,776 | \$76,101 | \$87,550 | 28\% | 14\% | -1\% | \$87,048 | \$63,294 |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator | \$52,159 | \$56,844 | \$62,646 | 1\% | -8\% | -16\% | \$52,478 | \$56,722 |
| Building Combination Inspector | \$48,126 | \$52,039 | \$57,453 | -8\% | -15\% | -23\% | \$44,158 | \$42,120 |
| Building Maintenance Mechanic III | \$42,445 | \$46,505 | \$52,492 | 3\% | -6\% | -17\% | \$43,763 | \$38,480 |
| Building Trades III | \$36,640 | \$41,481 | \$45,909 | 5\% | -7\% | -16\% | \$38,376 | \$38,480 |
| Business Application Specialist | \$56,393 | \$62,777 | \$67,872 | -2\% | -12\% | -18\% | \$55,349 | \$53,352 |
| Business Tax Auditor | \$47,202 | \$52,848 | \$57,921 | -3\% | -13\% | -21\% | \$45,926 | \$47,108 |
| Cash Management Tech | \$37,774 | \$42,208 | \$47,173 | 3\% | -8\% | -18\% | \$38,750 | \$38,480 |
| Civil Engineer | \$65,009 | \$72,536 | \$79,519 | 3\% | -7\% | -16\% | \$67,101 | \$56,722 |
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| Job Title | Market 25th Salary | Market Median Salary | Market 75th Salary | City <br> Average Salary vs. 25th | City <br> Average Salary vs. 50th | City <br> Average Salary vs. 75th | City Average Salary | City Salary Midpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collections Agent | \$42,932 | \$46,790 | \$51,396 | -9\% | -17\% | -24\% | \$38,938 | \$38,480 |
| Communications Coordinator | \$56,482 | \$67,919 | \$77,570 | 7\% | -11\% | -22\% | \$60,362 | \$56,722 |
| Communications Specialist | \$40,939 | \$45,803 | \$50,599 | 13\% | 1\% | -8\% | \$46,301 | \$39,666 |
| Community Service Officer | \$33,578 | \$35,980 | \$39,906 | -5\% | -11\% | -20\% | \$31,845 | \$31,552 |
| Customer Service Representative | \$32,356 | \$35,433 | \$41,276 | 0\% | -9\% | -22\% | \$32,365 | \$33,488 |
| Deputy City Attorney | \$106,955 | \$117,533 | \$145,618 | 6\% | -3\% | -22\% | \$113,838 | \$83,207 |
| Deputy Fire Chief | \$105,788 | \$115,127 | \$123,969 | -8\% | -16\% | -22\% | \$97,136 | \$91,300 |
| Deputy Sheriff | \$46,697 | \$54,321 | \$70,967 | -19\% | -31\% | -47\% | \$37,710 | \$37,232 |
| E\&S/VSMP Specialist | \$50,100 | \$54,604 | \$61,447 | 0\% | -8\% | -18\% | \$50,190 | \$53,352 |
| Employee Development \& Relations Coord. | \$69,994 | \$78,741 | \$85,487 | 9\% | -4\% | -11\% | \$75,962 | \$63,294 |
| Forensic Support Specialist | \$35,986 | \$39,670 | \$44,730 | 18\% | 7\% | -5\% | \$42,619 | \$38,480 |
| Gas Dispatcher | \$32,646 | \$37,354 | \$42,250 | -1\% | -14\% | -24\% | \$32,157 | \$33,488 |
| Gas Maintenance Worker | \$31,638 | \$37,278 | \$39,862 | 3\% | -13\% | -18\% | \$32,614 | \$33,488 |
| Gas Motor Equipment Operator | \$34,324 | \$40,794 | \$43,237 | 5\% | -11\% | -16\% | \$36,150 | \$38,480 |
| Gas Service Technician | \$39,309 | \$42,979 | \$47,172 | -5\% | -14\% | -21\% | \$37,149 | \$42,120 |
| GIS Analyst | \$52,979 | \$61,389 | \$67,260 | -10\% | -22\% | -29\% | \$47,927 | \$53,352 |
| Housing Program Coordinator | \$58,548 | \$66,409 | \$75,430 | 8\% | -5\% | -16\% | \$63,045 | \$69,805 |
| Human Resources Specialist | \$44,364 | \$48,787 | \$52,561 | 10\% | 0\% | -7\% | \$48,859 | \$47,108 |
| IT Operations Manager | \$98,481 | \$110,533 | \$123,201 | 11\% | -1\% | -11\% | \$109,304 | \$81,584 |
| Network Engineer | \$70,922 | \$80,255 | \$85,876 | -3\% | -14\% | -20\% | \$68,806 | \$62,379 |
| Paralegal | \$49,058 | \$53,709 | \$60,031 | 10\% | 0\% | -10\% | \$53,747 | \$51,522 |
| Payroll Technician | \$41,274 | \$46,043 | \$49,890 | 8\% | -3\% | -11\% | \$44,470 | \$42,120 |
| Permit Technician | \$34,919 | \$38,019 | \$43,701 | -1\% | -10\% | -21\% | \$34,403 | \$33,488 |
| Police Records Specialist | \$31,918 | \$34,990 | \$39,580 | 1\% | -8\% | -18\% | \$32,261 | \$33,488 |
| Program Coordinator | \$57,160 | \$63,939 | \$69,909 | -2\% | -12\% | -20\% | \$56,243 | \$51,522 |
| Project Manager | \$67,771 | \$76,331 | \$84,472 | 1\% | -10\% | -19\% | \$68,349 | \$69,805 |
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| Job Title | Market 25th Salary | Market Median Salary | Market 75th Salary | City <br> Average Salary vs. 25th | City <br> Average Salary vs. 50th | City <br> Average Salary vs. 75th | City <br> Average Salary | City Salary Midpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Maintenance Inspector | \$43,750 | \$50,306 | \$55,215 | 7\% | -7\% | -15\% | \$46,675 | \$53,352 |
| SAP Software Engineer | \$52,761 | \$77,208 | \$84,260 | - | - | - | - | \$56,722 |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | \$68,740 | \$74,970 | \$80,736 | 12\% | 3\% | -4\% | \$77,251 | \$69,805 |
| Senior Planner | \$59,502 | \$65,991 | \$71,953 | -3\% | -12\% | -20\% | \$57,782 | \$56,722 |
| Software Engineer | \$72,231 | \$79,157 | \$87,405 | -13\% | -20\% | -28\% | \$63,003 | \$62,379 |
| Software Systems Architect | \$85,552 | \$91,375 | \$98,602 | 4\% | -2\% | -9\% | \$89,294 | \$76,898 |
| Sr. Budget \& Management Analyst | \$65,137 | \$72,328 | \$79,069 | 5\% | -6\% | -14\% | \$68,266 | \$69,805 |
| Survey Technician | \$40,289 | \$46,210 | \$52,554 | 8\% | -6\% | -17\% | \$43,451 | \$38,480 |
| Systems Administrator | \$63,025 | \$70,407 | \$82,153 | 3\% | -8\% | -21\% | \$64,958 | \$42,765 |
| Systems Engineer | \$66,064 | \$72,705 | \$79,714 | 10\% | 0\% | -9\% | \$72,842 | \$62,379 |
| Tax Specialist II | \$39,280 | \$43,596 | \$48,483 | 2\% | -8\% | -17\% | \$40,061 | \$42,120 |
| Technical Support Specialist | \$42,336 | \$46,992 | \$51,141 | 3\% | -7\% | -14\% | \$43,747 | \$46,051 |
| Transit Operations Supervisor | \$49,732 | \$53,731 | \$60,494 | -12\% | -18\% | -28\% | \$43,846 | \$47,486 |
| Transit Operator | \$36,275 | \$40,336 | \$45,698 | 21\% | -12\% | -4\% | \$35,568 | \$38,480 |
| Utility Account Supervisor | \$52,277 | \$56,352 | \$67,171 | 27\% | 18\% | -1\% | \$66,248 | \$63,294 |

Averages $\quad 3 \% \quad-8 \% ~ 17 \%$

What this robust data set provides is a good assessment of City's competitive market position. Overall, average pay is below the market median. But, there are significant differences across individual jobs and the average salaries of the incumbents in those jobs. Graphically, Figure 1, below, shows the alignment issues regarding base salary versus the market.

Figure 1


Because averages of pay in low incumbency positions may be distorted by new hires with low starting salaries or long term employees with high salaries, the City needs to go further before applying this data.

Table 6 - Staff Positions
Market Review Published and Custom Surveys -Midpoints - Alphabetically

| Job Title | Market 25th <br> Salary | Market Median <br> Salary | Market 75 th Salary | City Salary <br> Midpoint | City Midpoint as <br> \% of Market <br> Median |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Clerk III | $\$ 36,968$ | $\$ 40,269$ | $\$ 44,364$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Accountant II | $\$ 51,252$ | $\$ 57,180$ | $\$ 62,373$ | $\$ 51,874$ | $-9 \%$ |
| Animal Control Officer | $\$ 34,556$ | $\$ 37,520$ | $\$ 42,276$ | $\$ 35,984$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Applications Manager | $\$ 93,958$ | $\$ 102,453$ | $\$ 110,751$ | $\$ 81,584$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Appraiser II | $\$ 51,864$ | $\$ 58,770$ | $\$ 64,701$ | $\$ 47,108$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Assistant City Engineer | $\$ 81,747$ | $\$ 89,435$ | $\$ 94,563$ | $\$ 69,805$ | $-22 \%$ |
| Assistant Transit Manager | $\$ 65,111$ | $\$ 70,816$ | $\$ 80,977$ | $\$ 63,294$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Asst City Atty | $\$ 79,342$ | $\$ 90,309$ | $\$ 101,789$ | $\$ 69,805$ | $-23 \%$ |
| Auto Mechanic III | $\$ 46,646$ | $\$ 50,486$ | $\$ 55,510$ | $\$ 47,486$ | $-6 \%$ |
| Benefits Administrator | $\$ 67,776$ | $\$ 76,101$ | $\$ 87,550$ | $\$ 63,294$ | $-17 \%$ |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator | $\$ 52,159$ | $\$ 56,844$ | $\$ 62,646$ | $\$ 56,722$ | $0 \%$ |
| Building Combination Inspector | $\$ 48,126$ | $\$ 52,039$ | $\$ 57,453$ | $\$ 42,120$ | $-19 \%$ |
| Building Maintenance Mechanic III | $\$ 42,445$ | $\$ 46,505$ | $\$ 52,492$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $-17 \%$ |
| Building Trades III | $\$ 36,640$ | $\$ 41,481$ | $\$ 45,909$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $-7 \%$ |
| Business Application Specialist | $\$ 56,393$ | $\$ 62,777$ | $\$ 67,872$ | $\$ 53,352$ | $-15 \%$ |
| Business Tax Auditor | $\$ 47,202$ | $\$ 52,848$ | $\$ 57,921$ | $\$ 47,108$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Cash Management Tech | $\$ 37,774$ | $\$ 42,208$ | $\$ 47,173$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $-9 \%$ |
| Civil Engineer | $\$ 65,009$ | $\$ 72,536$ | $\$ 79,519$ | $\$ 56,722$ | $-22 \%$ |
| Collections Agent | $\$ 42,932$ | $\$ 46,790$ | $\$ 51,396$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $-18 \%$ |
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| Job Title | Market 25th Salary | Market Median Salary | Market 75th Salary | City Salary Midpoint | City Midpoint as \% of Market Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Communications Coordinator | \$56,482 | \$67,919 | \$77,570 | \$56,722 | -16\% |
| Communications Specialist | \$40,939 | \$45,803 | \$50,599 | \$39,666 | -13\% |
| Community Service Officer | \$33,578 | \$35,980 | \$39,906 | \$31,552 | -12\% |
| Customer Service Representative | \$32,356 | \$35,433 | \$41,276 | \$33,488 | -5\% |
| Deputy City Attorney | \$106,955 | \$117,533 | \$145,618 | \$83,207 | -29\% |
| Deputy Fire Chief | \$105,788 | \$115,127 | \$123,969 | \$91,300 | -21\% |
| Deputy Sheriff | \$46,697 | \$54,321 | \$70,967 | \$37,232 | -31\% |
| E\&S/VSMP Specialist | \$50,100 | \$54,604 | \$61,447 | \$53,352 | -2\% |
| Employee Development \& Relations Coord. | \$69,994 | \$78,741 | \$85,487 | \$63,294 | -20\% |
| Forensic Support Specialist | \$35,986 | \$39,670 | \$44,730 | \$38,480 | -3\% |
| Gas Dispatcher | \$32,646 | \$37,354 | \$42,250 | \$33,488 | -10\% |
| Gas Maintenance Worker | \$31,638 | \$37,278 | \$39,862 | \$33,488 | -10\% |
| Gas Motor Equipment Operator | \$34,324 | \$40,794 | \$43,237 | \$38,480 | -6\% |
| Gas Service Technician | \$39,309 | \$42,979 | \$47,172 | \$42,120 | -2\% |
| GIS Analyst | \$52,979 | \$61,389 | \$67,260 | \$53,352 | -13\% |
| Housing Program Coordinator | \$58,548 | \$66,409 | \$75,430 | \$69,805 | 5\% |
| Human Resources Specialist | \$44,364 | \$48,787 | \$52,561 | \$47,108 | -3\% |
| IT Operations Manager | \$98,481 | \$110,533 | \$123,201 | \$81,584 | -26\% |
| Network Engineer | \$70,922 | \$80,255 | \$85,876 | \$62,379 | -22\% |
| Paralegal | \$49,058 | \$53,709 | \$60,031 | \$51,522 | -4\% |
| Payroll Technician | \$41,274 | \$46,043 | \$49,890 | \$42,120 | -9\% |

Page 24

Confidential and Proprietary - Not for Distribution

| Job Title | Market 25th Salary | Market Median Salary | Market 75th Salary | City Salary Midpoint | City Midpoint as \% of Market Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permit Technician | \$34,919 | \$38,019 | \$43,701 | \$33,488 | -12\% |
| Police Records Specialist | \$31,918 | \$34,990 | \$39,580 | \$33,488 | -4\% |
| Program Coordinator | \$57,160 | \$63,939 | \$69,909 | \$51,522 | -19\% |
| Project Manager | \$67,771 | \$76,331 | \$84,472 | \$69,805 | -9\% |
| Property Maintenance Inspector | \$43,750 | \$50,306 | \$55,215 | \$53,352 | 6\% |
| SAP Software Engineer | \$52,761 | \$77,208 | \$84,260 | \$56,722 | -27\% |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | \$68,740 | \$74,970 | \$80,736 | \$69,805 | -7\% |
| Senior Planner | \$59,502 | \$65,991 | \$71,953 | \$56,722 | -14\% |
| Software Engineer | \$72,231 | \$79,157 | \$87,405 | \$62,379 | -21\% |
| Software Systems Architect | \$85,552 | \$91,375 | \$98,602 | \$76,898 | -16\% |
| Sr. Budget \& Management Analyst | \$65,137 | \$72,328 | \$79,069 | \$69,805 | -3\% |
| Survey Technician | \$40,289 | \$46,210 | \$52,554 | \$38,480 | -17\% |
| Systems Administrator | \$63,025 | \$70,407 | \$82,153 | \$42,765 | -39\% |
| Systems Engineer | \$66,064 | \$72,705 | \$79,714 | \$62,379 | -14\% |
| Tax Specialist II | \$39,280 | \$43,596 | \$48,483 | \$42,120 | -3\% |
| Technical Support Specialist | \$42,336 | \$46,992 | \$51,141 | \$46,051 | -2\% |
| Transit Operations Supervisor | \$49,732 | \$53,731 | \$60,494 | \$47,486 | -12\% |
| Transit Operator | \$36,275 | \$40,336 | \$45,698 | \$38,480 | -5\% |
| Utility Account Supervisor | \$52,277 | \$56,352 | \$67,171 | \$63,294 | 12\% |
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A graphic, Figure 2, below, shows the alignment issues for the alignment of current City pay grades with the market.
Figure 2
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## Custom Survey Pay Practice Tables

The following tables display participant responses to questions within the custom survey.
Table 7

| Source | Last Salary <br> Increase | Avg. Amount <br> $(\%)$ | Last Salary <br> Str. Adj. | Str. Adj. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Custom Survey | $2017-71 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ | $2017-42 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ |
| City | 2017 | $2 \%$ | 2017 | $\sim 3 \%$ |

Which Type of Pay Increases Does Your Company Provide?
Table 8

| Source | Merit/Perf. <br> based | COLA | Step <br> increase | Market- <br> based <br> Salary <br> Adj. | Tenure | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Custom Survey | $79 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| City |  | $X$ |  |  |  |  |

## Custom Survey Benefits Practice Tables

## Medical Health Plan Questions

Table 9

| Source | In the last year, <br> did you increase <br> your employer <br> contribution to <br> your health plan? | - if yes, by <br> what <br> percent? | In the last year, <br> did you increase <br> the employee <br> contribution to <br> your health plan? | - if yes, by <br> what <br> percent? | Total Dollar Amt. for <br> Full Premium <br> Medical Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Custom Survey | $42 \%$ Yes | $4.85 \%$ | $42 \%$ Yes | $6.60 \%$ | $\$ 641 / \mathrm{mo}$. EE only |
| City | Yes | $9 \%$ | No | - | $\$ 769 / \mathrm{mo}$. EE only |

## Overall Compensation Summary/Next Steps

In summary, the City's salaries are, overall, low to the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the marketplace. The City's salary ranges are also low compared to the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the marketplace.

1. Overall the alignment of the City's salary structure and average base salaries are low compared to the market median.
2. Gallagher recommends moving jobs with low midpoints compared to market into a band/zone which is more strongly aligned with market median. Further discussion is needed and not included in the final report.
3. Once benchmark jobs have been placed into the appropriate salary ranges, a review of non-benchmark jobs should take place to ensure internal alignment.
4. Gallagher recommends that additional zones be added to all of the pay bands to allow for salary ranges which are more competitive with the market. Further discussion is needed and not included in the final report.
5. Individual pay is low compared to market and this is the amount Gallagher recommends adjustments to move individuals into the new salary ranges be in the City's 2019-20 salary budget to achieve competitiveness.
6. Gallagher recommends that as a second phase of implementation, the City provide additional adjustments to alleviate compression within jobs for jobs that have changed levels.
7. Gallagher recommends conducting a market review every $2-3$ years to remain market competitive. Jobs that are difficult to hire or retain employees may need to be reviewed more frequently.

## Appendix

## Suggested Job Leveling

Table 10 below, lists all benchmark jobs with a proposed midpoint based on the new (2018/2019) salary structure. Fields highlighted in blue indicate jobs where the highest level zone midpoint is low compared to the market median for the job. Fields highlighted in green indicate jobs where the proposed pay band is changing (i.e. Technical to Professional). Once changes are made overall, the comparison between the market and the midpoints are more closely aligned with market median (100\%). This is displayed graphically in Figure 3, located after the table. The City should review these suggestions and determine if any additional leveling changes are needed for non-benchmark jobs to maintain internal equity.

Table 10
Benchmark Jobs Listed Alphabetically

| Job Title | Market <br> Median <br> Salary | City <br> Average <br> Salary | City <br> Salary <br> Midpoint | Proposed <br> Band/Zone <br> 2019 <br> Midpoint | Proposed <br> vs. <br> Market <br> Median | Proposed <br> Pay Rand/ <br> Zone | Notes - <br> Change <br> (NC) in <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Clerk III | $\$ 40,269$ | $\$ 37,939$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $\$ 39,558$ | $98 \%$ | Tech/3/I |  |
| Accountant II | $\$ 57,180$ | $\$ 49,525$ | $\$ 51,874$ | $\$ 60,025$ | $105 \%$ | Prof/3/II |  |
| Animal Control Officer | $\$ 37,520$ | $\$ 36,150$ | $\$ 35,984$ | $\$ 37,764$ | $101 \%$ | Tech/2/III |  |
| Applications Manager | $\$ 102,453$ | $\$ 97,573$ | $\$ 81,584$ | $\$ 104,035$ | $102 \%$ | Mgmt/3/III |  |
| Appraiser II | $\$ 58,770$ | $\$ 51,813$ | $\$ 47,108$ | $\$ 60,026$ | $102 \%$ | Prof/3/II |  |
| Assistant City Engineer | $\$ 89,435$ | $\$ 81,162$ | $\$ 69,805$ | $\$ 81,915$ | $92 \%$ | Prof/4/III |  |
| Assistant City Manager | $\$ 144,877$ | $\$ 140,982$ | $\$ 114,239$ | $\$ 139,950$ | $97 \%$ | Mgmt/5/II |  |
| Assistant Transit Manager | $\$ 70,816$ | $\$ 65,146$ | $\$ 63,294$ | $\$ 67,784$ | $96 \%$ | Prof/4/I |  |
| Asst City Atty | $\$ 90,309$ | $\$ 80,018$ | $\$ 69,805$ | $\$ 81,915$ | $91 \%$ | Prof/4/III |  |
| Auto Mechanic III | $\$ 50,486$ | $\$ 46,842$ | $\$ 47,486$ | $\$ 49,778$ | $99 \%$ | Tech/4/II |  |
| Benefits Administrator | $\$ 76,101$ | $\$ 87,048$ | $\$ 63,294$ | $\$ 74,853$ | $98 \%$ | Prof/4/II |  |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator | $\$ 56,844$ | $\$ 52,478$ | $\$ 56,722$ | $\$ 60,026$ | $106 \%$ | Prof/3/II | NC |
| Building Combination Inspector | $\$ 52,039$ | $\$ 44,158$ | $\$ 42,120$ | $\$ 49,778$ | $96 \%$ | Tech/4/II |  |
| Building Maintenance Mechanic III | $\$ 46,505$ | $\$ 43,763$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $\$ 45,887$ | $99 \%$ | Tech/4/I |  |
| Building Trades III | $\$ 41,481$ | $\$ 38,376$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $\$ 42,034$ | $101 \%$ | Tech/3/II |  |
| Business Application Specialist | $\$ 62,777$ | $\$ 55,349$ | $\$ 53,352$ | $\$ 60,026$ | $96 \%$ | Prof/3/II |  |
| Business Tax Auditor | $\$ 52,848$ | $\$ 45,926$ | $\$ 47,108$ | $\$ 51,766$ | $98 \%$ | Prof/2/III |  |


| Job Title | Market Median Salary | City <br> Average Salary | City Salary Midpoint | Proposed Band/Zone 2019 Midpoint | Proposed VS. Market Median | Proposed Pay Band/ Zone | Notes No Change (NC) in Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Management Tech | \$42,208 | \$38,750 | \$38,480 | \$42,034 | 100\% | Tech/3/II |  |
| City Attorney | \$170,654 | - | \$129,409 | \$154,945 | 91\% | Mgmt/5/III |  |
| City Sheriff | \$141,575 | \$92,165 | \$114,239 | \$139,950 | 99\% | Mgmt/5/II |  |
| City Treasurer | \$103,189 | \$98,509 | \$99,091 | \$109,238 | 106\% | Mgmt/4/l | NC |
| Civil Engineer | \$72,536 | \$67,101 | \$56,722 | \$74,853 | 103\% | Prof/4/II |  |
| Clerk of Circuit Court | \$132,361 | \$121,139 | \$99,091 | \$134,938 | 102\% | Mgmt/5/l |  |
| Clerk of City Council | \$90,416 | \$72,842 | \$63,294 | \$81,914 | 91\% | Prof/4/III |  |
| Collections Agent | \$46,790 | \$38,938 | \$38,480 | \$45,867 | 98\% | Tech/4/1 |  |
| Commissioner of Revenue | \$99,675 | \$89,232 | \$99,091 | \$109,238 | 110\% | Mgmt/4/l | NC |
| Commonwealth's Attorney | \$165,691 | \$150,010 | \$129,409 | \$154,945 | 94\% | Mgmt/5/III |  |
| Communications Coordinator | \$67,919 | \$60,362 | \$56,722 | \$67,784 | 100\% | Prof/4/I |  |
| Communications Specialist | \$45,803 | \$46,301 | \$39,666 | \$46,126 | 101\% | Prof/2/l |  |
| Community Service Officer | \$35,980 | \$31,845 | \$31,552 | \$34,445 | 96\% | Tech/1/III |  |
| Customer Service Representative | \$35,433 | \$32,365 | \$33,488 | \$36,167 | 102\% | Tech/2/I | NC |
| Deputy City Attorney | \$117,533 | \$113,838 | \$83,207 | \$117,803 | 100\% | Mgmt/4/II |  |
| Deputy Fire Chief | \$115,127 | \$97,136 | \$91,300 | \$117,803 | 102\% | Mgmt/4/II |  |
| Deputy Sheriff | \$54,321 | \$37,710 | \$37,232 | \$54,174 | 100\% | Prot/3/I |  |
| Director Communications | \$123,656 | \$98,010 | \$99,091 | \$117,803 | 95\% | Mgmt/4/II |  |
| Director Economic Development | \$120,223 | \$130,811 | \$99,091 | \$117,803 | 98\% | Mgmt/4/II |  |
| Director Finance | \$141,443 | \$146,453 | \$99,091 | \$138,000 | 98\% | Mgmt/5/II |  |
| Director Human Resources | \$130,132 | \$132,808 | \$99,091 | \$128,513 | 99\% | Mgmt/4/III |  |
| Director Human Services | \$123,201 | \$116,542 | \$99,091 | \$128,513 | 104\% | Mgmt/4/III |  |
| Director Information Technology | \$144,937 | \$143,562 | \$114,239 | \$139,951 | 97\% | Mgmt/5/II |  |
| Director Neighborhood Development Services | \$134,509 | \$130,042 | \$99,091 | \$134,938 | 100\% | Mgmt/5/I |  |
| Director of Public Transit | \$119,913 | \$119,413 | \$129,409 | \$128,512 | 107\% | Mgmt/4/III | NC |
| Director of Public Utilities | \$143,023 | \$148,491 | \$129,409 | \$139,951 | 98\% | Mgmt/5/II |  |
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| Job Title | Market Median Salary | City Average Salary | City Salary Midpoint | Proposed Band/Zone 2019 Midpoint | Proposed vs. <br> Market <br> Median | Proposed Pay Band/ Zone | Notes No Change (NC) in Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Director Parks and Recreation | \$133,348 | \$140,774 | \$129,409 | \$134,938 | 101\% | Mgmt/5/l |  |
| Director Public Works | \$134,386 | \$130,562 | \$99,091 | \$134,938 | 100\% | Mgmt/5/l |  |
| Director Social Services | \$122,094 | \$127,400 | \$99,091 | \$117,803 | 96\% | Mgmt/4/II |  |
| E\&S/VSMP Specialist | \$54,604 | \$50,190 | \$53,352 | \$53,682 | 98\% | Tech/4/III |  |
| Employee Development \& Relations Coord. | \$78,741 | \$75,962 | \$63,294 | \$81,915 | 104\% | Prof/4/III |  |
| Fire Chief | \$126,406 | \$131,622 | \$99,091 | \$128,513 | 102\% | Mgmt/4/III |  |
| Forensic Support Specialist | \$39,670 | \$42,619 | \$38,480 | \$39,558 | 100\% | Tech/3/I | NC |
| Gas Dispatcher | \$37,354 | \$32,157 | \$33,488 | \$36,167 | 97\% | Tech/2/l | NC |
| Gas Maintenance Worker | \$37,278 | \$32,614 | \$33,488 | \$36,167 | 97\% | Tech/2/I | NC |
| Gas Motor Equipment Operator | \$40,794 | \$36,150 | \$38,480 | \$39,558 | 97\% | Tech/3/I | NC |
| Gas Service Technician | \$42,979 | \$37,149 | \$42,120 | \$42,034 | 98\% | Tech/3/II | NC |
| General Registrar | \$69,897 | \$63,690 | \$66,858 | \$68,522 | 98\% | Mgmt/2/l | NC |
| GIS Analyst | \$61,389 | \$47,927 | \$53,352 | \$60,026 | 98\% | Prof/3/II |  |
| Housing Program Coordinator | \$66,409 | \$63,045 | \$69,805 | \$74,853 | 113\% | Prof/4/II | NC |
| Human Resources Specialist | \$48,787 | \$48,859 | \$47,108 | \$48,942 | 100\% | Prof/2/II | NC |
| IT Operations Manager | \$110,533 | \$109,304 | \$81,584 | \$109,238 | 99\% | Mgmt/4/l |  |
| Network Engineer | \$80,255 | \$68,806 | \$62,379 | \$81,915 | 102\% | Prof/4/III |  |
| Paralegal | \$53,709 | \$53,747 | \$51,522 | \$54,354 | 101\% | Prof/3/I | NC |
| Payroll Technician | \$46,043 | \$44,470 | \$42,120 | \$45,867 | 100\% | Tech/4/I |  |
| Permit Technician | \$38,019 | \$34,403 | \$33,488 | \$37,674 | 99\% | Tech/2/III |  |
| Police Chief | \$140,574 | \$134,514 | \$129,409 | \$139,951 | 100\% | Mgmt/5/II |  |
| Police Records Specialist | \$34,990 | \$32,261 | \$33,488 | \$36,167 | 103\% | Tech/2/I | NC |
| Program Coordinator | \$63,939 | \$56,243 | \$51,522 | \$64,556 | 100\% | Prof/3/III |  |
| Project Manager | \$76,331 | \$68,349 | \$69,805 | \$74,853 | 98\% | Prof/4/II | NC |
| Property Maintenance Inspector | \$50,306 | \$46,675 | \$53,352 | \$49,778 | 99\% | Tech/4/II | NC |
| SAP Software Engineer | \$77,208 | - | \$56,722 | \$74,853 | 97\% | Prof/4/II |  |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | \$74,970 | \$77,251 | \$69,805 | \$74,853 | 100\% | Prof/4/II | NC |
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| Job Title | Market <br> Median <br> Salary | City <br> Average <br> Salary | City <br> Salary <br> Midpoint | Proposed <br> Band/Zone <br> 2019 <br> Midpoint | Proposed <br> vs. <br> Market <br> Median | Proposed <br> Pay Band/ <br> Zone | Notes - <br> Change <br> (NC) in <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior Planner | $\$ 65,991$ | $\$ 57,782$ | $\$ 56,722$ | $\$ 64,556$ | $98 \%$ | Prof/3/III |  |
| Software Engineer | $\$ 79,157$ | $\$ 63,003$ | $\$ 62,379$ | $\$ 81,915$ | $103 \%$ | Prof/4/III |  |
| Software Systems Architect | $\$ 91,375$ | $\$ 89,294$ | $\$ 76,898$ | $\$ 81,915$ | $90 \%$ | Prof/4/III | NC |
| Sr. Budget \& Management Analyst | $\$ 72,328$ | $\$ 68,266$ | $\$ 69,805$ | $\$ 74,853$ | $103 \%$ | Prof/4/II | NC |
| Survey Technician | $\$ 46,210$ | $\$ 43,451$ | $\$ 38,480$ | $\$ 45,867$ | $99 \%$ | Tech/4/I |  |
| Systems Administrator | $\$ 70,407$ | $\$ 64,958$ | $\$ 42,765$ | $\$ 67,784$ | $96 \%$ | Prof/4/I |  |
| Systems Engineer | $\$ 72,705$ | $\$ 72,842$ | $\$ 62,379$ | $\$ 74,853$ | $103 \%$ | Prof/4/II |  |
| Tax Specialist II | $\$ 43,596$ | $\$ 40,061$ | $\$ 42,120$ | $\$ 42,034$ | $96 \%$ | Tech/3/II | NC |
| Technical Support Specialist | $\$ 46,992$ | $\$ 43,747$ | $\$ 46,051$ | $\$ 45,867$ | $98 \%$ | Tech/4/I |  |
| Transit Operations Supervisor | $\$ 53,731$ | $\$ 43,846$ | $\$ 47,486$ | $\$ 53,682$ | $100 \%$ | Tech/4/III |  |
| Transit Operator | $\$ 40,336$ | $\$ 43,846$ | $\$ 47,486$ | $\$ 45,867$ | $114 \%$ | Tech/4/I | NC |
| Utility Account Supervisor | $\$ 56,352$ | $\$ 66,248$ | $\$ 63,294$ | $\$ 67,784$ | $120 \%$ | Prof/4/I | NC |

Average
100\%
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