

File

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

Department of Community Development

City Hall • P.O. Box 911
Charlottesville, Virginia • 22902
Telephone 804-971-3182



May 1, 1987

Mr. Robert Gray
Shank and Gray Architects
510 East Main Street
Charlottesville, VA. 22901

RE: DBAR 87 - 4 - 87 414 East Water Street - Modification of Front Facade

Dear Mr. Gray:

At its regular meeting on April 28, 1987, the Downtown Board of Architectural Review considered your application for the modification of the front facade of the building at 414 East Water Street. Following a general discussion, the Board voted to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness as requested for work below the painted sign and between the two pilasters. The brick and mortar must match the original as nearly as possible, and the surface must be washed or tint be applied in order to match the existing building as nearly as possible.

We wish you every success with this project. If we can be of further help to you in this matter, please feel free to contact either me or Fred Boger at 971-3182.

Sincerely,

Satyendra Singh Huja
Director of Planning
and
Community Development

cc: Richard Pace

FMB:bk

CITY OF
CHARLOTTESVILLE
VIRGINIA
MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development S.S.H.

DATE: April 21, 1987

RE: DBAR 87 - 4 - 87 414 East Water Street - Modification of Front Facade
Mr. Robert Gray, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- A copy of the Application for a Certificate of Appropriateness
- A copy of the elevation drawing showing existing and proposed facade treatment

Mr. Robert Gray has submitted a Certificate of Appropriateness application to modify the Water Street facade of the King Warehouse. Mr. Gray proposes to eliminate the existing entrance (formerly A. G. Edwards), substituting instead two windows as suggested by two arches inscribed in the brickwork above the entrances. The new windows will match the existing windows, which have artificial mullions in them. The existing entrance opening will be closed with brick and mortar to match the building's existing masonry.

We have reviewed the plans and have concern about matching the existing brick and mortar on the building if this front entrance is closed. The brick and mortar used on the building when it was renovated did not match the existing masonry very well. This masonry work is readily visible from the street and detracts from the building's appearance. If improper masonry is used on closing this entrance, it could lead to a later request to paint the building, which we would find unacceptable. Although we prefer to have the side entrance closed instead of the Water Street entrance, we would suggest that the applicant consider cleaning the facade of the building first with a mild liquid chemical cleaning agent solution. Once the facade has been cleaned, the applicant can then present to the Board samples of the brick and mortar to be used so that an on-site visit could be made to determine if there will be an appropriate match in the new and existing masonry work.

If you have any questions on this item, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

FMB:bk



CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION

OCD
1/13/81

Please Return To: Department of Community Development, P.O. Box 911, City Hall,
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 Telephone (804) 971-3182

APPLICANT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COMPLETION OF THIS SECTION

A. Information on Property Applied For:

Address: 414 E. Water Street
Charlottesville, VA 22901

City Tax Map No.: 28 Parcel: 60.1G and
60.1K

B. Applicant Information

Name: Robert Gray, Shank & Gray Arch.

Address: 510 E. Main Street
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Phone: (B) 295-0131 (H) 296-7576

C. Property Owner Information (If Not Applicant)

Name: PSGS Land Trust

Address: 418 E. Water Street
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Phone: (B) 2962161 (H) N/A

D. Federal Tax Credits: Do you intend to apply for Federal historic preservation tax credits for this project? (Y) X (N) (Please note that approval of this application does not assure certification of rehabilitation work for Federal preservation tax incentives.)

E. Description of Proposed Work (Use Back if Necessary) - Please provide complete information in order to avoid having to come back to the board for subsequent approval.

Modification of Water Street facade of King Warehouse. We propose to eliminate the existing entrance (formerly A. G. Edwards) substituting instead two windows as suggested by two arches inscribed in the brick-work above the entrance. See Elevation (Sheet 4)

F. List Attached Information (Drawings and Site Plans to Scale, Photographs, etc.) - Please note that site plans must be approved by the Department of Community Development before submission to the board.

Facade drawing (Sheet 4) showing existing and revised elevations (2) color photographs of the facade.

G. Property Owner Permission (If Not Applicant)

I have read this application and hereby give my consent to its submission.

William Scott Benefield 4/9/87
Signature Date

H. Signature of Applicant

I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct.

Signature Date

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Received By: _____

Date: _____

Approved: _____ Disapproved: _____

Conditions of Approval: _____

PLEASE NOTE THAT ADDITIONAL PERMITS (BUILDING, SIGN, ETC.) MAY BE NECESSARY

DBOR 87-4-87

414 E. Water Street

Modification of Front Facade

Robert Gray, Applicant

Please find attached for consideration of the above item the following:

- Copy of application for a Certificate of Appropriateness
- Copy of elevation drawing showing existing and proposed facade treatment.

Mr. Robert Gray has submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to modify the front Water Street facade of the Itchy Warehouse. Mr. Gray proposes to eliminate the existing entrance (formerly of A.G. Edwards) substituting instead two windows as suggested by two arches inscribed in the brickwork above the entrance. The ^{new} windows will match the existing windows which have artificial mullion in them. The existing entrance opening will be closed with brick and mortar to ^{match} ~~match~~ buildings existing masonry.

We have reviewed the plans and ~~drawings~~ ^{and} have concern about matching the existing brick and mortar on the building if the front entrance entrance is closed. The brick and mortar used on the building when ~~the~~ ^{it} ~~building~~ was renovated ~~does~~ ^{and} ~~not~~ ^{match} the existing ~~and~~ masonry very well. This ^{masonry} work is readily visible ~~from~~ ^{from} the street ~~and~~ ^{details} ~~from~~ ^{buildings} the ~~entrance~~ ^{appearance}. If masonry is done on closing this entrance, ~~it~~ ^{it could} ~~lead~~ ^{lead} to a later request to paint the building which we find unacceptable. Although we prefer to have the side entrance closed instead of the ~~on~~ ^{on} Water Street entrance we would suggest

The applicant consider cleaning the facade of the building first
with a mild liquid chemical cleaning solution. Once the facade has been
cleaned, ^{the applicant can then} present to the Board samples of the brick to be used ^{and motion} so
that an on-site visit could be made to determine if there will be
an appropriate match in the ^{new and existing} masonry work.

If you have any questions on this item, please call me
at Fred Boyer at 971-3182. Thank you.