From: Scala, Mary Joy

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2015 10:47 AM

To: 'markwgreen@gmail.com'

Cc: 'Jeff Bushman'

Subject: BAR Action- 608 preston Avenue- April 2015

April 28, 2015

King Lumber Partners, LLC 109 Robinson Woods Charlottesville, VA 22903

Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 15-02-04
608 Preston Ave
Tax Parcel 320014000
King Lumber Partners, LLC, Owners/ Mark Green, Applicant
Revisions to renovation plan

Dear Applicant,

The above referenced project was discussed before a meeting of the City of Charlottesville Board of Architectural Review (BAR) on April 21, 2015. The following action was taken:

The BAR approved the revisions to the renovation plan on the consent agenda (5-0-3 with Graves, Knott and Earnst abstaining because they were not at the March meeting.)

In accordance with Charlottesville City Code 34-285(b), this decision may be appealed to the City Council in writing within ten working days of the date of the decision. Written appeals, including the grounds for an appeal, the procedure(s) or standard(s) alleged to have been violated or misapplied by the BAR, and/or any additional information, factors or opinions the applicant deems relevant to the application, should be directed to Paige Barfield, Clerk of the City Council, PO Box 911, Charlottesville, VA 22902.

This certificate of appropriateness shall expire in 18 months (October 21, 2016), unless within that time period you have either: been issued a building permit for construction of the improvements if one is required, or if no building permit is required, commenced the project. The expiration date may differ if the COA is associated with a valid site plan. You may request an extension of the certificate of appropriateness *before this approval expires* for one additional year for reasonable cause.

Upon completion of the project, please contact me for an inspection of the improvements included in this application. If you have any questions, please contact me at 434-970-3130 or scala@charlottesville.org.

Sincerely yours,

Mary Joy Scala, AICP
Preservation and Design Planner
Mary Joy Scala, AICP
Preservation and Design Planner
City of Charlottesville
Department of Neighborhood Development Services
City Hall - 610 East Market Street
P.O. Box 911
Charlottesville, VA 22902
Ph 434.970.3130 FAX 434.970.3359
scala@charlottesville.org

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW STAFF REPORT April 21, 2015



Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 15-04-05 608 Preston Avenue Tax Parcel 320014000 King Lumber Partners, LLC, Owners/ Mark Green, Applicant Revisions to renovation plan

Background

The former King Lumber Building (1909) is an Individually Protected Property. Therefore, the entire property is subject to BAR review. The applicant has provided excellent historical background information, the historic survey, and photos of historic and current conditions.

<u>December 18, 2007</u> – A preliminary discussion took place. Minutes attached. Comments made: Continue to reduce the impact of the wall; ramp is less architectural; wall did signify entrance at street; perhaps a lower wall; painted signs on wall a great idea; use multi pane windows to add scale; do not undermine the workaday quality; strategy to open up is great; don't like extra parking; paint should mimic original in terms of light/dark contrast; create contrast from Reid's.

<u>March 18, 2008</u> – The BAR approved (7-0) as submitted demolition of part of the rear shed, concrete loading area, part of annex roof and walls and certain openings in King Building both to restore historic openings and to alter window openings and doors.

The BAR approved (7-0) the rehab of the King Building and additions to the annex with the condition that the design of the connector piece be required to be revised to be more open (revised elevation to be submitted for staff approval) and the BAR requested that the orientation of the stair be studied in an effort to reduce the size of the balcony landings; and that the elevator be investigated to make it as low as possible; and that the color of the steel is to be approved by staff. The approval includes the proposed color to repaint the brick if the applicant chooses to do that. The site plan including the height of the wall (to possibly be reduced) will come back to the BAR for approval. The signage must be approved separately. In general, simpler signage is better; check with staff on monument signage regulations; straightforward landscaping is preferred.

April 15, 2008 - The applicant requested deferral. The BAR said they do not care to review the rear parking lot site plan. The BAR requested a section and pavement/plaza materials and patterns; they asked the applicant not to obscure the base or left side of the façade with landscaping; they questioned the honey locust species; and noted that the new design (axial relationship) is well done.

May 20, 2008 - The BAR approved (8-0) the site plan design with the following conditions: Construction details for the 2 benches and detail for the termination of sawcut of poured-in-place terraces and any other handrail details that become necessary are to come back for staff approval.

<u>February 17, 2015</u> - The BAR approved (6-0) the renovation project as submitted (rendering attached).

Application

The applicant is requesting two changes to the February 2015 approval:

- The new tower and bridge elements have been eliminated. The stair and elevator will be moved to the interior of the building.
- On the rear elevation a previous brick arch opening will be restored as a glazed entry door.

Criteria, Standards, and Guidelines

Review Criteria Generally

Sec. 34-284(b) of the City Code states that,

In considering a particular application the BAR shall approve the application unless it finds:

- (1) That the proposal does not meet specific standards set forth within this division or applicable provisions of the Design Guidelines established by the board pursuant to Sec.34-288(6); and
- (2) The proposal is incompatible with the historic, cultural or architectural character of the district in which the property is located or the protected property that is the subject of the application.

Pertinent Standards for Review of Construction and Alterations include:

- (1) Whether the material, texture, color, height, scale, mass and placement of the proposed addition, modification or construction are visually and architecturally compatible with the site and the applicable design control district;
- (2) The harmony of the proposed change in terms of overall proportion and the size and placement of entrances, windows, awnings, exterior stairs and signs;
- (3) The Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation set forth within the Code of Federal Regulations (36 C.F.R. §67.7(b)), as may be relevant;
 - 1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.
 - 2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.
 - 3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.
 - 4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.
 - 5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.
 - 6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.
 - 7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.
 - 8. Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.
 - 9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated form the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
 - 10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
- (4) The effect of the proposed change on the historic district neighborhood;

- (5) The impact of the proposed change on other protected features on the property, such as gardens, landscaping, fences, walls and walks;
- (6) Whether the proposed method of construction, renovation or restoration could have an adverse impact on the structure or site, or adjacent buildings or structures;
- (8) Any applicable provisions of the City's Design Guidelines.

Pertinent Guidelines for Rehabilitation include:

B. FACADES AND STOREFRONTS

Over time, commercial buildings are altered or remodeled to reflect current fashions or to eliminate maintenance problems. Often these improvements are misguided and result in a disjointed and unappealing appearance. Other improvements that use good materials and sensitive design may be as attractive as the original building and these changes should be saved. The following guidelines will help to determine what is worth saving and what should be rebuilt.

- 1) Conduct pictorial research to determine the design of the original building or early changes.
- Conduct exploratory demolition to determine what original fabric remains and its condition.
- 3) Remove any inappropriate materials, signs, or canopies covering the façade.
- 4) Retain all elements, materials, and features that are original to the building or are contextual remodelings, and repair as necessary.
- 5) Restore as many original elements as possible, particularly the materials, windows, decorative details, and cornice.
- 6) When designing new building elements, base the design on the "Typical elements of a commercial façade and storefront" (see drawing next page).
- 7) Reconstruct missing or original elements, such as cornices, windows, and storefronts, if documentation is available.
- 8) Design new elements that respect the character, materials, and design of the building, yet are distinguished from the original building.
- 9) Depending on the existing building's age, originality of the design and architectural significance, in some cases there may be an opportunity to create a more contemporary façade design when undertaking a renovation project.
- Avoid using materials that are incompatible with the building or within the specific districts, including textured wood siding, vinyl or aluminum siding, and pressure-treated wood,
- 11) Avoid introducing_inappropriate architectural_elements where they never previously existed.

C. WINDOWS

Windows add light to the interior of a building, provide ventilation, and allow a visual link to the outside. They also play a major part in defining a building's particular style. Because of the wide variety of architectural styles and periods of construction within the districts, there is a corresponding variation of styles, types, and sizes of windows.

Windows are one of the major character-defining features on buildings and can be varied by different designs of sills, panes, sashes, lintels, decorative caps, and shutters. They may occur in regular intervals or in asymmetrical patterns. Their size may highlight various bay divisions in the building. All of the windows may be the same or there may be a variety of types that give emphasis to certain parts of the building.

- 1) Prior to any repair or replacement of windows, a survey of existing window conditions is recommended. Note number of windows, whether each window is original or replaced, the material, type, hardware and finish, the condition of the frame, sash, sill, putty, and panes.
- 2) Retain original windows when possible.
- 3) Uncover and repair covered up windows and reinstall windows where they have been blocked in.
- 4) If the window is no longer needed, the glass should be retained and the back side frosted, screened, or shuttered so that it appears from the outside to be in use.
- 5) Repair original windows by patching, splicing, consolidating or otherwise reinforcing. Wood that appears to be in bad condition because of peeling paint or separated joints often can be repaired.

- 6) Replace historic components of a window that are beyond repair with matching components.
- 7) Replace entire windows only when they are missing or beyond repair.
- 8) If a window on the primary façade of a building must be replaced and an existing window of the same style, material, and size is identified on a secondary elevation, place the historic window in the window opening on the primary façade.
- 9) Reconstruction should be based on physical evidence or old photographs.
- 10) Avoid changing the number, location, size, or glazing pattern of windows by cutting new openings, blocking in windows, or installing replacement sash that does not fit the window opening.
- 11) Do not use inappropriate materials or finishes that radically change the sash, depth of reveal, muntin configuration, reflective quality or color of the glazing, or appearance of the frame.
- 12) Use replacement windows with true divided lights or interior and exterior fixed muntins with internal spacers to replace historic or original examples.
- 13) If windows warrant replacement, appropriate material for new windows depends upon the context of the building within a historic district, and the age and design of the building. Sustainable materials such as wood, aluminum-clad wood, solid fiberglass, and metal windows are preferred. Vinyl windows are discouraged.
- 14) False muntins and internal removable grilles do not present an historic appearance and should not be used.
- 15) Do not use tinted or mirrored glass on major facades of the building. Translucent or low (e) glass may be strategies to keep heat gain down.
- 16) Storm windows should match the size and shape of the existing windows and the original sash configuration. Special shapes, such as arched top storms, are available.
- 17) Storm windows should not damage or obscure the windows and frames.
- 18) Avoid aluminum-colored storm sash. It can be painted an appropriate color if it is first primed with a zinc chromate primer.
- 19) The addition of shutters may be appropriate if not previously installed_but if compatible with the style of the building or neighborhood.
- 20) In general, shutters should be wood (rather than metal or vinyl) and should be mounted on hinges. In some circumstances, appropriately dimensioned, painted, composite material shutters may be used.
- 21) The size of the shutters should result in their covering the window opening when closed.
- 22) Avoid shutters on composite or bay windows.
- 23) If using awnings, ensure that they align with the opening being covered.
- 24) Use awning colors that are compatible with the colors of the building.

H. Masonry

Masonry includes brick, stone, terra cotta, concrete, stucco, and mortar. Masonry is used on cornices, pediments, lintels, sills, and decorative features, as well as for wall surfaces. Color, texture, mortar joint type, and patterns of the masonry help define the overall character of a building. Brick is used for the construction of building walls, retaining walls, fencing, and chimneys.


- 1) Retain masonry features, such as walls, brackets, railings, cornices, window surrounds, pediments, steps, and columns that are important in defining the overall character of the building.
- 2) When repairing or replacing a masonry feature, respect the size, texture, color, and pattern of masonry units, as well as mortar joint size and tooling.
- 3) When repointing masonry, duplicate mortar strength, composition, color, and texture.
 - a. Do not repoint with mortar that is stronger than the original mortar and the brick itself.
 - b. Do not repoint with a synthetic caulking compound.
- 4) Repoint to match original joints and retain the original joint width.
- 5) Do not paint unpainted masonry.

Discussion and Recommendations

The proposed changes are appropriate.

Suggested Motion

Having considered the standards set forth within the City Code, including City Design Guidelines for Rehabilitation, I move to find that the proposed renovation plan for the King Lumber Building satisfies the BAR's criteria and guidelines and is compatible with this Individually Protected Property, and that the BAR approves the application as submitted.



- 1 BRICK TO BE REPAIRED AND REPAINTED
- 2 NEW STAIR/ELEVATOR TOWER WITH BRIDGES CONNECTING TO WAREHOUSE
- 3 NEW EXTERIOR STAIR
- 4 NEW PEDESTRIAN BREEZEWAY BETWEEN WAREHOUSE AND ANNEX
- 5 EXISTING ANNEX OPENING TO BE RECONFIGURED AS NEW GLAZED STOREFRONT ENTRY
- 6 EXISTING OPENING TO RECEIVE NEW WINDOW UNIT
- 7 EXISTING ASPHALT TO REMAIN; REPAIR AS NECESSARY
- 8 EXISTING LANDSCAPE TO REMAIN (NOT IN PROJECT SCOPE)



February 17, 2015 approval



Board of Architectural Review (BAR) Certificate of Appropriateness

MAR 3 1 2015

Please Return To: City of Charlottesville

Department of Neighborhood Development Services

P.O. Box 911, City Hall Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Telephone (434) 970-3130 Fax (434) 970-3359

Please submit ten (10) copies of application form and all attachments.

For a new construction project, please include \$375 application fee. For all other projects requiring BAR approval, please include \$125 application fee. For projects that require only administrative approval, please include \$100 administrative fee. Make checks payable to the City of Charlottesville.

The BAR meets the third Tuesday of the month.

Deadline for submittals is Tuesday 3 weeks prior to next BAR meeting by 3:30 p.m.

· ·	
Owner Name MARK 6REEN	Applicant Name
Project Name/Description_KIN6_WMBERBVIVDIN6_Parcel Number	
Property Address 608 PRESTON AVE	ENHE
Applicant Information Address: Email: JBO b darchitects - com. Phone: (W) 434.242-1455 (H) FAX: Property Owner Information (if not applicant) Address: LOG ROGINSON WOODS C-VILLE 22963 Email: MAYKGO ecorp - real - estate.u. Phone: (W) 434-407-3313 (H) FAX: Do you intend to apply for Federal or State Tax Credits	commitment to pay invoice for required mail notices.) Signature Date TEFF BVIHMAN 3.31.15. Print Name Date
for this project?	Signature Date MARK 6 PEEN 3.31.15 Print Name Date
	Print Name Date
Description of Proposed Work (attach separate narrative if necessary):	
List All Attachments (see reverse side for submittal requirements):	
For Office Use Only	Approved/Disapproved by:
Received by:	Date:
Fee paid: \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Conditions of approval:
Date Received: 3/3/2015	
P15-0057	

Scala, Mary Joy

From:

Jeff Bushman <jb@bdarchitects.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, April 08, 2015 4:47 PM

To:

Scala, Mary Joy

Cc: Subject: Erin Dorr; Neil Higgins Re: King Lumber revisions

Attachments:

150408 BAR SUBMISSION 13A.pdf

Mary Joy

A keen eye you have for detail. :-)

Re: the windows on the north

Our intention is to go with arched top center windows on the north elevation - unchanged from our previous submission.

You caught us in the middle of some decision-making - we were having trouble finding glass big enough to use so we took a look at a square-top window, matching the shape of the old doors that used to fill these openings.

But the arch is more elegant and that's our proposal.

Re: the stair and new arched opening on the south.

There is plenty of room for the door. Please refer to the attached diagram.

Re: the stair handrail

We didn't take Kurt's suggestion as a mandate, thought "it would look really neat" if we support the inner handrail from the stair instead of the brick wall.

We have not detailed the handrail and guardrail yet, but we did pull the structural channel 3" away from the wall, a detail confirmed by our structural engineer this morning.

Supporting the inside handrail with simple vertical posts welded to the top of the channel would be easy to accomplish, and our intention is to go in the direction Kurt suggested.

Cheers,

J

jeff bushman:: www.bdarchitects.com:: 434.242.1455



PROJECT PROPERTY DESIGNATIONS

- Constructed 1909
- Individually Protected Property (IPP) overlay

2007 VERSION OF PROJECT

- Was granted a COA April 7, 2008
- Has an approved NPS Tax Credit Part Two Application

FEB 2015 VERSION OF PROJECT

- Was granted a COA Feb. 17, 2015
- Featured a new stair/elevator tower attached to annex, with bridges to serve the King Lumber Building.

MARCH 2015 VERSION OF PROJECT - DESCRIPTION OF SCOPE

- Demolish a portion of the existing metal shed adjacent to the historic brick building and create an open gallery walkway between the two structures.
- Add a fire escape stairway to the outside of the building.
- Refurbish and paint the exterior brick wall. Replace the Annex siding with new.
- Re-open bricked-in windows, and install new windows and doors throughout.
- No site work is proposed at this time other then repair of deteriorated paving. As there is no change of use group, a new site plan is not required for this scope of work.
- New tower and bridge elements have been eliminated, in favor of moving the stair and elevator to the interior of the King Lumber Building.

KING LUMBER BUILDING RENOVATION

608 PRESTON AVENUE

BAR PRELIMINARY SUBMISSION JANUARY 27, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 TITLE
- 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS: LOCATION
- 3 EXISTING CONDITIONS: PRESTON AVENUE CONTEXT
- 4 EXISTING CONDITIONS: EXTERIOR VIEWS
- 5 EXISTING CONDITIONS: DETAILS
- 6 BACKGROUND: BUILDING OVERVIEW
- 7 BACKGROUND: HOLSINGER PHOTOGRAPHS
- 8 BACKGROUND: SANBORN INSURANCE MAPS
- 9 BACKGROUND: 1980 LANDMARK BUILDING SURVEY
- 10 BACKGROUND: 1980 SITE PLAN
- 11 PROJECT: DESIGN OVERVIEW
- 12 PROJECT: AERIAL VIEW RENDERING
- 13 PROJECT: SITE PLAN
- 14 PROJECT: STREET VIEW RENDERING
- 15 PROJECT: REAR VIEW RENDERING
- 16 PROJECT: EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION
- 17 PROJECT: PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION
- 18 PROJECT: EXISTING WEST ELEVATION
- 19 PROJECT: PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION
- 20 PROJECT: EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION
- 21 PROJECT: PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION
- 22 PROJECT: EXISTING EAST ELEVATION
- 23 PROJECT: PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION
- 24 PROJECT: PROPOSED MATERIALS AND COLORS

RECEIVED


MAR 3 1 2015

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT SERVICES




BUSHMAN DREYFUS ARCHITECTS PC


820 B East High St. Charlottesville Virginia 22902 434.295.1936 www.bdarchitects.com





608 PRESTON AVENUE






























BACKGROUND BUILDING OVERVIEW

60

SIGNIFICANCE

Built in 1909, the King Lumber Company is listed individually on the National Register of Historic Places as part of the Charlottesville Multiple Resource Area. The King Lumber Company was one of Charlottesville's principal industries at the turn of the century. Founded in 1899 by Walter King, the King Lumber Company manufactured building materials that were used throughout the United States, including in many buildings at the University of Virginia. By 1920, the company was Charlottesville's largest employer, employing over 300 people with an annual payroll of over \$400,000. By the 1930's, the King Lumber Company had gone out of business, particularly because of the Great Depression, but largely because of the suicide of its owner. This building is all that remains of that once large manufacturing concern.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This three-story brick building has stepped gables and corbeled cornice stops. Brick is laid in 6-course American bond and is now painted white on the sides visible from the street. The very low pitched gable roof is covered with standing-seam metal. The facade is three bays wide with warehouse doors in the center bay at each level. Those are the upper levels have now been bricked or boarded up, as have all the windows. There are entrance doors in both side bays at the first level. Windows are doors are segmental-arched. There is a wheel window in the gable end. The sides of the building are five bays long, also with warehouse doors in the center bay at each level. Fenestration is irregular on the rear elevation, and there are no warehouse doors.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION


W.W. King bought this lot in1899 and in 1917 transferred the title to King Lumber Company, of which he was president. Tax records indicate that this large brick warehouse was built in 1909. King Lumber Company apparently went out of business in the Depression, and this and an adjacent lot were sold to A. P. Walker in 1933. He owned the property until 1942. It was then subdivided and Earl H. Vaughan bought this warehouse and large lot in 1943. For the next 22 years he used the warehouse to store plumbing and heating supplies. The Carter Agency, Inc., bought it from Vaughan's widow in 1972.

Source: 1980 Landmark Building Survey





BLUE RIDGE FEED & COAL | UNDATED



KING LUMBER COMPANY | 1918




PRESTON AVENUE | 1916




KING LUMBER COMPANY | 1918



BACKGROUND **SANBORN INSURANCE MAPS**





1907

HARRIS

THRESHOLD IN THE T







Identification

STREET ADDRESS: 508 Preston Avenue

MAP & PARCEL: 32-14 CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK: 1-331

PRESENT ZONING: M-1
ORIGINAL OWNER: W. W. King

ORIGINAL USE: Warehouse

PRESENT USE: Warehouse
PRESENT OWNER: Duanne D. Cartor, Exec. AODRESS: Stribling Avenue, Extd. Charlottesville, Virginia

HISTORIC NAME: King Lumber Company Warehouse DATE / PERIOD: 1909

HEIGHT (te cornice) OR STORIES: 3 storeys

DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: 163.7' x \$66' (74,952 sq. ft.) CONDITION: 6006

SURVEYOR: Bibb

DATE OF SURVEY: Summer 1980 SOURCES: City Records

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This three-sterey brick building has stepped gables and corbeled cornice stops. Brick is laid in 6-coarse American bond and is now psinted white on the sides visible from the street. The very low pixeled gable roof is covered with standing-seam metal. The facade is three bays wide with warehouse doors in the center bay as each level. Those at the upper levels have now been bricked or boarded up, as have all the wandows. There are entrance doors in both side bays at the first level. Windows and doors are segmental arched. There is a wheel window in the gable end. The sides of the building are five bays long, also with warehouse doors in the center bay at each level. Penestration is irregular on the rear elevation, and there are no warehouse doors.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

W. W. King bought this lot in 1899 (City DB 9-308) and in 1917 transferred the title to King Lumber Company, of which he was president (DB 30-217). Tax records indicate that this large brick werehouse was built in 1909. King Lumber Company apparently went out of business during the Depression, and this and an adjacent lot sero sold to A. P. Wather in 1953 (DB 80 213). He owned the property until 1942 (DB 110-71). It was then subdivided, and Eart I. Vaughan bought this wardhouse and large lot in 1943 (DB 114-304). For the next 22 years he used the warehouse to store plushing and heating supplies. The Cartur Agency, Inc., bought it from Vaughan's widow in 1972 (DB 336-490). Additional Referencest City DB 22-192, 112-100, 114-304, 351-481, 408-194; City WB 24-69.


SIGNIFICANCE

The King Lumber Company was one of Charlot(esville's principal industries at the turn of the century, founded in 1899 by Matter King, the King Lumber Company manufactured building materials that were med throughout the indired States, including in pany buildings at the University of Virginia. By 1920, the company was Charlottesville's largest employer, employing over 300 people with an annual payroll of over \$400,000. By the 1930's, the King Lumber Company had gone out of business, particularly because of the Steat Dapression, but largely bucause of the suicide of its owner. This building is all that remains of that once large manufacturing concern.

HISTORIC I VNDWYDRG CUMMISSION = DEDYSTMENT OF CUMMINITA DEALI COMENT

BACKGROUND 1980 LANDMARK BUILDING SURVEY





STREET ADDRESS: MAP & PARCEL: VHOR FILE NUMBER: CITY FILE NUMBER: PRESENT ZONING: ORIGINAL OWNER: ORIGINAL USE: PRESENT USE: PRESENT OWNER: ADDRESS:


HISTORIC NAME: DATE/PERIOD: STYLE: HEIGHT IN STORIES: DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: CONDITION: SURVEYOR: DATE OF SURVEY: SOURCES:

608 Preston Avenue 32-14 104-222 353 M-1W. W. King Warehouse Warehouse Douglas P. & Patricia B. Jensen Route 7 Box 181A

Charlottesville, VA 22901

King Lumber Company Warehouse 1909 Vernacular 3 storeys 1.63.7° x 566° (74,052 sq. ft.) Good Bibb Summer 1980 City Records











EXISTING



PROPOSED

- 1 KING LUMBER BUILDING (3 STORY BRICK WAREHOUSE TO BE RENOVATED WITH EXTERIOR GALLERY)
- 2 ANNEX BUILDING
 (1 STORY METAL ANNEX TO BE
 RENOVATED)
- 3 PORTION OF ANNEX TO BE REMOVED
 AND REPLACED WITH A PEDESTRIAN
 GALLERY THAT PROVIDES NEW AXIS
 OF CIRCULATION THROUGH SITE
- 4 SURROUNDING SITE TO REMAIN
 UNTOUCHED, WITH THE EXCEPTION
 OF A NEW SIDEWALK ALONG NORTH
 EDGE OF ANNEX
- 5 NEW CORNER ENTRANCE TO ANNEX,
 OFF OF PEDESTRIAN GALLERY
- NEW EXTERIOR STAIR






- 1 BRICK TO BE REPAIRED AND REPAINTED
- 2 NEW EXTERIOR STAIR
- 3 NEW PEDESTRIAN BREEZEWAY BETWEEN WAREHOUSE AND ANNEX
- **4** EXISTING ANNEX OPENING TO BE RECONFIGURED AS NEW GLAZED STOREFRONT ENTRY

- 5 EXISTING OPENING TO RECEIVE NEW WINDOW UNIT
- 6 EXISTING ASPHALT TO REMAIN; REPAIR AS NECESSARY
- 7 EXISTING LANDSCAPE TO REMAIN (NOT IN PROJECT SCOPE)





- KING LUMBER BUILDING
- 2 ANNEX BUILDING
- 3 NEW EXTERIOR GALLERY SPACE BETWEEN
 THE TWO STRUCTURES WILL PROVIDE A
 PEDESTRIAN AXIS THROUGH THE SITE
- ▲ NEW SIDEWALK PATH ALONG ANNEX
- 5 REPAIR AND REPLACE GUARD AS
 NECESSARY ON WEST SIDE OF ANNEX
- 6 EXISTING ASPHALT SURFACE TO REMAIN; REPAIR AS NEEDED
- 7 EXISTING PLANTED AREA / MEDIAN TO REMAIN
- 8 EXISTING COVERED BACK PORCH AND ACCESSIBLE RAMP AT ANNEX TO REMAIN
- 9 EXISTING REAR PARKING TO REMAIN
- 10 STAIR AND ELEVATOR MOVED TO INTERIOR OF KING LUMBER BUILDING






EXISTING VIEW







EXISTING VIEW





NORTH ELEVATION - EXISTING CONDITIONS SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"



BRICK FACADE TO BE REPAIRED AND REPAINTED




NORTH ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

KING LUMBER BUILDING
608 PRESTON AVENUE

BUSHMAN
DREYFUS




WEST ELEVATION - EXISTING CONDITIONS

scale: 3/32" = 1'-0"



BRICK FACADE TO BE REPAIRED AND




WEST ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

KING LUMBER BUILDING
608 PRESTON AVENUE


BUSHMAN
DREYFUS



SOUTH ELEVATION - EXISTING CONDITIONS SCALE: $3/32" = 1^{10}-0"$




- 1 BRICK FACADE TO BE REPAIRED AND REPAINTED
- 2 EXISTING AND BRICKED OPENINGS TO BE RESTORED AND REPLACED WITH NEW WINDOWS AND DOORS
- 3 ANNEX FACADE TO BE REPLACED WITH GALVALUME SIDING
- 4 COVERED ENTRY/PORCH ON ANNEX REAR TO REMAIN
- 5 EXISTING OPENINGS TO REMAIN
- 6 PREVIOUS BRICK ARCH OPENING TO BE RESTORED AS GLAZED ENTRY DOOR



SOUTH ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"






EAST ELEVATION - EXISTING CONDITIONS

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"







EAST ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

PROJECT PROPOSED MATERIALS AND COLORS









- 1 BRICK: PAINTED WITH BENJAMIN MOORE 2094-30 GIANT SEQUOIA
- WINDOWS:

 WOOD, DOUBLE HUNG,

 ALUMINUM -CLAD IN

 CHESTNUT BRONZE COLOR
- 3 EXPOSED STEEL STRUCTURE: HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED STEEL
- 4 REPLACEMENT SIDING

NOTE: ALL MATERIALS AND COLORS
LISTED ABOVE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED
AT FEBRUARY 17, 2015 COA MEETING

