

# **Board of Architectural Review (BAR) Certificate of Appropriateness**

Please Return To: City of Charlottesville Department of Neighborhood Development Services P.O. Box 911, City Hall

Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Telephone (434) 970-3130

Email scala@charlottesville.org

Please submit ten (10) hard copies and one (1) digital copy of application form and all attachments.

Please include application fee as follows: New construction project \$375; Demolition of a contributing structure \$375; Appeal of BAR decision \$125; Additions and other projects requiring BAR approval \$125; Administrative approval \$100. Make checks payable to the City of Charlottesville.

The BAR meets the third Tuesday of the month.

Deadline for submittals is Tuesday 3 weeks prior to next BAR meeting by 3:30 p.m.

Owner Name John Conover & Virginia Daugherty	<u>Ap</u> plicant Name <u>same</u>	
Project Name/Description Upstairs Front Porch Raili	ng Parcel Number 3301850	00
Project Property Address 104 West High Street, Charlotte	esville, VA 22902	
Applicant Information	Signature of Applicant	
Address:_104 West High Street, C'ville 22902_	I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct.	
Email: john@papercraft.com Phone: (H) 293-5622(C)	Signature	Date Date
	John Conover	1/19/18
Property Owner Information (if not applicant)	Print Name	Date
Address:	Property Owner Permission (if not applicant) I have read this application and hereby give my consent to its submission.	
- (C)		
Do you intend to apply for Federal or State Tax Credits for this project? No  Description of Proposed Work (attach separate narrate)	Signature  Print Name  tive if necessary): Attached.	Date Date
List All Attachments (see reverse side for submittal re	equirements):	
For Office Use Only	Approved/Disapproved by:  Date:  Conditions of approval:	
Fee paid: Cash/Ck. # 4046		
Date Received: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		

# CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW STAFF REPORT February 21, 2018



# **Certificate of Appropriateness Application**

BAR 18-02-01 104 West High Street Tax Parcel 330185000 John Conover and Virginia Daugherty, Owner and Applicant Adding height to upstairs front porch railing

# **Background**

This contributing property is located in the North Downtown ADC District. Also known as the Carver House, this 2 story house constructed in 1891, has a standing seam metal hipped roof, and a porch that includes decorative sawn balusters, chamfered posts, and a pierced frieze.

## **Application**

To meet uniform code, install new wood rail to increase the height of the railing on the 2<sup>nd</sup> story front porch. The current railing height varies between 28 and 29 inches. The statewide uniform building code now requires it to be 36 inches. The applicant wants to add a complementary board to achieve the required height and paint to match the existing horizontal railing.

#### Criteria, Standards, and Guidelines

### **Review Criteria Generally**

Sec. 34-284(b) of the City Code states that,

*In considering a particular application the BAR shall approve the application unless it finds:* 

- (1) That the proposal does not meet specific standards set forth within this division or applicable provisions of the Design Guidelines established by the board pursuant to Sec.34-288(6); and
- (2) The proposal is incompatible with the historic, cultural or architectural character of the district in which the property is located or the protected property that is the subject of the application.

#### Pertinent Standards for Review of Construction and Alterations include:

- (1) Whether the material, texture, color, height, scale, mass and placement of the proposed addition, modification or construction are visually and architecturally compatible with the site and the applicable design control district;
- (2) The harmony of the proposed change in terms of overall proportion and the size and placement of entrances, windows, awnings, exterior stairs and signs;
- (3) The Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation set forth within the Code of Federal Regulations (36 C.F.R. §67.7(b)), as may be relevant;
- (4) The effect of the proposed change on the historic district neighborhood;
- (5) The impact of the proposed change on other protected features on the property, such as gardens, landscaping, fences, walls and walks;
- (6) Whether the proposed method of construction, renovation or restoration could have an adverse impact on the structure or site, or adjacent buildings or structures;
- (8) Any applicable provisions of the City's Design Guidelines.

#### **Pertinent Design Review Guidelines for Rehabilitation**

D. ENTRANCES, PORCHES, AND DOORS

Entrances and porches are often the primary focal points of a historic building. Their decoration and articulation help define the style of the structure. Entrances are functional and ceremonial elements for all buildings. Porches have traditionally been a social gathering point as well as a transition area between the exterior and interior of a residence. The important focal point of an entrance or porch is the door. Doors are often a character-defining feature of the architectural style of a building. The variety of door types in the districts reflects the variety of styles, particularly of residential buildings.

- 1. The original details and shape of porches should be retained including the outline, roof height, and roof pitch.
- 2. Inspect masonry, wood, and metal or porches and entrances for signs of rust, peeling paint, wood deterioration, open joints around frames, deteriorating putty, inadequate caulking, and improper drainage, and correct any of these conditions.
- 3. Repair damaged elements, matching the detail of the existing original fabric.
- 4. Replace an entire porch only if it is too deteriorated to repair or is completely missing, and design to match the original as closely as possible.
- 5. Do not strip entrances and porches of historic material and details.
- 6. Give more importance to front or side porches than to utilitarian back porches.
- 7. Do not remove or radically change entrances and porches important in defining the building's overall historic character.
- 8. Avoid adding decorative elements.

#### K. PAINT

A properly painted building accentuates its character-defining details. Painting is one of the least expensive ways to maintain historic fabric and make a building an attractive addition to a historic district. Many times, however, buildings are painted inappropriate colors or colors are placed incorrectly. Some paint schemes use too many colors, but more typical is a monochromatic approach in which one color is used for the entire building. On particularly significant historic buildings, there is the possibility of conducting paint research to determine the original color and then recreating that appearance.

- 1.Do not remove paint on wood trim or architectural details.
- 2. Do not paint unpainted masonry.
- 3. Choose colors that blend with and complement the overall color schemes on the street. Do not use bright and obtrusive colors.
- 4. The number of colors should be limited. Doors and shutters can be painted a different color than the walls and trim.
- 5. Use appropriate paint placement

#### **Discussion and Recommendations**

Since the applicant is not altering the existing decorative railing on the porch, staff finds the addition of the extra board at the top, to make the porch code compliant, to be consistent with the ADC Guidelines.

#### **Suggested Motion**

Having considered the standards set forth within the City Code, including City Design Guidelines for Rehabilitation, I move to find that the proposed addition to the railing height satisfies the BAR's criteria and guidelines and is compatible with this property and other properties in the North Downtown ADC district, and that the BAR approves the application as submitted.

### Mess, Camie

From: Mess, Camie

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 12:09 PM

To: 'john@papercraft.com'
Cc: Werner, Jeffrey B

**Subject:** BAR Action- February 21, 2018 - 104 West High Street

February 27, 2018

#### **Certificate of Appropriateness**

BAR 18-02-01 104 West High Street Tax Parcel 330185000 John Conover and Virginia Daugherty, Owner and Applicant Adding height to upstairs front porch railing

Dear Applicant,

The above referenced project was discussed before a meeting of the City of Charlottesville Board of Architectural Review (BAR) on February 21, 2018. The following action was taken:

Mohr moved: Having considered the standards set forth within the City Code, including City Design Guidelines for Rehabilitation, I move to find that the proposed addition to the railing in concept satisfies the BAR's criteria and guidelines and is compatible with this property and other properties in the North Downtown ADC district, and that the BAR approves the application with the modification that the top rail be reduced to 4" so there is a roughly a 4" air space—not to exceed maximum allowed by code--between the two and paint the top rail (new rail) the same accent color as the current top rail. Gastinger seconded. Approved (5-0).

This certificate of appropriateness shall expire in 18 months (August 21, 2019), unless within that time period you have either: been issued a building permit for construction of the improvements if one is required, or if no building permit is required, commenced the project. You may request an extension of the certificate of appropriateness before this approval expires for one additional year for reasonable cause.

If you have any questions, please contact Jeff Werner at 434-970-3130 or wernerjb@charlottesville.org.

Sincerely yours,

Camie Mess

#### **Camie Mess**

Assistant Preservation and Design Planner City of Charlottesville Neighborhood Development Services 610 E. Market Street, P.O. Box 911, Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Phone: (434) 970-3398

E-mail: messc@charlottesville.org

# John Conover 104 West High Street Charlottesville, VA 22902

January 19, 2018

Ms. Camie Mess City of Charlottesville Department of Neighborhood Development Services P.O. Box 911, City Hall Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Dear Ms. Mess,

We would to apply to increase the height of the railing that runs around our upstairs front porch. We like the way it looks but it is very unsafe, which prevents us from using the porch for both adults and children.

The current railing height runs between 28 and 29 inches. We have no memory how this happened. It is not original but it was before safety and oversight became such real concerns. The statewide uniform building code now requires guardrails to be 36 inches. We would like to comply.

We believe that this can be done without harming the asthetics or architectural integrity our property. We would simply add a complementary board to achieve the required height and paint it the identical green, so that the change would not be noticeable.

I have attached the BAR application form, a current photo and a drawing of how we would like it to look.

I have attached a check for \$125, which appears to be the standard fee.

If you would like to visit for a viewing, we can be available at your convenience.

John Conover

Phone: 434-293-5622 Email: john@papercraft.com











