

# BAR ACTIONS

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE  
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW  
Regular Meeting  
January 19, 2010 – 5:00 p.m.  
Basement Conference Room - City Hall

Welcome to this Regular Monthly Meeting of the Charlottesville Board of Architectural Review (BAR). After presentations by staff and the applicant, members of the public will be allowed 2 opportunities to speak. The Chair will ask if anyone from the public has questions of the applicant in an attempt to understand the project. After questions are closed, the Chair will ask if anyone from the public has comments. Members of the public will have up to 3 minutes per person to comment. Comments should be limited to the exterior design of the building and site. Comments will not be allowed as to the appropriateness of the project, or about the interior design or uses of the project, etc. Thank you for participating. **Members present: Wolf, Knight, Brennan, Schoenthal, Osteen. Hogg, Adams, Ayres arrived late. (One vacancy)**

**PLEASE NOTE THE TIMES GIVEN ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE INTENDED TO BE A GUIDE. THE ACTUAL MEETING MAY BE LONGER OR SHORTER.**

- 5:00 A. Matters from the public not on the agenda (please limit to 5 minutes) None**
- B. Consent Agenda** (Note: Any consent agenda item may be pulled and moved to the regular agenda if a BAR member wishes to discuss it, or if any member of the public is present to comment on it. Pulled minutes will be discussed at the end of the agenda, but pulled applications will be discussed at the beginning.)

1. **Minutes** – BAR Worksession September 29, 2009
3. **Certificate of Appropriateness Application**  
BAR 10-01-04  
1003 West Main Street  
Tax Map 10 Parcel 51  
William Atwood, Applicant/ Studio House LLC, Owner  
New construction, Earlier COA expired

**Both items approved 5-0 on consent agenda.**

**Hogg and Ayres arrived.**

- C. Projects in Non-Compliance**
- 5:10**
1. **Certificate of Appropriateness Application**  
BAR 10-01-02  
108 2<sup>nd</sup> Street SW  
Tax Map 28 Parcel 16  
M&O Corporation  
New gate and ramp

**Applicant was not present. The BAR denied the application (7-0). They said a gate makes sense there, but there are problems with the design. They also did not want to support a project that should have come for approval before it was built.**

2. **Status Report on other projects in non-compliance**

**Staff gave the report. BAR members mentioned additionally the fence at 12<sup>th</sup> Street Taphouse on West Main Street, and the exterior speakers (and possible noise violation) at Trinity on the Corner.**

**Adams arrived.**

**D. Previously Considered Items**

- 5:30**            **1. Certificate of Appropriateness Application**  
BAR 10-01-03  
1704 Gordon Avenue & 419 17<sup>th</sup> Street NW  
Tax Map 9 Parcel 2  
Development Management Too, LL/ Wassenaar Design Group, Architects  
New Construction, Approval of brick

**The BAR approved (4-3-1 with Hogg, Adams and Osteen voting against, and Wolf recusing) the use of a thin brick system in this specific instance as a technical solution to conditions on site, and this approval does not necessarily set a precedent for future approvals, and future applications will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.**

**5:50 E. New Items**

- 1. Certificate of Appropriateness Application**  
BAR 09-12-03  
1314 Rugby Road  
Tax Map 38 Parcel 92  
Bethany Puopolo, Applicant  
Screen Porch Addition

**Removed from agenda at the request of the applicant.**

- 6:10**            **2. Special Use Permit Recommendation**  
207 14<sup>th</sup> Street  
Tax Map 9 Parcel 70  
University Limited LLC  
Boutique Hotel

**The BAR recommended (8-0) to City Council that they approve a special use permit for a hotel on this site.**

**The BAR had concerns regarding the building and site designs, which they will address when the certificate of appropriateness application comes before them: reduce parking on 15<sup>th</sup> Street; don't make the public (sidewalk) spaces private-looking; public interactions with building (doors, windows, patios)are important; commend landscaping on 14<sup>th</sup> Street and saving original building.**

- 6:30**            **3. Preliminary Discussion – Planned Unit Development**  
BAR 10-01-01  
Mary Williams Senior Center (Individually Protected Property)  
1512 East Market Street  
Tax Map 56 Parcel 40.4  
Burgess Lane Properties Inc.  
Adding accessible and low income units with associated parking  
Potential PUD

**The BAR recommended (8-0) this application for a PUD on tax map 56, parcel 40.4 at 1512 East Market Street be approved with the provision that the applicant's proffers be included: that the new construction on property adjacent to the Individually Protected Property be subject to BAR review, and that the wooded area immediately south and east of the property be subject to a conservation easement or some other legally binding requirement that protects and preserves that portion of the landscape.**

**The BAR made additional comments not part of the motion: they appreciated the neighborhood and applicant working together; should be more creative with the parking arrangement and landscaping;**

group the parking and screen cars with low hedges; coordinate and integrate new construction and sustainable features with existing buildings; the BAR would be opposed to any landscaping that bifurcates the site; need to modulate the design of new buildings; given single family context, should break the appearance of duplexes; look to the vocabulary of porches in the neighborhood to create variety.

**6:50 F. Matters from the public not on the agenda (please limit to 5 minutes) None**

**G. Other Business – 2010 ADC Design Guidelines Review Process Accepted process as presented**

2010 Chair and Vice-Chair **Elected Chair Fred Wolf (7-0-1 with Wolf recusing) and Vice-Chair Syd Knight (7-0-1 with Knight recusing)**

**7:00 H. Adjournment 7:20 pm.**