

BAR ACTIONS

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Regular Meeting

October 19, 2010 – 5:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers - City Hall

Welcome to this Regular Monthly Meeting of the Charlottesville Board of Architectural Review (BAR). After presentations by staff and the applicant, members of the public will be allowed 2 opportunities to speak. The Chair will ask if anyone from the public has questions of the applicant in an attempt to understand the project. After questions are closed, the Chair will ask if anyone from the public has comments. Members of the public will have up to 3 minutes per person to comment. Comments should be limited to the exterior design of the building and site. Comments will not be allowed as to the appropriateness of the project, or about the interior design or uses of the project, etc. Thank you for participating.

Members present: Wolf, Knight, Adams, Osteen, Coiner. Absent: DeLoach, Ayres, Brennan, Hogg.

PLEASE NOTE THE TIMES GIVEN ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE INTENDED TO BE A GUIDE. THE ACTUAL MEETING MAY BE LONGER OR SHORTER.

5:00 A. Matters from the public not on the agenda (please limit to 5 minutes)

1. Discussion

Jeff Dreyfus wanted to get some feedback on what he is proposing to submit for Social Hall (109 E Jefferson Street).

B. Consent Agenda (Note: Any consent agenda item may be pulled and moved to the regular agenda if a BAR member wishes to discuss it, or if any member of the public is present to comment on it. Pulled minutes will be discussed at the end of the agenda, but pulled applications will be discussed at the beginning.)

1. Minutes – September 21, 2010 **Deferred to the November 2010 meeting as the minutes were not available until that evening. All were in favor (5-0).**

C. Projects in Non-Compliance (status report) **None.**

D. Previously Considered Items

5:10 1. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 10-10-04
All Historic Districts
City of Charlottesville
Dark Sky Compliant Street Light

Members from Public Works presented the revised plans for the W Main Street streetscape, and for changing the spacing/type of lights at Drewery Brown Bridge. With advice from the BAR, the applicant chose to defer their application. They will bring back another month with site photometric drawings and studies looking at different wattages. The board approved the applicants' deferral (4-0-1) with Coiner recusing.

5:25 2. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 10-09-03
201 East Market Street
Tax Map 33 Parcel 196
Chris Gensic, Charlottesville Department of Parks and Rec., Applicant/ Krista Farrell, JMRL, Owner
Install artistic bike racks on concrete

BAR approved the design with the provision that either three or four racks would be included in one grouping at one location (either the by the book drop or by the pavement at the bottom of the stair) and strongly suggest the city take advantage of the offers made by the members on the board to help them (5-0).

5:40 3. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 10-09-08
422 East Main Street
Tax Map 28 Parcel 52
Marthe Rowen, Applicant/ Gabriel Silverman, Owner
Remove existing storefront and sign panel at front and replace with a new facade, refurbish side facade, and construct new roof deck

The BAR approved (5-0) the proposed new building as submitted, with the condition that the applicant considers the comments discussed in the meeting about lowering the west wall.

6:00 4. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 09-07-06
219 14th Street NW
Tax Map 9 Parcel 66 and 69
Dinsmore LLC, Applicant and Owner
Dinsmore II Apartment Building: add a solar array on roof

The applicant distributed updated plans at the meeting. The BAR approved (5-0) the installation of photo voltaic panels on the roof with the consideration of the applicant to remove some panels from either end of the roof and submit the final layouts to the staff for administrative approval.

E. New Items

6:20 5. Certificate of Appropriateness Applications

BAR 10-10-01
225 East Main Street
Tax Map 33 Parcel 233
Stacy Capital, LLC, Applicant and Owner
Replace current window with a full light window with a white aluminum frame

The BAR approved (4-1, Adams opposed) the application with the modification that the replacement window either exactly match the divisions of the existing window, or if they do not match, the applicant resubmit a drawing of the revised elevation for administrative review.

6:40 6. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 10-10-02
408 N. First Street
Tax Map 33 Parcel 97
Robert B. Anderson, Applicant/ Patricia Cochran, Owner
Repair damage from storm on pergola, 2nd floor porch, and roof above front porch.
Replace solid panels on east end of pergola with wood screen, extend existing pergola, install pitched roof from below 2nd floor south elevation windows down to edge of the pergola structure, and replace fence.

New handouts were distributed at the meeting. The BAR approved the application on condition that the new pergola extension only extend one bay to the west to include one arched opening, that the new metal roof be limited to a virtually flat lock metal roof so as to limit its impact on the existing slate roof of the main body of the house. Also consider the detail to the connection of the existing house. A new drawing depicting those two changes should be submitted to staff for administrative review (4-1, Adams opposed).

7:00 7. Certificate of Appropriateness Application

BAR 10-10-03
320 East Main Street
Tax Map 28 Parcel 43
David Ackerman, Applicant/ V.H. Marshall, Jr., Owner
Replace nine windows, add skylight to the roof, and remove and replace one existing HVAC rooftop packaged unit

Wolf recused. The BAR approved (4-0-1) the application as modified this evening with the requirement that future window replacements be compatible with those at that time.

7:20 8. Preliminary Discussion

BAR 10-10-05
627 Park Street
Tax Map 52 Parcel 190
Mary and Dick Howard, Applicant/ A.E. Dick Howard, Owner
Kitchen Addition

The preliminary discussion was presented by Mary Howard for the addition to 627 Park Street. The BAR stated that as a board, it is hard to talk about hypothetical work. Would recommend getting an architect to review the guidelines, meet with the city and get opinions in writing, and begin to create a plan. Once there is something to look at, could then come back either for preliminary review or to engage the members of the board individually.

F. Matters from the public not on the agenda (please limit to 5 minutes) **None**

G. Other Business **None**

7:40 H. Adjournment **7:45 pm**