MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

JULY 22, 1986 - 4:00 P. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

<u>Present</u>

<u>Absent</u>

Ted Oakey, Chairman Bob Moje Doug Gilpin Elizabeth Booker Larry Herbert

Rob Freer Don Sours

Staff Present

Glenn Larson

Mr. Oakey called the meeting to order at 4:05~p.m. and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. <u>Minutes</u>

Minutes of the June 24, 1986, Regular Meeting - Mr. Gilpin moved approval of the minutes and the motion was seconded by Mr. Moje. The motion was unanimously approved by the members present.

B. <u>Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness</u>

1) BAR 84-8-194

Door Color for Temple Beth Isreal 301 E. Jefferson Street

After a brief discussion of the proposal, Mr. Moje moved to approve the application as submitted. Mr. Gilpin suggested that the notification letter written to the applicant express concern over the fact that the board did not review the design of the lights that were installed on either side of the annex door. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and approved unanimously.

2) BAR 86-7-268

New Sign - First United Methodist Church 101 E. Jefferson Street

Mr. Stephenson, representing the applicant, briefly described the proposed sign. Mrs. Booker then moved approval of the application as submitted. After being seconded by Mr. Moje, the motion was approved unanimously.

3) BAR 86-5-260

Addition - First Presbyterian Church 500 Park Street

Mr. Moje announced that, because his firm was the architect for this proposal, he would be abstaining from voting on the application. After a brief discussion, Mr. Gilpin moved to approve the application as submitted. After Mrs. Booker seconded the motion, it passed with the following vote. AYES: Oakey, Gilpin, Booker and Herbert. NOES: None. ABSTAINING: Moje.

C. Other Business

There was none.

- D. <u>Matters Brought By the Public Not on the Agenda</u>
- 1) BAR 86-7-269

New Sign 106 W. Jefferson St.

Mr. Paul Wood, representing the Hill and Wood Funeral Home, explained the need for a sign for the new business office to be located at 106 West Jefferson Street. Mr. Moje moved for approval of the application as submitted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gilpin and approved unanimously.

2) Proposal By John Farmer for 532 North First Street

Mr. Farmer asked the board for comments on a proposal for an addition to 532 North First Street. He explained that he was not at the point where he wanted formal approval, but was interested on what the board thought of the preliminary design. The members of the board agreed that they had no objections to the proposal, and suggested that Mr. Farmer return to the Board for formal review at a later date.

3) BAR 86-7-270

Exterior Renovation Anderson Brothers, 1418 University Ave.

After its regular meeting, the Board met in front of Anderson Brothers Bookstore with Bill Atwood to discuss proposed changes. Mr Atwood explained that both the Anderson Brothers building and the old Chancellor Drug store next to it were being renovated. He explained, among other things, that they are proposing to remove the existing canopy.

Mr. Herbert moved that a Certificate of Appropriateness be issued for the removal of the west entrance to Anderson Brothers Bookstore, replacing it with an extension of the existing brick and glass storefront. Materials and colors used shall match those of the existing storefront. Also, the central glass panel on the Chancellor storefront could be replaced. This motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and approved unanimously.

The Board also agreed that Mr. Atwood should come back to the board with additional evidence of previous canopies in front of the building before the board can make a decision on tearing the existing canopy down.

(Note: It was later determined that the age of the Chancellor building does not qualify it for review by the Board of Architectural Review. The applicant was notified of this.)

E. <u>Chairman's</u> <u>Report</u>

There were none.

F. <u>Board Members' Reports</u>

There were none.

G. <u>Department of Community Development Report</u>

There was none.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:43 p.m.