

MINUTES OF THE
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW
FEBRUARY 28, 1989
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

Present:

Robert Moje, Chairman
Margaret Van Yahres
Robert Freer
Jean Hiatt
Douglas Gilpin

Absent:

Don Sours
Larry Herbert

Staff Present:

Mr. Freer called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m.

A. APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

1. BAR 89-2-320

433 N. First Street
Tree Removal

Mr. Boger presented the staff report and said staff cannot support the removal of the magnolia tree, because its removal would have a significant impact on the overall visual character of the historic street. After carefully discussing this application, Mr. Gilpin made the following motion:

- To approve the removal of the tree (*Thuja occidentalis*) adjacent to the house because it is crowding the structure. The applicant can replace this tree with a small ornamental tree and rhododendrums; however this is not a requirement.
- To deny the request to remove the magnolia grandiflora tree because it is a significant landscape element on the property. This tree makes a pair with the other magnolia tree, and its removal will have a significant impact on the visual character along this historic street.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Freer and was unanimously approved by all members present.

2. BAR 89-2-322

E. High Street
Queen Charlotte Sq. Tree
Removal; City of Charlottesville,
Applicant

Mr. Boger briefly presented the staff report, and staff recommends 4-5 inch caliper shade tree replacements if the trees are to be removed. Mr. Eugene German, Parks and Recreation Director, and Mr. Tim Hughes, urban forester, were present, and described in detail why the trees have to be removed.

Following a lengthy discussion, Mr. Gilpin made the motion to approve the removal of the four trees, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The trees are to be replaced with 2-1/2 to 3-inch caliper shade trees.
- (b) The Department of Parks and Recreation may select an appropriate species of shade tree.
- (c) The trees are to be replaced before flowering.
- (d) A written agreement between Queen Charlotte Associates and the City must be submitted for the replacement of the old trees and maintenance of the new trees before the trees are removed.

The motion was seconded by Mrs. Van Yahres and unanimously passed by all members present.

3. BAR 89-2-321

106 West Jefferson Street
Repainting Trim
Paul H. Wood, Applicant

Mr. Boger presented the staff report and also informed the Board of the action taken by the DBAR on the portion of the property in the DADC District.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Gilpin moved to approve the application as presented with the addition that the white curbing and white columns to the parking lot on West Jefferson Street be painted a similar color. The painting of the columns and curbing can take place when the applicant performs the annual fix-up of the properties.

The motion was seconded by Mrs. Hiatt and was unanimously passed by all members present.

4. BAR 89-1-296

804 East Jefferson Street
Revised Plan
Bosworth Parks, Applicant

Ms. Nancy Long, Daggett and Grigg, Architects, was present and briefly presented the plans for the connector between building "B" and 818 East Jefferson Street. After a brief discussion, Mr. Gilpin moved to approve the change as presented. Mrs. Van Yahres seconded the motion which passed by the following vote:

- Ayes: Moje, Gilpin, Van Yahres, Hiatt;
- Noes: Freer;
- Abstentions: None

5. BAR 88-11-319

208 7th Street, New Picket
Fence, Liberty Corporation,
Applicant

Mr. Mike Puopolo was present and briefly described the proposed fence. Mr. Puopolo also stated that at a later date he would like to construct a side-

walk from the front porch along the front of his building.

After a brief discussion, Mrs. Van Yahres moved to approve the picket fence and authorize the Chairman to administratively approve the sidewalk. The motion was seconded by Mr. Freer and was unanimously passed by all members present.

6. BAR 88-2-323

500 Court Square
New Rear Windows
Doug Gilpin, Applicant

Mr. Gilpin briefly informed the Board that his firm is considering relocating to 500 Court Square and would like to install several new windows in the rear of the building. The windows would be located in the wall of the old kitchen facility of the building. After a brief discussion, the Board approved the concept and authorized the Chairman to administratively approve the new windows. Mr. Gilpin abstained from voting due to a conflict of interest.

B. OTHER BUSINESS

There was none

C. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA

There were none

D. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

There was none

E. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

There were none

F. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

There was none