

**Board of Architectural Review**  
**April 16, 1996**  
**Minutes**

**Present**

Kurt Wassenaar  
Blake Caravati  
Eldon Wood  
Gregg Bleam  
Dawn Thompson

**Absent**

Todd Bullard  
Pryor Hale  
Diana Betts

**Staff Present**

Fred Boger

April 16 - 96  
513  
514  
515  
509  
510  
511  
512

Mr. Wassenaar called this meeting to order at 3:20 p.m.

**A. Certificate of Appropriateness**

- 1. BAR 96-04-509 Demolition of Garage,  
New Pergola**

Mr. Boger briefly presented the staff report. Mr. Boger said the garage was constructed around 1900 and was probably used as a small carriage house.

Mr. J. Brian Jackson, applicant was present and he briefly described to the Board the existing condition of the garage. He also noted that the bricks from the garage will be used to construct the piers for the fence approved. Mr. Jackson said the columns for the pergola will be consistent with the columns on the front of the house. Mr. Wassenaar said he has no problems with the demolition of the garage.

Mr. Wood said he has no problem with removing the garage. However, we have a pergola being introduced without any design for it. This is a concern.

Mr. Bleam said he had no problems with removing the garage.

Ms. Dawn Thompson said she has no problems with the request.

Mr. Caravati said he has no problem with removing the garage however, we should know what is going to replace it.

Mr. Wood made the motion to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness to remove the garage conditioned upon the following:

- a. The design for the pergola must be submitted for administrative approval before any work can begin on demolishing the garage.

- b. Information on any other exterior work on the building or property must be submitted for administrative review and approval.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Thompson and the motion was unanimously passed by the members present.

**2. BAR 96-04-510                      603 Watson Avenue**  
**Exterior Work**  
**W. Douglas Gilpin, TA, Applicant**

Mr. Boger briefly informed the Board of the proposed work on the outside of the building and identified those items that were administratively approved by staff and Mr. Bullard. The two remaining items are the skylights and the windows at the rear.

Mr. Gilpin was present and explained the proposed exterior work. Mr. Gilpin said the existing small second floor windows on the back will be removed and replaced with "pella" casement windows. Also there will be one skylight on the west side of the roof, a 2'X2' velux skylight. Mr. Gilpin further stated that the trim of the skylight will be dark bronze.

Mr. Wood made the motion to approve the following exterior work on the building:

- a. Installation of one new 2'X2' velux skylight in the roof on the west side of the building as shown on the plans submitted.
- b. Installation of EPDM roof over protection board as identified on the plans submitted.
- c. A new A/C compressor with concealed AC lines. The compressor must be screened by extant Nandina.
- d. New copper half round gutters, copper downspouts and new separate leader for porch roof.
- e. Installation of a new "pella" casement windows on the rear as presented to the Board on April 16, 1996.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Caravati and it was unanimously passed by all members present.

**3. BAR 96-04-511                      101 E. Jefferson Street**  
**New Court Yard Gates**  
**Mr. Samuel Darnell, Applicant**

Mr. Boger briefly explained that the First Methodist would like to install new wrought iron gates on the inner arches of the Church on the west side of the building at 101 E. Jefferson Street. The gates are necessary to keep for security for the building and children's day care center. Staff recommends that gates be painted black to match the color of the existing railings on the building.

Mr. Caravati and Ms. Thompson said they wish the arches did not have to be blocked off by the gates.

Mr. Caravati made the motion to approve the gates as submitted with the condition that they be painted a dull black.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Blean and the motion was unanimously passed by all members present.

**4. BAR 96-04-512**

**600 West Main Street  
Exterior Improvements  
Sylvia Braxton, Applicant**

Mr. Boger stated that Ms. Braxton has prepared a video tape explaining the proposed work. After viewing the video tape, Mr. Wassenaar said covering the awning was not appropriate. It would cost more money to cover the awning than to replace it. Also the insulating glass should be clear and not tinted because the customers inside the store will not be happy with the darkness created by tinted glass.

Mr. Wood said he is concerned about painting the brick. Each addition to this building has its own identity and this would be lost if painted over.

Mr. Wassenaar said the Board understands the need for the work, however the applicant should develop a plan detailing the sequence for this work.

Mr. Wassenaar made the motion to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for exterior renovations to this building with the understanding that the applicant is to work with one or more of the Board members to prepare a package detailing the work to be done and appropriate cost.

Mr. Wood seconded the motion and it was unanimously passed by all members present.

**5. BAR 96-04-513**

**500 Park Street  
Tree Removal Appeal  
First Presbyterian Church**

Mr. Boger briefly presented the history of this appeal. Mr. Blean said he has revisited the site and now feels a 2½ inch tree would be appropriate due to the shape of the land.

Mr. Blean also said the trees should be spread out along Maple Street the length of the building so that they will have the appearance of street trees.

Mr. Blean made the motion to approve the removal of the three hemlock trees on the south side of the church building with four 2½ inch caliber sugar maple trees. These trees are to be spaced along Maple Street, the length of the Church building, so that they will take on the appearance of street trees after they mature.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wood and it was unanimously passed by all members present.

6. **BAR 96-04-514**

**City Hall  
First Amendment Park  
Thomas Jefferson Center for the Protection of  
Free Expression**

Mr. Boger briefly stated that staff feels location #1 appears to be the best location for the monument.

Mr. Josh Wheeler, representing the applicant was present and explained in detail the proposed First Amendment Park.

Mr. Wassenaar said he feels location #1 is appropriate, however the design of the monument should reflect the history of this area.

Mr. Blean said this fountain is one of the most neglected features in the downtown area. Could the park be design to incorporate a water feature in it.

Mr. Wheeler said they would look into the possibility of incorporating a water feature in the park.

Ms. Thompson agreed with Mr. Bleams comments. She said she would miss the water feature at this end of the mall if it was removed. She would like some type of fountain in this area.

Mr. Wood said the design of the monument needs work. He doesn't like the design at the present time because it does not reflect the history and architecture of the area.

Mr. Wassenaar agreed that the monument needs work, also the final design for this monument should incorporate the landscape plan for City Hall in it.

Mr. Wassenaar made the following motion:

- a. To approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the location # 1 because it would be appropriate and compatible with the existing architecture of City Hall;
- b. The Board of Architectural Review recommends that City Council consider creating a design mechanisms for developing the monument. This process should incorporate the landscape plan for City Hall and have the Board of Architectural Review involved in developing the monument's design.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Blean and was passed by all members present.

7. **BAR 96-04-515**

**308 E. Market Street**

**Exterior Changes**  
**Mr. Charles Kabbash, Applicant**

Mr. Boger briefly presented the staff report. Mr. Kabbash presented to the Board additional information on the proposed side door. Mr. Kabbash said the door would be similar #FC10. After a brief discussion Mr. Wood made the motion to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the following.

- a. Installation of a new window on the east of the building. The window design must be the same as the existing windows.
- b. Installation of a new aluminum & glass door. The door must be a single panel door with a painted surround. The color is to be ivory like the existing trim on the building. The door design should be similar to #FC10 which the applicant presented to the Board.
- c. The brick and mortar for the steps must match the brick and mortar in the building. Also, there must be enough room between the new steps and existing planter wall for handicap access along this walkway.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Caravati and it was unanimously passed by all members present.

**B. Other Business:**

Mr. Jeff Dreyfus, Architect was present and show the Board the style and color of the temporary tent for the amphitheater style. The Board accepted the tent style and color as presented.

**C. Adjournment:**

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Board of Architectural Review  
April 19, 1996  
Work Session

**PRESENT**

Kurt Wassenaar  
Martha deJarnette  
Diana Bettes  
Gregg Bleam  
Todd Bullard  
Blake Caravati  
Eldon Wood

**ABSENT**

Pryor Hale  
Dawn Thompson

**STAFF PRESENT**

Satyendra Singh Huja  
Fred Boger

Mr. Huja briefly discussed with the Board some of the neighborhoods comments City Council has recently received concerning the BAR process.

Mr. Huja presented to the Board the final copy of the Architectural Design Control Standards. A motion was made and seconded that the Board adopt the standards. The motion was unanimously passed in all members present.

April 19 - 96  
AOCs