MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra S. Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development 5.5.

DATE: September 15, 1982

RE: Draft Statement to ADC Property Owners

At previous meetings, the Board has expressed a desire to communicate with ADC and subject property owners to remind them of the BAR review authority and ability to assist them. It was suggested that the staff prepare a draft statement for distribution, after BAR approval, by way of the NDRA newsletter, the mail or other means. Attached is such a draft. Please be prepared to discuss this at the next meeting so that we may proceed.

If you have any questions or preliminary suggestions please feel free to contact me or Ron Higgins at 971-3182. Thank you.

RLH/sdd

GLA BAR, LMC.

MEMBERS

MRS. KENNETH R. HIGGINS, CHAIRMAN
MRS. NELLIE WHITE BUNDY, VICE CHAIRMAN
DAVID A. HARRISON, III
DONALD HAYNES
W. BROWN MORTON, III
FREDERICK D. NICHOLS
RICHARD M. B. RENNOLDS
FRED W. WALKER

H. BRYAN MITCHELL

COMMONWEALTH of VIRO

Virginia Historic Landmarks Commission

221 GOVERNOR STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219 TELEPHONE: (804) 786-3143

December 28, 1982

MRS. T. EUGÉNE WORRELL

Board of Architectural Review
City Hall
Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Dear Friends:

During my tenure with the Landmarks Commission, and most especially since I became the director of the agency last March, I have been concerned that preservationists and preservation organizations in Virginia do not have sufficient means to communicate with one another or to make their collective views known to the Landmarks Commission, the National Park Service, or our various elected officials. The result in some cases is that the wheel must be reinvented; in other cases the opportunity to influence decision makers is lost for lack of a legitimate and effective advocate that can speak for preservationists in Virginia. I am also aware that for some local organizations, the Landmarks Commission has appeared to be too isolated from local activities to provide meaningful assistance.

There is a remedy. The University of Virginia's School of Architecture's tenth preservation conference scheduled for February 25-26, 1983 has chosen <u>Building the Alliance</u> as its theme and will center on the needs and operations of preservation organizations. A major objective of the conference will be the establishment of a formal alliance among Virginia's preservation organizations. The alliance will promote communications among local groups and will be a strong advocate for preservation interests in Virginia.

The National Trust for Historic Preservation and the Landmarks Commission have joined the School of Architecture as cosponsors of the conference, and together we have been working with representatives of several local organizations to plan the conference agenda. All of us are genuinely excited about the potential benefits to be derived from this effort.

In the coming weeks you will receive more detailed information about the conference. Please examine those materials and plan to attend the meeting. All of us have lessons to teach and to learn from one another, and I am confident that the Charlottesville conference represents a major opportunity for us all.

I look forward to seeing you in February.

Sincerely.

H. Bryan Mitchell

MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra S. Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development < . S.

DATE: September 15, 1982

RE: Draft Statement to ADC Property Owners

At previous meetings, the Board has expressed a desire to communicate with ADC and subject property owners to remind them of the BAR review authority and ability to assist them. It was suggested that the staff prepare a draft statement for distribution, after BAR approval, by way of the NDRA newsletter, the mail or other means. Attached is such a draft. Please be prepared to discuss this at the next meeting so that we may proceed.

If you have any questions or preliminary suggestions please feel free to contact me or Ron Higgins at 971-3182. Thank you.

RLH/sdd

Exterior Review In The Architectural Design Control District (ADC)

A large portion of the NDRA invovles an area which the City of Charlottesville designated in January of 1976 as an Architectural Design Control (ADC) District. The ADC District stretches from Market Street between 2nd Street NW and 7th Street NE out Park Street to Lyons Court on the east side and the By-pass on the west side. The ADC District also involves most of North 1st Street and parts of 2nd, 3rd and 4th Streets NE. Property owners in the ADC District are subject to the review authority of a seven member Board of Architectural Review (BAR) whose purpose it is to mantain and enhance the character of the ADC District by reviewing proposal improvements to ensure their conformity to the general historic, cultural and artistic tone of the area. This is but one aspect of the City's effort to protect and preserve the historic and architectural heritage of the area. However, it requires the cooperation of all property owners to be successful.

Among the many things which might be subject to the BAR's approval are: building additions, partial or total demolition, replacements of roofs, windows, porches, doors or other elements with different materials, styles or colors, painting or repainting with new colors, additions or removal of significant landscaping, addition or removal of site features such a patios, walls, walks, trellises or fences, painting or cleaning of masonry surfaces or brick work and any alteration which might be considered a change from what is there. The tere repainting of same colors or replacement of roofs with the same material and color as well as maintenance of the structure or ground is not subject to the approval of the BAR. Owners and residents of buildings in the ADC District can avoid delays and help the BAR and staff in the process. The approval is known as "A Certificate of Appropriateness" and is one of the few approval procedures required by the City, which is free.

How can property owners or residents help? The best way is to contact the staff of the BAR whenever any change is contemplated to your building or property which is visable from any public street or place, before beginning the work. If you are not sure whether or not the work is subject to the BAR's approval contact them anyway to be sure. The BAR staff is the Charlottesville Department of Community Development in City Hall and the number is 971-3182. The person to contact in that Department is Ron Higgins, who can provide you with resource materials, guides and suggestions on how to go about the process. He can also help determine if the work is subject to the BAR. It might be that you want to repaint with a like color because you are not sure of a new color. Ron and the BAR can help you find colors which might be more appropriate than what you now have.

Exterior Review In The Architectural Design Control District (ADC)

A large portion of the NDRA invovles an area which the City of Charlottesville designated in January of 1976 as an Architectural Design Control (ADC) District. The ADC District stretches from Market Street between 2nd Street NW and 7th Street NE out Park Street to Lyons Court on the east side and the By-pass on the west side. The ADC District also involves most of North 1st Street and parts of 2nd, 3rd and 4th Streets NE. Property owners in the ADC District are subject to the review authority of a seven member Board of Architectural Review (BAR) whose purpose it is to maintain and enhance the character of the ADC District by reviewing proposed improvements to ensure their conformity to the general historic, cultural and architectural tone of the area. This is but one aspect of the City's effort to protect and preserve the historic and architectural heritage of the area. However, it requires the cooperation of all property owners to be successful.

Among the many things which might be subject to the BAR's approval are: building additions, partial or total demolition, replacements of roofs, windows, porches, doors or other elements with different materials, styles or colors, painting or repainting with new colors, additions or removal of significant landscaping, addition or removal of site features such a patios, walls, walks, trellises or fences, painting or cleaning of masonry surfaces or brick work and any alteration which might be considered a change from what is there. The mere repainting of same colors or replacement of roofs with the same material and color as well as maintenance of the structure or grounds is not subject to the approval of the BAR. Owners and residents of buildings in the ADC District can avoid delays and help the BAR and staff in the process. The approval is known as "A Certificate of Appropriateness" and is one of the few approval procedures required by the City, which is free.

How can property owners or residents help? The best way is to contact the staff of the BAR whenever any change is contemplated to your building or property which is visable from any public street or place, before beginning the work. If you are not sure whether or not the work is subject to the BAR's approval contact them anyway to be sure. The BAR staff is the Charlottesville Department of Community Development in City Hall and the number is 971-3182. The person to contact in that Department is Ron Higgins, who can provide you with resource materials, guides and suggestions on how to go about the process. He can also help determine if the work is subject to the BAR. It might be that you want to repaint with a like color because you are not sure of a new color. Ron and the BAR can help you find colors which might be more appropriate than what you now have.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW DOCKET MARCH 22, 1983 -- 4:00 p.m. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

A MINUTES

February 22, 1983 -- Regular Meeting
March 8, 1983 -- Site Meeting

TATUM BEORGE 2M

B. APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

√1. BAR 83-158

2. BAR 83-159

∕3. BAR 83-160

--Col. John B. Strange House 632 Ridge Street New steps, painted trim & sign letters Otis L. Lee -- Applicant/Owner

--Harris House 615 East High Street New Freestanding Sign William D. Fries, Applicant

--Hawkins-Harmon House
402 4th Street, NW
Addition to site and reuse of site securing of structure (reofjete)
Roy McClanahan - Owner

C. OTHER ITEMS

CD. OTHER MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA

E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

LE. BOARD MEMBER'S REPORTS

H. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

DOUG GILPIN WILL NOT BE AT B.A.K. MEETING

E **
W DOCKET
.m.
ENCE ROOM

MIS VOTES:

> H 158 632 RIDGE YES

159 615 EHIGH # 160 402 4th St n B. Strange House e Street s, painted trim & sign letters Lee -- Applicant/Owner

ouse
High Street
standing Sign
D. Fries, Applicant

Harmon House Street, NW to site and reuse of site g of structure anahan - Owner

ENDA

MINUTES

2nd PAGE 2nd PARA ISTLINE
"DEFERRMENT"

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development 5.3.4.

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

September 25, 1984 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next DBAR meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>September 25</u>, <u>1984 at 11:00 a.m.</u> in the Community Development Conference Room. Please find enclosed the following materials:

-An agenda for the September 25th meeting

-Minutes of the August 28th meeting

-Four applications for Certificates of Appropriateness

-A request to review colors for the Exchange Centre building -A notice about historic building field trips sponsored by UVa

At their Septmeber 17th meeting, City Council reviewed the appeal of the Regional Library Board concerning the DBAR's denial of a Certificate of Appropriateness for the two sculptures now in front of the Main Library. Council recommended that the DBAR and the Library Board meet to discuss the issue further. We have placed this item on your agenda so that you can further review it before a meeting with the Library Board is arranged.

Because of the length of this agenda, it may be helpful for the board to meet at 10:45 to discuss any concerns beforehand. Please visit each site before the meeting, and call me or Glenn Larson should you have any questions. Thank you.

GL/q1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SEPTEMBER 25, 1984 - 11:00 A.M. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

A. MINUTES

1. August 28, 1984 regular meeting

B. NEW APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

1. DBAR 84-9-16

-Nook Restaurant Building
415 E. Main St.
Repainting of Door
Richard Rebori, Applicant

2. DBAR 84-9-17

-Lee's Hallmark
411 E. Main St.
New Awning
Betsy Sacco, Applicant

3. DBAR 84-9-18

-Brown's
400 E. Main St.
New Entrance on Fourth St.
D. Carry Jackson, Applicant

4. DBAR 84-9-19

-Reid's Grocery Site
Fifth and Main St.
Replacement Buildings
F&M Partnership, Applicants

C. OTHER BUSINESS

1. DBAR 84-4-3

-Exhange Centre
201-207 West Main St.
Paint and Materials
Keith Woodard, Applicant

2. DBAR 84-7-12 -Regional Library Sculptures

- a. Discussion of City Council recommendation of joint meeting between DBAR and Library Board
- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBER'S REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MINUTES OF THE CHARLOTTESVILLE DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AUGUST 28, 1984 -- 11:00 A.M. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

PRESENT

ABSENT

Michael Bednar, Vice-Chairman Carol Troxell Genievieve Keller Jack Rinehart John Allen

STAFF PRESENT

Glenn Larson

Mr. Bednar, in Mr. Rinehart's absence called the meeting to order at 11:05 a.m. and called for consideration of minutes.

A. MINUTES

Minutes of July 24, 1984 -- Mr. Bednar identified two errors: the misspelling of the word subtle in the middle of page two and the word points in the middle of page two should actually joints. Ms. Troxel moved approval of the minutes with these two corrections. Because Ms. Keller was absent at that meeting, Mr. Bednar seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with Ms. Keller abstaining.

B. NEW APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

1. DBAR 84-8-14

Towe Insurance Building 418 East Main Street General Rehabilitation F.S., A.D., and J.P. Towe, Applicants

Fred Schneider, Architect for the proposal, gave a brief presentation. He described how the broken carrara glass was to be repaired, how existing turquoise panels were to be replaced or repainted, how the ceiling was to be repaired and repainted, and how an awning was to be installed over the front entrance as shown on submitted drawings. After a brief discussion, Ms. Troxell moved to approve the proposal as submitted. This motion was seconded by Mr. Bednar and approved unanimously with Mrs. Keller abstaining.

C. ITEMS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA

Mr. Jim Respuss, the sculptor of two sculptures placed in front of the Main Public Library, asked the board for clarification of its vote for denial of a certificate of appropriateness for the sculptures. Mr. Bednar explained that the Board felt the sculptures were inappropriate in relation to review guidelines outlined in the City Code. Mr. Huja briefly reviewed the City policy on accepting sculptures and noted that the Library board could appeal the decision of the DBAR. He also noted that Council had been asked to review its current policy on sculptures in public places.

Mr. George Anderson, representing the owners of 301 East Market Street, requested that the Board review proposed colors for the repainting of the trim and doors of that building. After a brief discussion, Ms. Keller moved to approve the following colors: Martin Senor, Peyton, Randolf Gray for the trim and Brackenhouse, Blue

Slate for the doors. This motion was seconded by Mrs. Troxell and approved unanimously. The Board also strongly encouraged the applicants to make additional necessary repairs to the building, including the repointing of repainting of brick as well as the roofing of the structures.

D. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

Mr. Larson briefly reviewed two preservation related conferences to be held in September, one concerning the Main Street Program and the second dealing with the Six Annual Historic Richmond Foundation Symposium.

Before the end of the meeting, Mrs. Troxell noted that Lees Halmark Shop had put a new awning. Mr. Larson stated that he would look into this. There being no further business, the meeting adjorned at 11:45.

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

DBAR 84-9-19 New Building Construction at Reid's Market Site

Please find enclosed, for your consideration of the above item, the following:

-An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

-A drawing of the proposed new buildings.

This application is for the construction of seven office and retail buildings on the site of the old Reid's Market on the Downtown Mall. The proposal calls for a phased development, with the two buildings fronting on the Mall and the Fifth Street building on the corner to be built first. The remaining four buildings on Fifth Street will be constructed later. In addition, the plan calls for the construction of a common bond brick sidewalk on Fifth Street.

The staff strongly supports this proposal, but has concerns about the sloped roofs shown on the Main Street buildings. Sloping roofs are not in harmony with the architectural styles found on the Mall, and it is suggested that flat roofs with parapets may be more appropriate. In addition, it may be advisable to duplicate the Mall's herringbone brick pattern on the Fifth Street sidewalk, rather than in the common bond proposed. Some form of physical transition between the Mall and the new Fifth Street sidewalk may also be a good idea.

By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/g1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1. Address of Property Applied For:	Fifth Street & Mall	(Reid's Super Mkt
2. Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent)	: F & M Limited Partn	ership
3. Mailing Address of Applicant:	P. O. Box 8147	
	Charlottesville, Vi	rginia 22906
4. Phone Number of Applicant: (Busin	ess) 979-8181 (Home)
5. Description of Proposed Work (Use	back of form if necessary)	•
Seven Office Buildings.		
		= =
6. List of Enclosures:	×	
Ten (10) Site Plans Ten (10) Elevation Studies		
7. Do you intend to apply for Federal project: Yes No $\frac{X}{}$ (Federal ateness does not assure certificate historic preservation tax incentive	Please note that a Certific on of rehabilitation work	ate of Appropri-
I hereby attest that the information \mathbb{R}^{d} knowledge, correct.	have provided is, to the first for the start of the start	he best of my
Signature of Owner or Agent: La PL	Dat Dat	e: 9/10/84
FOR C	FFICE USE ONLY	
Received By: She Carrie	Approved:	Date:
Pate: 4/10/84	Disapproved:	Date:

Sit

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

DBAR 84-4-3 Color Selections fo the Exchange Centre, 201-7 W. Main St.

Keith Woodard has submitted proposed color selections for the Exchange Centre project. You will find attached a list of these colors, and samples will be available for review in our office. Please note that Mr. Woodard will still have to have the board review the sign panel area once our department has given approval to any proposed sign package.

The staff has no objection to the colors as proposed.

By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/g1

Color selections for 'THE EXCHANGE CENTRE'

Front:

Cornice: Morristown Red

CONTRACT LINES

Brick: Montgomery White

Second floor windows frames and sashes: Morristown Red

'Dryvit' area; Montgomery White

Awnings: Cream with narrow stripes of black, 3 shades of brown, and red

Lower Window frames: Morristown Red

Coins: Montgomery White

Base: Montgomery White

Trim on panel below window: Black

Front Dooss: Natural oak or Black

Signs: Field of Montgomery White, with Morristown Red, as a border:
Lettering to be Black

2nd Street:

Same as front, except brick not to be painted North of new storefront

Rear

Same as front-- 'dryvit' to be similar to Montgomery White (Eggshell Cream)

West:

Same as rear

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: September 17, 1984

RE:

DBAR 84-9-17 Installation of New Awning at 411 E. Main St.

Please find enclosed, for your consideration of the above item, the following:

-An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

This awning is already in place. Because it is of different design than the awning it replaced, the owners of Lee's Hallmark have been asked to submit an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness.

The staff has no objection to this application. By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/q1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1. Address of Property Applied Forse Hasemark, 411 & Main
2. Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent): Surge Society.
3. Mailing Address of Applicant: La normany Lane
114 E. main ATI
4. Phone Number of Applicant: (Business) 395-1677 (Home) 396-22/3
5. Description of Proposed Work (Use back of form if necessary):
Replaced ald awning.
6. List of Enclosures:
7. Do you intend to apply for Federal historic preservation tax credits for this project: Yes No (Please note that a Certificate of Appropriateness does not assure certification of rehabilitation work for Federal historic preservation tax incentives.)
I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct.
Signature of Owner or Agent: Surg Sacco Date: 9/14/84
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Received By: Approved: Date:
Date:Disapproved: Date:

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development S. S. H.

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

DBAR 84-9-16 Repainting of Door at 417 E. Main St.

Please find enclosed, for your consideration of the above item, the following:

-An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

This application is for the proposed repainting of the door adjacent to the entrance to the Nook restaurant. This door leads to shops on the second floor, and the applicant would like to paint it a lighter color to further define it as a distinct entrance. The proposed color is a light tan, a sample of which is available for review in our office.

The staff has concerns about the proposed color, and feels that the door could be better defined as a second floor entrance by the placement of a directory of upstairs shops on the wall next to the door. The owner has recently submitted a sign application to do this.

By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/gl

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1. Address of Property Applied For: 415 EAST MAIN STREET (THE NOOK)

2. Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent): Richard D. Rebori.	
3. Mailing Address of Applicant: 606 Gillespie - Ch'ville (Hor	nE)
415 E. Main ST Nook Rest (P	54 5
4. Phone Number of Applicant: (Business) <u>293-2595</u> (Home) <u>293-900</u>	6
5. Description of Proposed Mork (Use back of form if necessary):	
PAINT OUTSIDE DOOR	
(NAME-SNICKERDOODLE)	
6. List of Enclosures:	
PRINT CHIP	
7. Do you intend to apply for Federal historic preservation tax credits for to project: Yes No (Please note that a Certificate of Appropateness does not assure certification of rehabilitation work for Federal historic preservation tax incentives.)	his ri-
I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of \ensuremath{my} knowledge, correct.	
Signature of Owner or Agent: See Date: 830	84
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Received By: Approved: Date:	
Date: Disapproved: Date:	



APPLICATION FOR A SIGN PERMIT

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA. Soplembar 14, 19 84

	PERMIT NO.
Nome Richard Reberi	Zoning District B-4
Address 415 & main 5d. City Charle Heaville	
City Charlottesulle	Electrical Inspector
Name Michael Rebori	
Address 606 Lillespie Aug	Planning Director
City Charlattes wille	Date ————————————————————————————————————
	APPROVAL
Name Michan Rehar!	REFUSAL
Address 606 12://esple Acre	BZA Case No.
Glass door wall-mount models offer full-length hinges and tamper-proof locks and keys.	Approval Date
Stree 12" x 18" Model	ADC Areas
Betw Order No. 22C557896 \$6495 18" x 24" Model Order No. 22C557906 \$7495 Order No. 22C557906 \$7495	84—
X LONG STEVEN SPIELBERG	APPROVED
\$0.095	DENIED
Available letter styles.	
Type <u>Directory-Wall II bunkey</u> Malail Class Tall R. W.	
Material (Yleta) (5/90) + Felt Backing	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING:
Size 3 Width 24 Height 10	FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES, MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN.
Area Square Feet	
Maximum Height	I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which
Minimum Clearance MA	the above sign is to be erected, have read this
Illuminated HA Yes	application for an erection permit and do hereby give my consent for the above described sign.
	SIGNED: Lun
	Phone 2932595
he considered of the county has a first of the county of t	
he acceptance of the permit herein applied for shall constitute an agreeme	ent to abide by all the conditions of the SIGN

ORDINANCE AND BUILDING CODE, and to comply with all other ordinances of the City of Charlottesville and the laws of the State of Virginia, relating to the work to be done thereunder.

tt istinctly understood and agreed that all rights and privileges	acquired by the issuance of the permit herein
applied for are revocable at any time by the Inspector for just cause.	
istinctly understood and agreed that all rights and privileges applied for are revocable at any time by the Inspector for just cause.	APPLICANT/2// (X)
	Phone

MEMO

TO:

Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development > . > .H.

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

DBAR 84-9-18 New Fifth St. Entrance to 400 E. Main St.

Please find enclosed, for your consideration of the above item, the following:

-An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

-A drawing of the proposed new entrance

This application is for the installation of a new entrance and shop window adjacent to the existing Fifth Street entrance to Brown's Department Store. The applicant has plans to convert what once was the basement of Brown's into two seperate shops, both with their own entrance. In addition, the applicant is requesting approval of the recently installed blue and white striped awning over the existing Fifth Street entrance, and a red and white striped awning over the planned entrance.

The staff has no concerns about this proposal, with the exception of the proposed red and white striped awning. It is suggested that a color combination matching one or more of the building's existing colors would be more appropriate.

By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/q1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1.	Address of Property Applied For: 400 E. Main St. (lower level)
2.	Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent): D. Cary Jackson (owner)
3.	Mailing Address of Applicant: P.O. Box A. Charlottesville 22905
4.	Phone Number of Applicant: (Business) 295-4705 (Home) 295-7890
5.	Description of Proposed Work (Use back of form if necessary):
	All described work is being done at the 4th St. entranceway to the building.
	1. Replace existing yellow stripe awning with a blue stripe awning.
	2. Construct an additional entranceway onto 4th St. with an adjacent window. This entranceway will serve a retail space of approximately 2,000 ft. which is being developed as an independent entity. The door will be glass and the trim will be painted to match the adjacent door which already exists. Architectural plans are attached.
6.	3. Construct a new awning over the entranceway described in (2) (see architects plans). This awning is planned as exactly the same design as the adjacent awning but the stripes are planned to be red and white rather than blue and whit List of Enclosures: Architects plans and pictures.
7.	Do you intend to apply for Federal historic preservation tax credits for this project: Yes No \underline{x} . (Please note that a Certificate of Appropriateness does not assure certification of rehabilitation work for Federal historic preservation tax incentives.)
	I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my ledge, correct. Date: 9/13/84
	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Rece	ived By: Approved: Date:
Date	:

MEMO

TO:

Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development 3.5.

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RE:

September 25, 1984 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next BAR meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>September 25</u>, <u>1984 at 4:00 p.m.</u> in the Community Development Conference Room. Please find enclosed the following materials:

-An agenda for the September 25th meeting

-Minutes of the August 28th meeting

-One application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

-A notice about historic building field trips sponsored by UVA

Please visit the site before the meeting, and call me or Glenn Larson should you have any questions. Thank you.

GL/g1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW SEPTEMBER 25, 1984 - 4:00 P.M. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

A. MINUTES

- 1. August 28, 1984 regular meeting
- B. NEW APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS
 - 1. BAR 84-9-196

-Family Service Building 116 W. Jefferson St. Bricking of Front Steps Family Services, Inc.

- C. OTHER BUSINESS
- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBER'S REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MINUTES OF THE CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AUGUST 28, 1984 -- 4:00 P.M. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

PRESENT

ABSENT

Ted Oakey, Chairman Doug Gilpin Michael Bednar Elizabeth Booker Bob Moje Larry Herbert

None

ALSO PRESENT

Glenn Larson

Mr. Oakey called the meeting at 4:05 p.m. and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. MINUTES

1. June 26, 1984 -- Regular Meeting

There being no corrections to the minutes a motion was made for approval which carried unanimously.

B. APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

1. BAR 84-8-194

--Temple Beth Israel
301 E. Jefferson St.
Building Addition
J. C. Laramore, Jr. Applicant

Mr. Jack Laramore, Architect for the project, gave a brief presentation. In a discussion of a proposal, Mr. Bednar voiced his concern about the roof line of the addition. He moved that the application be sent back for further study of the roof line. Mr. Moje seconded this motion. In a discussion of the motion, it was suggested that certain changes be made to make the addition more distinct. Mr. Bednar moved to amend his original motion with the following conditions:

The parapet at the connection be lower.

2. The entrance receive a gable similar to those on the main temple.

The motion also stated that revised drawings could be submitted for administrative approval and that brick colors, painting and landscaping could be reviewed at a later date. After Mr. Gilpin seconded this amended motion, it passed unanimously.

2. BAR 84-8-195

--Jordan-Morgan-Haden House 901 E. Jefferson St. Removal of Rear Porch Roger Wiley, Applicant

Mr. Roger Wiley, the Applicant, gave a brief presentation of the proposal. After some discussion, Mr. Gilpin moved that the demolition of the one-storey porch and addition be approved provided that the demolition be concurrent with the approved renovation of the rest of this building. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and approved unanimously.

C. OTHER ITEMS

In a discussion with Mr. Wiley concerning the green carpet at the Senior Center, Mr. Wiley agreed to review the City's lease with the Senior Center and report back to the Board on efforts made to bring the condition into compliance. On the issue of the Ambrose House at 205 East High Street, Mr. Wiley agreed to contact the current tenants about the house condition as well as notify the Inspections Division to investigate possible City Code violations.

In a discussion of election of officer, Mr. Gilpin moved to renominate Ted Oakey as BAR Chairman. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and approved unanimously. Ted Oakey moved to nominate Larry Herbert as Secretary. Mr. Bednar seconded the motion and it was approved unanimously. Mr. Oakey moved to nominate Bob Moje as Vice Chairman. Mr. Herbert seconded the motion and it was approved unanimously. In final action, the Board voted unanimously to request to City Council that Doug Gilpin be appointed BAR Representative to the Downtown Board of Architectural Review.

Mr. Larson asked the Board to review a proposed handicapped ramp to be added at the west side of the front steps at the City Court House. Mr. Moje moved approval of this proposal with the condition that it have brick sides and does not affect the tree and bushes next to the walkway. This motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and passed unanimously.

There was a discussion on the revised landscaping plan for 1301 Wertland Street. Mr. Bednar moved approval of the landscape plan as submitted. This motion was seconded by Mrs. Booker and passed unanimously.

In final action, the Board recommended that City Council be notified that the Board would like its current opening filled by a Landscape Architect. There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

MEMO

TO:

Jerry Tomlin, Housing Inspector

FROM:

Roger C. Wiley, City Attorney //

DATE:

September 4, 1984

RE:

Ambrose Property, East High Street



The Board of Architectural Review has asked me to investigate what can be done about the property in the 200 block of East High Street belonging to Mrs. Ambrose, now deceased. I believe you may have been working on this. Could you let me see your file? The BAR is concerned about the condition of the main building but is even more concerned about the immediate safety and health hazard caused by a fallen tree that has partially demolished a garage or shed at the rear of the lot.

After I review the file perhaps you and Glenn Larson and I can have a look at the situation to see what can be done.

cc: Glenn Larson

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

Office of the City Attorney

Room 303. City Hall

P.O. Box 911 • Charlottesville, Virginia • 22902

Telephone 804-971-3131

September 4, 1984

Dr. Lorin A. Thompson President The Senior Center, Inc. 210 Second Street, N.E. Charlottesville, VA 22901

Dear Dr. Thompson:

The Board of Architectural Review has asked me to take remedial action with regard to the Senior Center's continuing failure to comply with the Board's repeated requests to replace the green outdoor carpet on the Center's entrance ramp with brown carpet of similar type.

Having read the previous correspondence about this matter, I know that you and other members of the Senior Center disagree with the Board's ruling about this carpet. You say in one letter that this is a matter of personal taste, not of substance.

While I understand your feeling, I have to say that the Board of Architectural Review is the legally designated body to rule on the external appearance of properties in the historic district, and while some judgments necessarily involve questions of taste, the Board has always made a good faith effort to apply consistent and objective standards. I might add that while the Board believes outdoor carpet generally is not in keeping with the character of the district, it did recognize the special safety needs of senior citizens, and agreed to allow you to carpet the ramp provided a less obtrusive brown color was used.

It has been years since the Board disapproved the green carpet, and the area of the carpet to be replaced is small, so it appears to us that it is not lack of funds or even neglect, but a conscious decision that has caused the Senior Center not to comply. If the cost is a problem, we will be glad to try to help you find a donor for the replacement carpet.



Dr. Lorin A. Thompson RE: The Senior Center

September 4, 1984 Page two

At this point the Senior Center is in violation, not only of the zoning ordinance under which the Board of Architectural Review has acted, but also of the provisions of its lease from the City, which requires compliance with local ordinances. I'm sure you can understand that the City cannot tolerate any tenant of its property—even one as worthy as the Senior Center—openly to defy a city ordinance or the body established to apply and enforce that ordinance. Accordingly, we ask that you take immediate steps to remedy this violation and bring the Center in compliance with its lease within 30 days.

We sincerely hope the Senior Center will cooperate with this request. If I can help in any way, please let me know.

Verv truly yours.

Roger C. Wiley City Attorney

MEMO

TO:

Board of Architectural Review

FROM:

Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

3. 2.14

DATE:

September 17, 1984

RF.

BAR 84-9-196 Bricking of Front Steps at 116 W. Jefferson St.

Please find enclosed, for your consideration of the above item, the following:

-An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness

-A historic survey of the building

-A sketch of the proposal

This application is for the proposed bricking of the front steps of the Family Services building at 116 West Jefferson Street. The steps are currently concrete. An iron railing is also planned.

The staff has no objection to this proposal, but suggests that the brick used match the brick of the building. A more detailed railing than shown on the sketch may also be appropriate.

By copy of this memorandum, we will ask the applicant to attend to answer any questions. Should you have any questions before the meeting, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

GL/g1

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1. Address of Property Applied For:	116 West Jefferson St., Charlottesville, VA 2
2. Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent):	Family Service, Inc.
3. Mailing Address of Applicant:	116 West Jefferson Street, Charlottesville, VA
4. Phone Number of Applicant: (Busine	ess) 296-4118 (Home)
5. Description of Proposed Work (Use b	pack of form if necessary):
Cover cement steps with bricks	s and install wrought iron railings on each
side of front door.	
6. List of Enclosures: Sketch.	SEP 1-84 RECEIVED Community Development Community Community RECEISED SEP 1-84 RECEIVED RECEIVE RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVE RECEIVED RECEIVE RECEI
project: Yes No χ . (P)	nistoric preservation tax credits for this lease note that a Certificate of Appropri- on of rehabilitation work for Federal s.)
I hereby attest that the information nowledge, correct.	I have provided is, to the best of my
ignature of Owner or Agent:	Date:
d a	FICE USE ONLY
deceived By:	Approved: Date:
ate: 4/14/84	Disapproved: Date:

exister sould Bushes Boshe. New Buck Stairs

LANDMARK



SURVEY

IDENTIFICATION

Street Address:

116 West Jefferson Street

Map and Parcel:

33-183

Census Track & Block:

Family Services of C'vill-Albemarle

Present Owner: Address:

116 West Jefferson Street

Present Use:

Offices

Original Owner:

J. C. Revercomb

1-314

Original Use:

Residence

BASE DATA

Historic Name:

Revercomb House

Colonial Revival

Date/Period:

1913

Style:

Height to Cornice:

Height in Stories:

Present Zoning:

B = 3

Land Area (sq.ft.):

47.5 x 116.5

Assessed Value (land + imp.): 8310 + 5370 = 13,680

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

The Revercomb House, until recently, was one of the fine examples of the Colonial Revival style. The floor plan is similar to Stanford White's Carrs Hill at the University. Built of brick that was once penciled so that the mortar joints would appear more even, the two story, three bay residence boasted of a handsome veranda with four Ionic columns with diagonal volutes, so characteristic of revival capitals, and a strong modillioned cornice that added sophistication to an otherwise ordinary structure. With this veranda gone, the Federal style entrance with fan and sidelights of beveled leaded glass looses much of its original elegance. On the interior the original doors, woodwork, and mantles are also typical of the Colonial Revival. The most interesting and unusual interior detail is the open spool-work lunette in the archway between the entrance and the stair hall.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

In 1878, Elisah Gilmer bought the property from B. L. Powell (ACDB 69-4). Gilmer sold the property and the small one story brick structure to Mrs. B. G. Leterman in 1903 (DB 14-304). In 1909, J. C. Revercomb bought the property (DB 20-483) and in 1913 razed the older structure and built the present house. The house remained in the Revercomb family until 1972 when the Family Services of Charlottesville-Albemarle, Inc. purchased it. The veranda was removed in 1974.

GRAPHICS

CONDITIONS

Average

SOURCES

Miss Virginia Revercomb City Records

DBAR - 10/23

Minutes charge flows to clarates Browne

gets - weight in who What

DBAR 84-10-20

listonin ifor from Son

Black ingen (live nontain)

Estational Buff

Sudgifin tuck guitting nottle notte girt

DG- more MB-seund marine

OTHER DUSINESS - SCULPTURE

MB- conflict between DBAR + renlytune committee

SR - list of grayerals

- let library set aside area for tengerary glacement Lee Barle ?

5% - next a your harritage

Me Sulay - good location

DR- magget to BAR gonfong of Lee Book

The strely

2 trungles

PROPERTIES UNDER STUDY

GK - now reconsidered to CC My mund

DC- islands fact that reviewy bearing rates

or letter to many

PRAR October 23, 1984 Staff Iwsen It ja Besent * Rinehat Bedrum Keller Troxell Gilpin A) Muntes i)9/25/84 Corrections noted. Approved unannously 2) 10/4/84 Approved as writer B) New Applications i) PBAR 24-10-20 Penticos tal Italiness Church Bldg. Thomas Acknien, owerer us present Motion to accept paint color scheme with black wove, htrim gate. Reporting should

joint. Mother by Golpin, seemd Aprived chan worshy. C.) Other Busmess Art Objects Agnoral Procedures. Motion to present recommendations as un Hen for City Council motion by Keller . Second by Bedner. Raned inanimously. D) 乍) Next of Community Development Now Fryer on proce dereds Presentation on Rughy Road Historic District, Dec. 5, 7:00 P.M., Compbell Hall. Adjourned 11:35 Am