CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE VIRGINIA

MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development

5.5.H.

DATE: April 16, 1985

RE: April 23, 1985 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next BAR meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>April 23</u>, <u>1985</u>, <u>at 4:00 p. m.</u> in the Community Development Conference Room. Please find enclosed the following materials:

- An agenda for the April 23rd meeting

- Minutes of the March 26th meeting

- Three applications for Certificates of Appropriateness

Please visit the sites before the meeting, and call me or Fred Boger should you have any questions. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

APRIL 23, 1985 - 4:00 P.M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

AGENDA

A. MINUTES

March 26, 1985 meeting

B. APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

1.	BAR	85 - 4 - 214	709 Park Street	
			Installation of a 5' Fence	
			Ms. Jeanne van Gemert, Applicant	

2. BAR 85 - 4 - 215 612 Maple Street
Parking Lot
United Virginia Bank

3. BAR 85 - 4 - 216 532 Park Street
Installation of Wrought Iron Fence
William B., Jr. & Frances H. Walton

C. OTHER BUSINESS

- 1. Charlottesville-Albemarle Association of Retarded Citizens Change of color of the roof at 509 Park Street
- 2. Historic Landmarks Commission 1985 Preservation Certificates of Merit
- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

MARCH 26, 1985 - 4:00 P.M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

Present

Absent

Ted Oakey, Chairman
Bob Moje, Vice Chairman
Doug Gilpin
Larry Herbert
Elizabeth Booker

Rob Freer

Staff Present

Fred Boger

Mr. Oakey called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m. and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. Minutes

Minutes of February 26, 1985 Regular Meeting - Mr. Gilpin moved approval of the minutes and Mrs. Booker seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously.

В.

<u>Applications</u> <u>for Certificates of Appropriateness</u>

1. BAR 85-3-211

609 East High Street Installation of New Window Robert M. Byram, Applicant

Mr. Boger gave a brief staff report on the application, stating the staff preferred the segmental arch instead of a flat arch over the window in order to retain the historic integrity of the Mr. Boger also stated that the window should be constructed of compatible materials. He further stated that Mr. Byram has amended his application to include changing of the downspout on the right front of the building and work on the back of the building. Mr. Byram stated that his contractor has looked at installing the window in the basement and determined that a flat arch would be necessary since the window will be located directly under a floor supporting beam. By using a segmental arch, it will be difficult to install a lintel which provides adequate support for the beam. Mr. Gilpin said the window will not be installed on a street side and did not have any problems with the flat arch. Mr. Gilpin also recommended that the applicant try to match the mortar with the mortar color of the existing building. Mr. Byram briefly stated that the rain downspout from the roof down the right side of the building to the porch roof needs to be replaced. Instead of running the new downspout to the porch, it would continue down the right corner of the building with a connection made from the porch roof to it.

Mr. Byram also informed the Board of the proposed work to the rear of the building. Since the work could not be seen from the street no action was required from the Board. After further discussion, Mr. Gilpin moved that the Certificate of Appropriateness be approved for the new rain downspout as proposed by the applicant and for the installation of the new window with the following conditions:

a) The brick, mortar, and window materials must match the existing basement windows.

b) The Board recommended the installation of the segmental arch over the new basement window on the east side of the building; however, a flat arch is acceptable if the segmental arch cannot be installed.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Moje and approved unanimously.

2) BAR 85-3-212

540 Park Street New Two-Unit Single-Family Attached Structure R. S. & T. M. Tatum, Applicant

Mr. Boger gave a brief staff report on the application, stating the staff had no major objections to this project since the new structure will not be readily visible from Park Street. Mr. Gilpin stated that he is concerned about the style of the proposed structures because they are in the middle of some notable historic structures, such as the Sears House, and would be visible from Park Street. Mr. Moje stated that it appears that the applicant is trying to blend in with styles outside the district instead of the styles within the district. Mr. Gilpin stated that the proposed design does not relate to the existing structures in the area. Mr. Oakey said the style appears to be tract houses and he is opposed to this type in a historic district. After further discussion, the Board decided not to take any action on the application at this meeting. The Board recommended that the applicant redesign the structure utilizing the following historic criteria:

a) Harmony of scale.

b) Harmony of materials, textures, colors and motifs.

c) Impact on the surrounding environment.

d) Historic or architectural significance of the proposed action.

e) Harmony of proportion, size, and placement of: entrances, windows, awnings, exterior stairs, and signs.

3) BAR 85-3-213

216 Third Street, N. E. Canvas Awning Dr. V. H. Marshall, Applicant

Mr. Boger gave a brief staff report on the discussion held by the Downtown Board of Architectural Review (March 26, 1985) on the application, stating that the Board had the following concerns with the proposed awning:

- The proposed black with gray piping color is inappropriate for the awning. The color scheme should be reversed.
- b) The design of the awning is inappropriate for the building and the area, especially the jog in the awning to go down to the lower entrance of the building.

c) There are other ways to identify the business, such as a gate, landscape garden, gate post, etc.

Mr. Gilpin said he was concerned about the proposed awning. He felt something more sympathetic to the area could be designed, such as a wood trellis or an entrance motif. Mrs. Booker stated that she is concerned with the total effect the canopy would have on the building, but is also sympathetic with the need to protect the customers from the weather. Mr. Oakey said the Board is not in a position to design the awning, however, the Board may give some recommendations for change. If the applicant disagrees, then he can appeal the Board's decision to City Council. Mr. Oakey further stated that the subject building is basically a residential structure and the Board went pretty far in allowing the change to the entrance of the lower level several years ago. The Board allowed this change because the entrance was in a concealed location; and now this proposal is something else. Mr. Herbert stated that he was concerned about the awning hanging over the public sidewalk and would prefer that it ended at the edge of Dr. Marshall's sidewalk. After further discussion, Mr. Herbert moved approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness to erect a canvas awning at 216 Third Street N.E. with the following conditions:

- a) The color scheme shall be as submitted, black with gray piping.
- b) The awning is not to extend over the public sidewalk.
- c) The awning shall be constructed according to the design as submitted, which includes the bullnose front.
- d) The awning cannot be attached to the building. The motion was seconded by Mr. Moje and passed by the following vote: Ayes: Moje, Booker, Herbert. Noes: Gilpin. Abstentions: Oakey.

C. Other Business

Mr. Boger informed the Board that the Charlottesville-Albemarle Association of Retarded Citizens has painted the roof of their building at 509 Park Street a lighter color than the dark gray approved on February 28, 1985. After a brief discussion, the Board decided to continue this matter until their April meeting to allow time for the members to view the subject property.

D. Matters Brought By The Public Not On the Agenda

There were none.

E. Chairman's Report

There was none.

F. Board Members' Reports

There were none.

G. Department of Community Development Report

There was none.

There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:20 p. m.

- I Scotlin 31-182 Parkitized signs (b)

 "Any sign or bonner within or across a public

 Right of way, unless specifically approved by the

 City monage or his designer."
- Signa 31-182 Probleted signs Q "any Figh which adventises any activity, Unsinessi product on service which is not conducted in sold on the premises where the sign is located."

 The subject sign is located effecting on City property. and is off-premise which is not premitted.

3,

3. ARTICLE XIX SISEPLAN REVIEW. The A-Frame

Sign is in Visitation of the side plan preprint a proposed to an ame 6, 1577 to the which shows the side of the process was warred by the Direction of Planning with the subject to the Following!

This warrea is also conditioned upon the applicant completing the improvements shown on the approved side plans that the existing sign is to be used with no change in area. Unit with lettering changes only, and that no other arteria prodifications we to be made.

The A-Frame is an unatherized present to the approved site plans.

MR. John CRAFAIM, DR.

LITTLEJOLNU NEW YORK Delicatessen

1427 University Avenue

Charlettes ville, UB 22923

RE: Temponary Sign, 1427 University Avenue

DLAR. MR. CRAFUIN

ON March 8, 1986, I Informed one of your monages of Little John's New you Delicatessen (Mr. Bill Harm)

ITHET the A-FRAME sign being displayed on the City's A following letter of following letters

Sidewalth in Violation of section the City code. On throad actives,

who sent to you on March 25, 1986 officially notifying

you there of this violation with a copy to the property owner,

the lister as the City to the Moon! Hiller, I coulted

Mr. Tenny and the former to him the representative for Universy month,

Inc. the foreign owner and explain in detail the problem associated

with this sign, finally, on Arail 18, 1905. I as personally to that

with this sign, finally, on Arail 18, 1905. I as personally to that

with the active to a trace continued to displaying the sign. However, as of

this date you trace continued to displaying the sign in violation

of the same City Cite. Thought I am any officially

positioning you that in the life of this: A-frame sign is in violation

of the following sessions of the City code:

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE VIRGINIA

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development

DATE: April 16, 1985

RE: April 23, 1985 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next BAR meeting will be held on $\underline{\text{Tuesday}}$, $\underline{\text{April}}$ $\underline{23}$, $\underline{1985}$, at $\underline{11:00}$ a. m. in the Community Development Conference Room. Please find enclosed the following materials:

- An agenda for the April 23rd meeting

- Minutes of the March 26th meeting

- One application for Certificate of Appropriateness

Please visit the site before the meeting, and call me or Fred Boger should you have any questions. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

APRIL 23, 1985 - 11:00 A. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

AGENDA

A. MINUTES

March 26, 1985 meeting

- B. APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
 - 1. DBAR 85 3 30

100 South Street
New Parking Lot

M. Jack Rinehart, Jr., Applicant

- C. OTHER BUSINESS
 - Historic Landmarks Commission 1985 Preservation Certificates
 of Merit
- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE VIRGINIA

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: April 17, 1985

www.

RE: Parking lot - 100 South Street - DBAR 85 - 3 - 30

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness

- Site Plan for the proposed parking lot

Mr. M. Jack Rinehart, Jr., is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to allow construction of a new 20 space parking lot at 100 South Street.

Staff has reviewed the proposed site plan and has no objections to it. However, the color for the wall, rail and sign must be approved by the Board.

If you have any questions, or need further information, please feel free to contact me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

TO: Boards of Architectural Review

FROM: Frances Walton, Chairman, Historic Landmarks Commission

DATE: 16 April 1985

The Charlottesville Historic Landmarks Commission proposes the following list of recipients of 1985 preservation certificates of merit. The CHLC, with the concurrence of the two architectural review boards, would like to forward this proposal to City Council in order that the certificates may be awarded during preservation week in May.

Please familiarize yourself with these projects before your next meeting, so that we may firm up this matter at that time.

I hope to attend your next meeting, but in the event I cannot, Doug Gilpin will discuss this matter with you.

Recipient	Nickname	People Involved
Wertenbaker House (rehabilitation)	(Wertland)	George Jennings & Wertenbaker Associates
H.H. Hankins Warehouse (adaptive use)	(South St. Restaurant)	Salvatore Montenaero M&W Land Trust
Peyton Ellington Bldg. (rehabilitation)	(Murray's Steaks)	Edward W. Hook, Jr. and Jessie T. Hook
McGuffey Hill Condominia (infill)		Frank Folsom Smith et al.
Holy Comforter Church (infill)		Jack Rinehart

Turner-LaRue House (Tri-Sig Turner-LaRue House (rehabilitation) 15th & Va. Sts.) Limited Partnership

Ray Hunt of Historic Renovation Corp., Inc. for fraternity renovation pl

Eugene Williams of Dogwood Housing for a system of renovations of low income rental housing on Ridge Street

MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

MARCH 26, 1985 - 11:00 A. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

Present

Absent

Jack Rinehart, Chairman Michael Bednar, Vice Chairman Doug Gilpin Carol Troxell Genevieve Keller

None

Staff Present

Fred Boger

Mr. Rinehart called the meeting to order at 11:00~a.m. and called for the consideration of the minutes.

A. <u>Minutes</u>

John Allen

Minutes of the February 26, 1985 Regular Meeting - Mr. Gilpin moved approval of the minutes with the following changes: Page 1 - DBAR 84-9-19, Item (a): Amherst Colonial brick shall be used on the following buildings: 100 Fifth Street: 106 Fifth Street: 108 Fifth Street. Hermitage brick shall be used on the following buildings: 506 Main Street: 508 Main Street: 102 Fifth Street: 104 Fifth Street. Page 2 - DBAR 85-19-25 - (a) Fourth line - Change datailed to detailed. (c) Item (f) - add one new crabapple tree instead of one crabapple tree. Page 3 - DBAR 84-1, Ninth line - Change Mr. Toledano to Mrs. Toledano. Item C2: Delete the last sentence. In its place, add: "Mrs. Keller thought the Board should communicate its concern about the program to Richmond." Item D2, Fourth Line: Change McGovern to McGowan.

501 750 sind

The motion was seconded by Mrs. Keller and approved unanimously.

B. <u>Applications</u> for <u>Certificates</u> of <u>Appropriateness</u>

Mr. Rinehart informed the Board and the general public that the applications for vending carts would be deferred until City Council approved a policy for their use on the Downtown Mall. Mr. Rinehart also said that a special meeting would be called, if needed, for the Board to consider the designs of the vending carts.

1. DBAR 84-10-20

206 West Market Street Canvas Canopy Mr. Thomas Hickman, Applicant

Mr. Hickman presented samples of awning colors to the Board. After a brief discussion, Mr. Bednar moved approval of style 9233 with the following conditions:

a) The canopy will have solid color green ends.

b) Stripes will be over the door, and;

c) The street number may be painted or sewn on the canopy.

2. DBAR 85-2-25

200 - 204 South Street South Street Inn - Wrought Iron Fence Mr. Thomas Hickman, Applicant

Mr. Hickman presented designs for the wrought iron fence and samples of materials to be used for it. After a brief discussion, Mrs. Keller moved approval of the application with the condition that the ends of the column posts must either be tapered or have a No. 118 newel post ball. Mr. Bednar seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously.

3. DBAR 85-2-26

414 East Market Street Sign Appeal Mr. John McGowan, Applicant

Mr. Boger gave a brief statement on Mr. McGowan's appeal, stating that the building owner was not receptive to hanging a sign in the window. After further consideration, Mr. Bednar moved denial of the McGowan appeal to leave the sign on the exterior of the building at 414 East Market Street and recommended painting the sign on one of the windows on the Fifth Street side of the building. The design of the sign can be administratively approved by staff. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Keller and approved unanimously.

4. DBAR 85-3-27

408 East Market Street Installation of Canopy Mr. Hank Browne, Applicant

Mr. Gilpin indicated that he was abstaining from voting on this application because of conflict of interest. Mr. Browne presented the design for a canopy over the main entrance to the Maclin Building. Mrs. Troxell moved approval of the design as submitted. Mr. Allen seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously, with Mr. Gilpin abstaining.

5. DBAR 85-3-28

414 East Main Street Lower Facade Change 414 Associates, Applicant

Mr. Neal Payton, Architect, made a brief presentation on the design proposal to remodel the ground floor front of the building at 414 East Main Street in order to allow installation of a four-story set of steps for access from the basement to the upper two floors. Mr. Boger stated

that the staff has no major objections to this project. Mr. Rinehart moved approval of the design as submitted, pending subsequent approval of the color scheme for exterior and interior (seen through the glass), material selection, including entrance paving, and lighting. Mr. Gilpin seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously.

6. BAR 3-23

216 Third Street, N. E. Canvas Awning Dr. V. H. Marshall, Applicant

Mr. Boger made a brief presentation stating that the Board of Architectural Review has requested the Downtown Board of Architectural Review to review and comment on the proposed canvas awning to be installed on the building at 216 Third Street, N. E. Mr. Joe Conslyman, representing Dr. Marshall, made a presentation to the Board stating that the awning is needed to protect his customers from inclement weather and identify his interior design shop. Subsequent discussion ensued with Mr. Gilpin representing the BAR abstaining and noting the concerns raised, including the inappropriateness of the canopy on the historic residence, awkward juncture with the existing entrance, and the stark color scheme.

7. DBAR 85-3-29

Cafe/Flower Vending Unit Downtown Mall The Nook Restaurant and Valley View Florist, Applicants

Mr. Sheldon Anderson, representing Valley View Florist, presented a revised concept for selling flowers on the Downtown Mall using mobile carts. Since this was a proposal from a property owner merchant on the Downtown Mall, approval was moved by Mr. Rinehart with future approval of colors and size by the Board if different from those presented in the photographs submitted. Mrs. Troxell seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously.

Mr. Boger stated that the outdoor cafe proposal of the Nook Restaurant will require City Council approval and DBAR approval of the design. Mr. Richard Rebori presented the outdoor cafe proposal, which included square wooden bollards, movable waiting stand, and tables with umbrellas. After considerable discussion, the Board deferred action on this application until additional information is submitted on its design.

C. Other Business

Mrs. Troxell made a brief presentation on the Certified Local Government Program Concept.

D. <u>Matters Brought By the Public Not on the Agenda</u>

There were none.

E. Chairman's Report

There was none.

F. Board Members' Reports

There were none.

G. <u>Department of Community Development Report</u>

There was none.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

DBAR APRIL 23, 1985 11:00 Am. Present St2/6 A Pinebint Program Redun-Coilpun Kellen A. MINEUTES Corrections to Reids Site Brick Stelling trunding DBAR 25-3-28 Motion 6.1 pri Second Keller Approved B. NUOK MAN CATE 95-3-29 Ruehart abstanted besign on behalf of owner of dosign t black funiture color Sevend by Bedurant Sex. Sevend by Bedurant abstanted Some Bedown chaired neeting while

Line hart presented wese.

Motion for a small him Golfpin

dy design - Serend hay teller

Another worked in the

Problem to write of metry

Ruebat abstaining,

C. oTHER BUSINESS

United was presented to dumpster was deterned pending schonisment of submission of more anglete formings.

1985 PRESERVATION CORTECTES

DBAR, Mittenspierrends and to South Hankinspierrehouse of priech. Mation by Redmy, certified by fine hert. Gil pin a bs tome'd. Board decided to discuss only projects 6. Dept. of C. D. Disussian y signage publems on the Mall side streets, to be attached to building. Und require, change of old mance