MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

AUGUST 27, 1985 - 11:00 A.M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

PRESENT

Jack Rinehart, Chairman Michael Bednar, Vice Chairman Doug Gilpin Genevieve Keller

ABSENT

Carol Troxell John Allen

STAFF PRESENT

Fred Boger

Mr. Rinehart called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. Minutes

1) Minutes of the July 23, 1985, regular meeting were approved as submitted.

B. Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness

(1) DBAR 85-6-36

201 East Main Street - Outdoor Cafe "Zona Rosa" - Central Place Partnership, Applicant

Mr. Jim McCue, architect, and the applicant, Mr. David Danewood, presented the design, along with samples of the awning and furniture. A long discussion of each design element ensued. Mr. Gilpin moved to accept the design proposal with the elimination of the two conifers at the entrance and careful finishing of the plywood planters and waitress station to achieve a smooth, metal-like appearance. The motion was seconded by Mr. Bednar and was approved by all members present.

(2) DBAR 85-8-44

218 West Market St. - Renovation of old A & P Building - Browne, Eichman, Dalgliesh & Gilpin, Applicant

Mr. Boger gave a staff report, outlining concerns with this application. Mr. Bob Paxton, Architect, presented the application and answered questions regarding the design. After considerable discussion, Mr. Bednar moved to defer consideration of this application pending submission of larger scale detailed elevations which would include reconsideration of the colonial columns, retention of as much of the present brickwork as possible, reconsideration of the Market Street pediment and sign, railing details, use of contemporary store front treatment, removal of billboards, and Preston Avenue electrical connections. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Keller, and carried by vote of all members present, with the exception of Mr. Gilpin, who abstained from the discussion and voting.

3) DBAR 85-5-34

Color and Design of Awning Sal's Pizza - 221 East Main St. Mr. Giuseppe Finazzo, Applicant

Mrs. Keller moved to accept the colors and design of the proposed awning at Sal's Pizza as follows: Background - Hemlock Tweed #1105: two panels of accent stripes - Jockey Red #1103; Erin Green #1100; and Natural #1104. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gilpin and passed with Mr. Bednar abstaining.

C. Other Business

 DBAR 85 - 8 - Sign Appeal - Austins Art Center - 410 East Market St. Ms. Mary Jo Wilson, Applicant

This item did not appear on the agenda for this meeting, and thus is included under this category.

Owner Henry Maclin commented on a new sign proposal for this building. His presentation included signage difficulties incurred by retail tenants. The DBAR is willing to support an appeal by the owner to obtain a variance for a free standing sign. After considerable discussion, the Board approved the colors for the sign. Mr. Huja met privately with Mr. Maclin, and other conditions were mutually agreed upon for the installation of this sign.

2. Election of Officers

Mr. Rinehart nominated Mr. Bednar to serve as chairman. Mr. Bednar declined the nomination because of other committments to various boards and committees. Mr. Gilpin moved to continue the present slate of officers for another year. Mrs. Keller seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously by all those present.

D. <u>Matters Brought By The Public Not On The Agenda</u>

There were none.

E. <u>Chairman's Report</u>

There was none.

- F. Board Members' Reports
- G. Department of Community Development Report

Consideration was given to a proposal for administrative approval of exterior colors. The Board feels that the list should be a suggested color list only, and not limited to the colors indicated. Indication should be made that all colors are not appropriate for every building, and that other colors or manufacturers may be approved. The suggestion was made that color card guides be added. Final approval of this list was deferred to the next meeting in order for comments by Board members to be finalized.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:25 p.m.

FMB:bk

8 1 V

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development

DATE: August 21, 1985

RE: August 27, 1985 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next DBAR meeting will be held on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>August 27</u>, <u>1985</u>, at <u>11:00</u> <u>a.m.</u> in the <u>Community</u> <u>Development Conference</u> <u>Room</u>. Please find enclosed the following materials:

An agenda for the August 27, 1985 meeting
Minutes of the July 23, 1985 meeting
Three Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness

Please visit the sites before the meeting, and call me or Fred Boger should you have any questions. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

AUGUST 27, 1985 - 11:00 A. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

AGENDA

A. MINUTES

July 23, 1985 meeting

B. APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

DBAR 85-6-36

201 East Main Street - Outdoor Cafe - "Zona Rosa" - Central Place Partnership, Applicant

DBAR 85-8-44

218 West Market Street - Renovation of the old A & P Building - Browne, Eichman, Dalgliesh & Gilpin, Applicant

DBAR 85-5-34

221 East Main Street - Color of Awning Sal's Pizza, Applicant

C. OTHER BUSINESS

Election of Officers

- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

JULY 23, 1985 - 11:00 A.M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

PRESENT

ABSENT

None

Jack Rinehart, Chairman Michael Bednar, Vice Chairman Doug Gilpin Carol Troxell Genevieve Keller John Allen

STAFF PRESENT

Fred Boger

Mr. Rinehart called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. Minutes

1) Minutes of the June 25, 1985, regular meeting were approved with the following correction:

Page 4, item 6: Change Ms. in the last sentence of this paragraph to Mr.

2) Minutes of the July 3, 1985 special meeting were approved with the following correction:

Page 1, item (2): Change Mr. Todd Bullet to Mr. Todd Bullard.

B. <u>Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness</u>

(1) DBAR 85-3-29

Nook Cafe Richard Rebori, Applicant

Mr. Boger made a brief presentation on the application, stating that the applicant is requesting to amend his Certificate of Appropriateness to allow eight (8) umbrellas instead of the six (6) originally approved. The color breakdown will be as follows: four umbrellas #839 vanilla and four umbrellas #844 putty by Brown Jordan. Ms. Keller made the motion to approve the amendment to allow eight umbrellas as submitted. Mr. Bednar seconded the motion and it passed by the following vote of the Board: Ayes: Bednar, Troxell, Allen, Keller, Gilpin (5); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Rinehart (1).

(2) DBAR 85-7-40

408 East Market St., Maclin Bldg. Condominiums; Browne, Eichman, Dalgliesh & Gilpin, Applicants Mr. Boger stated that the applicants propose to construct seven (7) condominiums and one (1) office space utilizing the existing third floor of the Maclin Building. Four (4) of the residential condominiums and the one (1) office space will include upper level lofts. Exterior finish materials include "Dryvit" (to match existing color of Market Street elevation), white-clad windows and trim, copper standing seam roof and ballasted (river gravel) membrane roof.

After further discussion, Mr. Allen made the motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the scheme pending approval of the paint colors for the wood trim, handrails and deck materials and the enclosure design for the heating and air conditioning equipment. Mr. Bednar seconded the motion, which passed by the following vote: Ayes: Rinehart, Bednar, Allen, Troxell, Keller (5); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Gilpin (1).

3) DBAR 85-7-41

301 East Market Street Repainting Building People's Mortgage Corp., Applicant

Mr. Boger stated that Mr. Frederick Watson, representing People's Mortgage Corporation, has submitted an application to repaint the exterior brick walls of the building at 301 East Market Street a light to medium gray. After reviewing examples of the proposed paint color, Ms. Troxell made the motion to approve painting the exterior of the building at 301 East Market Street Benjamin Moore GN-59 and repainting the door pediment the same color as the trim or gables. The motion was seconded by Ms. Keller and passed unanimously.

4) DBAR 85-7-42

309-311 East Main Street Repainting Exterior Wood Trim Art Keyser, Applicant

Mr. Boger stated that Mr. Keyser has repainted the exterior trim of his building from a beige color to Moss Agate #BM-71-2 (Sherwin Williams). Mr. Keyser is now requesting approval of the color change. Mr. Rinehart made a motion to approve the change in color of the wood trim as submitted with the request that the applicant refinish the weathered oak panels in the front of the building. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gilpin and passed by the following vote: Ayes: Rinehart, Gilpin, Keller, Troxell, Allen (5); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Bednar (1).

5) DBAR 85-7-43

201 East Main Street Sign Request Patty Kulman, Applicant Mr. Boger stated that Ms. Kulman has applied for a permit to paint (already completed) a sign on the front window of her business at 201 East Main Street. The sign consists of blue lettering bordered in yellow. The Board briefly discussed the number and size of current signs for this establishment. They requested an opinion from the City Attorney concerning whether the Board can review the signs located in the arcade of this building. Ms. Troxell made the motion to approve Ms. Kulman's application with the condition that the sign is touched up to remove the brush strokes in it. Mr. Rinehart seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

C. Other Business

A brief discussion was held on reviewing interior changes which are visible from the street or public places and the treatment of roofs. It was the consensus of the Board that a request be made to the Planning Commission to consider amending the Ordinance to address this matter.

D. <u>Matters Brought By The Public Not On The Agenda</u>

There were none.

E. Chairman's Report

There was none.

F. <u>Board Members' Reports</u>

Ms. Troxell made a brief report on a meeting with the A B C Board on removing the chains to outdoor cafes. The A B C Board decided to allow the removal of the connecting chains on a case by case basis.

G. <u>Department</u> of <u>Community</u> <u>Development</u> <u>Report</u>

There was none.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:07 p.m.

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development 5.5.H

DATE: August 19, 1985

RE: DBAR 85-6-36 - 201 East Main Street - Outdoor Cafe - "Zona Rosa",

Central Place Partnership, Applicant

Please find enclosed for your consideration the revised proposal for the Zona Rosa Cafe on the "Downtown Mall" at 201 East Main Street.

Staff has discussed this application with Mr. McCue and now has no objections to the proposed location. However, we still have some concern about the style of furniture to be used. The applicant has indicated that, if possible, he will bring an actual chair to the meeting for the Board to see. The question we still have concerns whether the proposed furniture style (especially the chairs) is appropriate for an urban setting such as the "Downtown Mall".

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: August 19, 1985

RE: DBAR 85-8-44 - 218 West Market Street-Exterior Renovation of the old A & P Building - Browne, Eichman, Dalgliesh & Gilpin, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness
- A copy of the proposed plans for the exterior renovation

The applicant has submitted plans for the construction of an exterior canopy and walkway on the north and east elevations of the building at 218 West Market Street. The work will also include the following:

- A) The installation of new glass storefronts.
- B) Repaving of the existing parking area.
- C) The construction of new concrete curbing for planters with landscape materials.
- D) The construction of a pedestrian canopy supported by wood columns and faced with dryvit.
- E) Cleaning of the exterior brick under the proposed canopy. (Note: The brick will not be covered over with dryvit.

Staff has reviewed this application and finds that the proposed improvements to this building will have a positive impact on this area of Preston Avenue and Market Street. However, we do recommend the following conditions for approval of this application:

A) The existing billboards on the subject property will have to be removed before any new signs can be approved for the tenants of the building. The billboards are nonconforming signs because they exceed the maximum sign area and three of them are freestanding, which is not permitted in the Downtown Architectural Design Control District.

- B) Virginia Power will be undergrounding the utilities along Preston Avenue in the near future. This work will affect how electrical service can be provided to this building. The present pipes at the rear of the building used for electrical service will either have to be removed or covered up with some type of design treatment satisfactory to the Board.
- C) Dryvit can be used only on the face of the pedestrian canopy. The exterior brick under the canopy must be cleaned and not painted. Use of the existing brick will enhance the overall design character of the building.
- D) The windows for the individual shops should have mullions in them instead of a solid sheet of glass. This change will also enhance the design treatment of the building.
- E) All posts formerly used for freestanding signs must be removed.

Should you have any questions or need further information concerning this application, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

DBAR 8-144

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1.	Address of Property Applied For: 218	B West Market Street		
2.	Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent): BRC	OWNE, EICHMAN, DALGLIESH & GILPIN, P.	c.	
3.	Mailing Address of Applicant: P.C	D. Box 2555		
	Cha	arlottesville, Virginia 22902		
4.	Phone Number of Applicant: (Business)	977-4480 (Home)		
5.	Description of Proposed Work (Use back o	of form if necessary):		
	The construction of an exterior c and east elevations of the above is also to include the installati repaving the existing parking are concrete curbing for planters wit	referenced building. The work : on of new glass storefronts, a, and the construction of new		
6.	List of Enclosures: Drawing SD-1	18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
7.	1 1 2	note that a Certificate of Appropri-		
knov	I hereby attest that the information I have provided is, to the best of my knowledge, correct.			
Signature of Owner or Agent: Date: 8.14.85 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
Rece	eived By:	Approved: Date:		
Date		Disapproved: Date:		



APPLICATION FOR A SIGN PERMIT

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA. 8 19 275

PERMIT NO

Name Kinny Minchin	Zoning District
Address 100 DEST NE	_
Address 100 Jan 27 NE City Chilate Va 23901	Electrical Inspector
or Name Preting 12 Contact Tire	
Address 10 9 11 10 1	Planning Director
1211 11 1 12	Date —
	APPROVAL
Name 200 Additional Part of the Part of th	_ REFUSAL
Address Addres	- BZA Case No
8 city 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Approval Date
Street Maria T. Saugh	ADC Areas
Between and on HE	84
Between and	_ APPROVED
House No.	DENIED
100se 110.	
Type	
Material Landson	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING:
Size Width Height	FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES, MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN.
Area Square Feet	Larrentales and worthing or store.
Maximum Height	I she wadanianad awar of the assessment on which
Minimum Clearance	I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which the above sign is to be erected, have read this
	application for an erection permit and do hereby
Illuminated Yes	give my consent/for the above described sign:
	SIGNED.
	Phone Phone
acceptance of the permit herein applied for shall constitute an ag	
DINANCE AND BUILDING CODE, and to comply with all other of the State of Virginia, relating to the work to be done thereun	

It is distinctly understood and agreed that all rights and privileges acquired by the issuance of the permit herein

APPLICANT _

2794

applied for are revocable at any time by the Inspector for just cause.

7. i 10 to July 2

1/2

20 INCHES ON THER Sample WROSETT! SIGN PLACEMENT 12 Feet they 3. A wondows sign is too fair recessed to Annesne 6. Style compactible to bulding is survoyating an 1. This is the first even that withers predestriam 4. Non-ottussive to perclustrian traffic. S Colors compactible to bindaing colors I Other AVEAS ANG hidden by their Remons Fox Alexand: A Store.

MEMO

TO: Downtown Board of Architectural Review (ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA)

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development 5.5 \H.

DATE: August 21, 1985

RE: DBAR 85-8-

Sign Appeal, 410 East Market Street

Austins Art Center, Aplicant

Please find enclosed an application for a sign permit to erect a projecting sign at 410 East Market Street. The Department of Community Development has reviewed this application and it was denied for the following reasons:

- 1) A projecting sign on the Maclin Building is not architecturally compatible with the building's design.
- 2) The proposed color of the sign is not compatible with the existing signage on the building.
- 3) The sign would not be located on the front facade where the store will be located.

Should you have any questions or need further information on this application, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning & Community Development 5.5. H.

DATE: August 21, 1985

RE: August 27, 1985 Meeting

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you that the next BAR meeting will be held on $\frac{\text{Tuesday, August 27, 1985, at 4:00 p.m.}}{\text{Conference}}$ in the $\frac{\text{Community Development Please find enclosed the following materials:}}{\text{Conference}}$

- An agenda for the August 27, 1985 meeting - Minutes of the July 23, 1985 meeting

- Four Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness

Please visit the sites before the meeting, and call me or Fred Boger should you have any questions. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

AUGUST 27, 1985 - 4:00 P.M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

AGENDA

A. MINUTES

July 23, 1985 meeting

B. APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

BAR 84-192

401 Park Street - Ernest Pugh House -

Renovation and Alteration

SLDC Architects, Ltd., Applicant

BAR 85-8-226

1113 West Main Street - Sign Permit

Ms. Sara Watson, Applicant

BAR 85-8-227

Installation of Sign at 909 West Main Street - Frederick Schroeder, Applicant

BAR 85-8-228

Installation of Sign at 901 East Jefferson Street - Victoria Fenwick, Applicant

- C. OTHER BUSINESS
- D. MATTERS BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC NOT ON THE AGENDA
- E. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- F. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS
- G. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

JULY 23, 1985 - 4:00 P. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

Present

Ted Oakey, Chairman Bob Moje Doug Gilpin Don Sours Absent

Rob Freer Elizabeth Booker Larry Herbert

Staff Present

Fred Boger

Mr. Oakey Called the meeting to order at $4:10~\mathrm{p.m.}$ and called for consideration of the minutes.

A. Minutes

Minutes of the June 25, 1985, Regular Meeting - Mr. Sours moved approval of the minutes and the motion was seconded by Mr. Gilpin. The motion was unanimously approved by the members present.

B. <u>Applications for Certificates of Appropriateness</u>

(1). BAR 85-6-225 - 331 Parkway - New Single-Family Dwelling - Mr. Samuel E. Darnell, Applicant

Mr. Boger stated that Mr. Darnell is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a single-family two-story home with a basement at 331 Parkway. Mr. Boger further stated that the Board reviewed this application at its June 25, 1985 meeting, but did not have a quorum to act on the request.

Mr. Darnell was present and briefly presented the application to the Board. The following residents of the area were present and spoke in opposition to the application:

Mrs. Mary Francis Walton

532 Park Street

Mr. Raymond Ramey

Rt 1, Box 139, Afton, Va.

Mr. Lucius Bracey

724 Northwood Avenue

Mr. James E. Treakle, Jr.

435 Park Street

The persons speaking opposition to the application had the following concerns:

- a. A forty foot wide house was not compatible with the area.
- b. The design of the house was not architecturally compatible with the area.
- c. This is a historic property and it should not be sub-divided into two lots.

After considerable discussion, Mr. Gilpin made a motion to approve the application as submitted with the stipulation that new trees be replanted to replace the ones being removed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Sours. The motion failed because of a tie vote (Ayes: Mr. Gilpin and Mr. Sours (2); Noes: Mr. Oakey and Mr. Moje (2)).

Mr. Sours made a second motion to call a special meeting on July 30, 1985, to reconsider this application with the full Board present. Mr. Moje seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by the members present.

C. Other Business

There was none.

D. Matters Brought By the Public Not on the Agenda

There were none.

E. <u>Chairman's Report</u>

There were none.

F. Board Members' Reports

There were none.

G. <u>Department</u> of <u>Community Development</u> Report

There was none.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:43 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE

CHARLOTTESVILLE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

SPECIAL MEETING - JULY 30, 1985 - 4:00 P. M.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM

Present

Absent

Ted Oakey, Chairman Bob Moje Doug Gilpin Don Sours Rob Freer Elizabeth Booker Larry Herbert

None

Staff Present

Fred Boger

Mr. Oakey Called the meeting to order at 4:10 p.m.

A. <u>Application for Certificate of Appropriateness</u>

(1). BAR 85-6-225 - 331 Parkway - New Single-Family Dwelling - Mr. Samuel E. Darnell, Applicant

Mr. Oakey briefly explained to the Board the action taken at its July 23, 1985 meeting at which the petition was denied.

Mr. Sam Darnell, Mr. Robert Anderson, Chairman of the Board for Comyn Hall, Mr. Carl Van Fossen, Secretary/Treasurer for Comyn Hall, and Mrs. Robert Anderson, Director of Comyn Hall, were present and briefly spoke in favor of the application.

The following people were present and spoke in opposition to the application:

Mrs. Mary Francis Walton

532 Park Street

Mr. Gib Akin

101 Park Hill

Mr. Raymond Ramsey

Rt 1, Box 139, Afton, Va.

Mr. Lucius Bracey

724 Northwood Avenue

The concerns expressed by the persons speaking in opposition are briefly presented below:

a. Concern about the Board acting in ways to preserve the architectural integrity of the neighborhood.

b. The proposed house is designed in a horizontal way, whereas the existing houses on Parkway are vertical with porches and columns.

c. The design of the proposed house does not relate with either Comyn Hall or the area as a whole.

d. The property is historic and it should not be subdivided into two lots.

Mr. Gilpin stated that he has been down Parkway a number of times since the last meeting and he feels that the proposed house would fit into this area because a number of architectural styles have been used.

Mr. Moje said there are a number of architectural questions associated with the proposed design, and one of the more important issues is the scale of the home. It is massive when compared to the other structures on Parkway and it is not the solution that it could be. Another question is the proposed side yard setbacks and the closeness to the adjoining single-family dwelling on the left side.

Mr. Sours said that the house could be shifted to have a larger side yard on the left, creating more open area between the two structures.

Mr. Herbert stated that he has no problem developing a home on this lot, but would like to see several options to consider.

Mrs. Booker said the Board must protect the character of the neighborhood. Although this is a lovely home, it is too large for this area.

Mr. Freer said the design of the house does $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Mr. Oakey said there is too much controversy associated with this house. The way the lot is shaped, the house is too large for it.

After further discussion, Mr. Sours moved approval of the application as submitted and the motion was seconded by Mr. Gilpin. The motion was denied by the following vote of the Board: Ayes: Sours, Gilpin (2); Noes: Oakey, Freer, Booker, Herbert, Moje (5); Abstentions: (0).

B. <u>Election of Officers</u>

Following the conclusion of the regular business session, the Board then held election of officers for the coming year.

Chairman

Mr. Gilpin nominated Mr. Oakey for Chairman and the nomination was seconded by Mrs. Booker. The nomination was approved by the following vote: Ayes: Moje, Gilpin, Booker, Sours, Freer, Herbert (6); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Oakey (1).

<u>Vice</u> <u>Chairman</u>

Mr. Gilpin nominated Mr. Moje for Vice Chairman and the nomination was seconded by Mrs. Booker. The nomination was approved by the following vote: Ayes: Oakey, Gilpin, Booker, Freer, Herbert, Sours (6); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Moje (1).

Secretary

Mr. Gilpin nominated Mr. Herbert for Secretary and the nomination was seconded by Mr. Sours. The nomination was approved by the following vote: Ayes: Oakey, Gilpin, Booker, Freer, Sours, Moje (6); Noes: (0); Abstentions: Herbert (1).

C. Other Business

A brief discussion was held on the importance of attending the scheduled meetings of the Board. The consensus of the Board was that they would call the Department of Community Development if they are unable to attend a scheduled meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: August 19, 1985

RE: BAR 84 - 192 - 401 Park Street, Ernest Pugh House - Renovation and Alteration - SLDC Architects, LTD, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness
- A copy of the elevation drawings
- A copy of the photographs showing the existing conditions of the building
- A copy of the Historic Survey Sheet

SLDC Architects has submitted an application for the renovation and slight alteration of the Ernest Pugh House at 401 Park Street. The proposed work will include the following:

- 1) Exterior brick to be cleaned with a mild chemical wash.
- 2) Loose or missing roof slate is to be replaced.
- 3) Dormers are to be rebuilt to match existing dormers.
- 4) Shutters are to be repaired and/or replaced and painted.
- All exterior wood trim is to be painted.
- 6) Side entry porch is to be made approximately two feet deeper, roof line to match existing roof.
- 7) All door and window trim, soffits, etc., shall be painted Benjamin Moore "Moorglo" Montgomery White.
- 8) The shutters and porch metal roofs are to be painted Benjamin Moore "Moorglo" Essex Green.
- 9) New windows will be installed in the existing addition on the west side.

- 10) New windows and door will be installed in the existing addition on the north side.
- 11) New foundation vents will be installed on the north, east, and south sides of the structure.

Staff has reviewed the materials and finds that the proposed renovations and alterations are sensitive to the historic character of this structure. Therefore, we have no objections to this application. If you have any questions or need further information, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1.	Address of Property Applied For:	401 Park Street
2.	Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent):	SLDC Architects, Ltd.
3.	Mailing Address of Applicant:	410 E. Water Street, Suite 300
		Charlottesville, Virginia 22901
4.	Phone Number of Applicant: (Business)	295-9197 (Home)
5.	Description of Proposed Work (Use back	of form if necessary):
}		.
	painted. All exterior wood trim	cod Dommono : : : : :
6.	List of Enclosures: - Elevation Drawings - Exterior photos (6) - Paint samples (2)	
	Do you intend to apply for Federal hist project: Yes No $^{\rm N.A.}$. (Pleas ateness does not assure certification o historic preservation tax incentives.)	e note that a Certificate of Annuani
know	I hereby attest that the information I ledge, correct.	have provided is, to the best of my
Signa	ature of Owner or Agent: FOR OFFICE	USE ONLY Date: 8/16/85
Recei	ived By:	Approved: Date:
Date:		Disapproved: Date:



August 16, 1985

Mr. Fred Boger Zoning Administrator Department of Community Development City Hall Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Re: Southern Title Insurance Company 401 Park Street

Dear Fred:

Enclosed are eight (8) copies of the elevations of 401 Park Street, with changes and repairs noted, for submission to the Board of Architectural Review.

As you suggested in our meeting of August 8, I am enclosing six photos of the exterior of the building, for reference on the existing conditions. In addition, two paint samples are enclosed. All door and window trim, soffits, etc. shall be Benjamin Moore "Moorglo" Montgomery White. The shutters and porch metal roofs shall be Benjamin Moore "Moorglo" Essex Green. If there is any further information needed, please call me.

Sincerely,

Frederick H. Pugsley R. SLDC Architects, Ltd.

FHP:rjf Enclosure



THE WATER











MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: August 19, 1985

RE: BAR 85-8-226 - 1113 West Main Street - Sign Permit Ms. Sara Watson, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness
- A copy of the drawing for the proposed sign
- A copy of the Historic Survey Sheet

Mrs. Watson has submitted an application for a sign permit to hang a sign at 1113 West Main Street. Under the City's current sign regulations, Mrs. Watson would not be allowed to hang this sign because only one freestanding sign is allowed for each parcel of land. However, the three sign posts in the front yard of this building have been there for a number of years (1974 is the oldest photograph in our files showing the signs) and are considered to be nonconforming. The IDS sign which was hung on this pole was removed in early spring and Mrs. Watson would like to hang her sign on the post.

Staff has reviewed the proposed sign and has no objections to the application except to point out that the total size of the sign cannot exceed twelve (12) square feet. This would involve making the sign slightly smaller than proposed.

If you have any questions, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

	M	
1.	Address of Property Applied For:	1113 West Main St. Charlotter
2.	Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent):	
3.	Mailing Address of Applicant:	Same as above
4.	Phone Number of Applicant: (Busines	ess) <u>193.4367</u> (Home) <u>195.6736</u>
5.	Description of Proposed Work (Use ba	
	Lu attace	hed Lisa Pernit application
6. 1	List of Enclosures:	
		*
a	o you intend to apply for Federal hi project: Yes No (Ple teness <u>does not</u> assure certification istoric preservation tax incentives.	istoric preservation tax credits for this ease note that a Certificate of Appropri- n of rehabilitation work for Federal
I		I have provided is, to the best of my
Signat	ture of Owner or Agent: Sura	
Pacain	and Bur	ICE USE ONLY
	81 .1./	
Date:	0/15/80	Disapproved: Date:
		DCD 3/9/84

APPLICATION FOR A SIGN PERMIT

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA. ang 13 19 85 PERMUNENT SIGN PERMIT NO. Name GENCIONE TEWELRY Zoning District Address 1/13 W. Main **層City** Wille UA- 22903 Electrical Inspector Date fare Waterod Name Planning Director Address Date Chille UA. 22903 City APPROVAL _____ Name Addr Name ERIC CTEVENS REFUSAL ____ #7 Elliewood Address BZA Case No. ____ Chuile UA 22 903 Approval Date _____ 1113 West MAIN Street ADC Areas 12th and BEN FRANKLIN TUILS. Between 84-Land Parcel No. APPROVED _____ CAPERTON DUILDING DENIED caused wooden sign Type Material /2"Ptwood painted MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING: Width 350 Height 36 FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES, not to exiced (two sides parsed) MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN. Area Square Feet 121 H. Maximum Height I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which Minimum Clearance 5 C+. the above sign is to be erected, have read this application for an erection permit and do hereby Illuminated NOTYET YES give my consent for the above described sign. SIGNED: ______ The Corner

The occeptance of the permit herein applied for shall constitute an agreement to abide by all the conditions of the SIGN ORDINANCE AND BUILDING CODE, and to comply with all other ordinances of the City of Charlottesville and the laws of the State of Virginia, relating to the work to be done thereunder.

applied for are revocable at any time by the Inspector for just cause,

APPLICANT Living Watson

Phone _____2/3-4369

Crystals bue exercise Gemstone Sewelt v Crafts Tewelry

LANDMARK



SURVEY

IDENTIFICATION

Street Address:

1111-1113 West Main Street

Map and Parcel:

10-55

Census Track & Block:

2-303

Present Owner:

Bernard Caperton

Address:

611 Preston Place

Present Use: Original Owner: Antique Shop and offices

Original Use:

John Vowles

Residences

BASE DATA

Historic Name:

John Vowles House

Date/Period:

cir. 1823

Style:

Federal

Height to Cornice:

Height in Stories:

2 1/2

Present Zoning:

B-3

Land Area (sq.ft.):

54 x 208

Assessed Value (land + imp.): 17,500 + 11,130 = 28,630

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

While appearing to be one structure, 1111 & 1113 W. Main were built at different times and have slightly different floor plans. The house to the east (1113) is the older, built around 1823, and is the typical side hall two room deep plan with two interior chimneys in the western, now party, wall. The original mantles in the Georgian-Federal style remain. The stair landing between the first and second floors is lit by a circular headed window, and a tondo window is between the second and third floors. This is a common Georgian feature, but this example is unique to Charlottesville. The original staircase with its slender newel and square balusters remains as does the entrance transom with a circular motif pattern. The dormer on the rear also appears to be original. Illl was probably built shortly after 1113. The continuous Flemish bond brickwork of the facade indicates that it is a veneer on the older structure and is intended to unite the separate units. Both houses employ double hung sash windows, although those at 1111 are larger suggesting a later date, and diminish on the second floor. Both have the characteristic wooden lintels and originally shared a common cornice level. The transom at 1113 is the fish eye pattern seen at 224 Court Square and the Carter Gilmer House. However, 1113 is 3 rooms deep with corner fireplaces in the front two rooms and an interior chimney in the rear room. The chimneys are connected by a curtain. Illl is not as deep as 1113, and the juncture of the two structures verifies that they were built as separate units.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

James Dinsmore, the carpenter brought from Philadelphia by Jefferson to work on Monticello and the University, sold John Vowles a half acre lot on the road from the town west to the University in 1823 for \$150. (ACDB 23-342). Vowles probably built his residence (1113 W. Main) shortly thereafter. In 1830 he purchased the half acre lot "adjoining the lot and present residence of said John Vowles" to his east (ACDB 29-86). The adjoining structure was probably built shortly after Vowles completed his residence and was certainly standing when Vowles sold the property to Michael Johnson in 1839. The houses have had many owners in their 150 year history. Bernard Caperton, the present owner, purchased them in 1969. It is interesting that these two structures have always been conveyed as one property.

GRAPHICS

113 (4)

312:0

CONDITIONS

Average

SOURCES

City/County Records Velora Thomson



..

7

(804) 293-4367 VA

Manufacturers Wholesalers

Wholesalers

Sara D. Watson

1113 West Main St. Charlottesville, VA 22903

Dear Sirs,

Here is an application for a sign permit. I am the owner of the sign. I would like this submitted to the architectural review board at their next meeting. If I can be of assistance, please call.

Sincerely,

Sara Watson

MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

DATE: August 19, 1985

RE: BAR 85 - 8 - 227 - Installation of sign at 909 West Main Street Frederick Schroeder, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness
- Application for a Sign Permit
- A copy of the December 12, 1984 letter approving the installation of a sign at 909 West Main Street
- A copy of the Historic Survey Sheet

Mr. Schroeder is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to hang a two-sided wood sign below an existing sign at 909 West Main Street. The BAR approved a sign for Starburst Computers in December, 1984, and a copy of the Certificate of Appropriateness is attached. After carefully reviewing this application, staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

- 1) The dimensions of the sign shall be 32" long (same length as the other sign) and 18" tall.
- 2) A black three-quarter inch border shall be painted on the sign.
- The sign color must be the same color as the existing sign for Starburst Computers, i.e., red lettering on a tan background.

If you have any questions or need further information concerning this application, please call me cr Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.



APPLICATION FOR A SIGN PERMIT

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA.

Lina	14	19	85
~~·			
PERMIT NO	1		

. 1		
Name	Jessie Hooks	Zoning District
Address	1203 Killtop Ed.	
Address City	Charleton L. Va 22903	Electrical Inspector
	Therteenster Bour Packs	Date
Name Address	gog wast Plain Ct	Planning Director
Z	Charlott 421/2 1/4 27903	Date ————
		APPROVAL
Name Address	Burning + Jopes Digns Time	_ REFUSAL
Address	\$24 Alabar Free	BZA Case No.
ÖCity	Charletone 12.	Approval Date
Street	909 West Main Stypes	ADC Areas
Between	·	84-
Land Par	rel No	_ APPROVED
	JCI 140.	
House No.	-27-19	DENIED
Туре	Lower port + lout 1	DENIED
Type Material	Lowing part to 121	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING:
Type Material	1-0-20 No. 1000 - 2 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING:
Type Material Notice Area Squa	# Width 33 Height 15	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING : FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES
Type Material Size Area Squa Maximum	$\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{1}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{1}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{1}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$ $\frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_{2}}{2 \cos \theta_{2}}$	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING: FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN. I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which
Type Material Size Area Squa Maximum	# Width 33 Height 15	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING: FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN. I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which the above sign is to be erected, have read this
Type Material Size Area Squa Maximum	Width 33 Height 15	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING: FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN. I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which
Type Material Size Area Squa Maximum (Width 33 Height 15	MAKE A SKETCH ON BACK, SHOWING: FACE OF SIGN, DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES MATERIALS and WORDING OF SIGN. I, the undersigned, owner of the property on which the above sign is to be erected, have read this application for an erection permit and do hereby

or the acceptance of the permit herein applied for shall constitute an agreement to abide by all the conditions of the SIGN ORDINANCE AND BUILDING CODE, and to comply with all other ordinances of the City of Charlottesville and the laws of the State of Virginia, relating to the work to be done thereunder.

 π is distinctly understood and agreed that all rights and privileges acquired by the issuance of the permit herein applied for are revocable at any time by the Inspector for just cause.

APPLICANT	10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	مرسل دروس ارا الا
Phone	95-30	



- Background color



- silhouette of gentleman

- sales repairs custom

* black border as in existing sign Overall dimensions = 18" x 32" to match existing

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1.	Address of Property Applied For: 90	1 West Main Street
2.	Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent):	redevich R. Schroeder
3.	Mailing Address of Applicant: 909	West Main St.
	Charlo	Herville, Va. 22903
4.	Phone Number of Applicant: (Business)	•
	Description of Proposed Work (Use back of	••
	hanging post-and-lent and paint sign to bla on premise Dimensions see drawing on Each	el style, 2 sided wood ng Gelow existing sign 18"732". For Jesign
6. I	List of Enclosures: Letter of grespecial, section E signs to be existed.	ermit for existing sign, which applies to endseque
p a	Do you intend to apply for Federal histor project: Yes No V. (Please ateness does not assure certification of historic preservation tax incentives.)	note that a Certificate of Appropri-
I knowl	I hereby attest that the information I have ledge, correct.	We provided is, to the best-of my
Signa	ature of Owner or Agent:	1 Date: 14 1985
	FOR OFFICE U	ISE ONLY
Recei	ived By: 8/10/65	pproved: Date:
Date:	: <u>'}</u>	isapproved: Date:

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

Department of Community Development

City Hall • P.O. Box 911 Charlottesville, Virginia • 22902 Telephone 804-971-3182

December 12, 1984

Charles R. Hickox Starburst Computer Group 909 West Main Street Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

Re: Installation of signs at 909 West Main St. (BAR 84-11-206)

Dear Mr. Hickox:

Thank you for your Certificate of Appropriateness application concerning the above proposal. The Board of Architectural Review has approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for one sign at 909 West Main Street, with the following conditions:

- A. The dimensions of the sign shall be 36" wide and 18" tall.
- B. The design of the sign be the same as the Starburst Computer Group logo, with red lettering on a tan background. A black three-quarter inch border shall be painted on the sign.
- C. The supporting post for the sign be black wrought iron, with a design as shown in the attached illustration. We suggest the lowest sign also be anchored at the bottom to prevent it from swinging.
- D. The post for the sign be placed at the far east end of the planting box, in front of the column adjacent to the driveway. It shall not be taller than the cornice of the porch. No sign shall project over the sidewalk.
- E. Three additional signs for other tenants can be hung below the Starburst Computer sign. They shall be the same size with the same black border. Their design shall be approved by the board.

It is the board's understanding that the owner of the property is in agreement with this arrangement. The board would also like to review the design of any small directory planned for placement next to the front door.

Should you have any additional questions, please call me or Glenn Larson. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Satyendra Singh Huja Director of Planning

and Community Development Fred Boyl

GL/q1

cc: Richard Pace, Inspections √Mrs. Jesse Hook

LANDMARK



SURVEY

IDENTIFICATION

Street Address:

909 West Main Street

Map and Parcel:

31-168

Census Track & Block: 2-215

Present Owner:

Lynette S. Preddy

Address:

129 West Park Drive

Present Use:

Funeral Home William Jeffries

Original Owner: Original Use:

Residence

BASE DATA

Historic Name:

William Jeffries House

Date/Period:

1858

Style:

Greek Revival

Height to Cornice:

Height in Stories: 2

Present Zoning:

Land Area (sq.ft.): 9951

Assessed Value (land + imp.): 94,600

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

The William Jeffries House is an example of the Greek Revival style that persisted in the Charlottesville area until the Civil War. The original building, clearly demarked by the change of brick types on the side, was a threebay, double-pile, two-storey structure. The form is a nearly square rectangle, and construction is of brick laid in American bond with Flemish bonding courses. A hip roof is covered with standing-seam tin. A corbelled-brick cornice with brick dentils trims the eaves. The two original chimneys of brick pierce the roof in the center of the side slopes. Windows are six-over-six-light, double-hung, sash; and the main entrance door is flanked by four narrow side-lights above a solid panel on each side. Window and door surrounds on both exterior and interior consist of a side-lights above a solid panel on each side. William and door surrounds on both exertion and free simple but handsome beveled molding. The 1934 addition entailed an extension to the rear that roughly doubled the building's size. Architectural detail in the addition is faithful in nearly all instances to that of the original. An additional small chimney was included in the east wall of the extension, and the height of the hip roof was raised slightly so that the ridge now runs front to back. A single-storey, Colonial Revival porch spans the facade and extends over the drive-way on the East side as a porte cochere.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

The will of Jane Smith in 1856 (Co. WB 24-104, 243) directed that her 14 acre lot on Main Street be sold and her administrator's account (Co. WB 24-173) appraised the lot at \$500. William Jeffries purchased the lot from Smith's administrator on January 25, 1858 for \$626 (Co. DB 56-568) and tax records indicate that by the beginning of 1859 he had erected a building valued at \$2000 - the front portion of the house is still standing. As it stands in what was a fashionable residential district, it may be assumed that the house was Jeffries home until his death in 1885, when his executor sold the house and a half-acre lot to J.B. Andrews (Co. DB 85-16). Andrews lost the house to the bank during the Depression and it was bought in 1934 from Peoples National Bank (City DB 68-197, DB 81-290) by G.C. Blakey, and sold two months later (City DB 82-196) to W.R. Preddy, who promptly expanded the building to its present size and began operation of the Preddy Funeral Home. Joseph Teague joined the business in 1964 and the next year the name was changed to Preddy-Teague Funeral Home. 1975 saw the business name changed to the Joseph W. Teague The building remains in the ownership of Preddy decendants. See also: City WB23-123, DB376-285.

SIGNIFICANCE

The William Jefferies House represents a vernacular interpretation of the Greek Revival style. While added on to in the 1930's, this house is the only Greek Revival structure on West Main Street, and one of the few

CONDITIONS

Good

SOURCES

County and City Records



MEMO

TO: Board of Architectural Review

FROM: Satyendra Singh Huja, Director of Planning and Community Development

Z. S. H.

DATE: August 20, 1985

RE: BAR 85 - 8 - 228 - Installation of sign at 901 East Jefferson Street Victoria Fenwick, Applicant

Please find attached for your consideration of the above item, the following:

- Application for Certificate of Appropriateness
- A copy of a photograph showing the sign

Ms. Fenwick is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to install a free standing sign at 901 East Jefferson Street. The size of the sign (26" \times 15") is approximately five square feet (2.7 square feet per face) which complies with the sign regulations for a B-1 District, in which the property is located. Ms. Fenwick states that the post is pressure treated pine and will be painted the same color as the lettering after the post "cures" (approximately 8 months).

Staff has reviewed this application and has no objections to it. If you have any questions on this application, please call me or Fred Boger at 971-3182. Thank you.

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS -BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW -DOWNTOWN BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

	J		
	•	1	

Application is hereby made for the property listed below for the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness under Chapter 31-141.1 of the Charlottesville City Code.

1. Address of Property Applied For: 90	21 East Jefferson St
2. Name of Applicant (Owner or Agent):	Victoria Fenwick
3. Mailing Address of Applicant: 90	1 E. JEfferson St
Cha	20/0/18 NA 2290/20
4. Phone Number of Applicant: (Business	
5. Description of Proposed Work (Use bac	k of form if necessary):
Business si	yr - 26" wide X 15"
<i>→</i>	defattached
	Pro Taila Consession
ang di 12ag es	Sure-Treated pine-
6. List of Enclosures:	- eng Ostor-enector of the care of the cares "cores" (appear. 8 mos
7. Do you intend to apply for Federal his project: Yes No . (Pleasateness does not assure certification of historic preservation tax incentives.)	se note that a Certificate of Appropri-
I hereby attest that the information I knowledge, correct.	have provided is, to the best of my
Signature of Owner or Agent: Vialous	Feneria Date: 8/14/85
	E USE ONLY
Received By:	Approved: Date:
Pate:	Disapproved: Date:



